CITY OF JACKSONVILLE

DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD (DDRB) MEETING

DATE: Thursday, September, 2019

TIME: 2:08 p.m. - 3:58 p.m.

PLACE: Ed Ball Building

Eighth Floor, Boardroom 851

214 North Hogan Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

William J. Schilling, Jr., Chairman Christian Harden, Secretary Joseph Loretta, Board Member Brenna Durden, Board Member Frederick Jones, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Guy Parola, DIA Operations Manager Karen Underwood, DDRB Executive Secretary Susan Grandin, Office of General Counsel Sondra Fetner, DIA

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Amanda E. Robinson, RPR, Notary Public, State of Florida

First Coast Court Reporters 2442 Atlantic Boulevard Jacksonville, Florida 32207 904-396-1050

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Welcome, everybody. |
| 3 | We're going to go ahead and call the |
| 4 | Thursday, September 19th Downtown |
| 5 | Development Review Board meeting to order. |
| 6 | We have Board Members Jones, Loretta, |
| 7 | Harden, Durden, and Schilling. So we have a |
| 8 | quorum today for the meeting. |
| 9 | And we're going to go ahead and start |
| 10 | with the action items. We're going to |
| 11 | start so everybody is aware, we are going |
| 12 | to add an item after A. I know there are |
| 13 | representatives of VyStar that are here to |
| 14 | provide us an update. So we're going to put |
| 15 | that in right after item A. |
| 16 | But we'll start with item A, which is |
| 17 | the approval of the June 26 DDRB regular |
| 18 | meeting minutes. |
| 19 | Yes. |
| 20 | MR. PAROLA: Mr. Chairman, just for |
| 21 | clarification, VyStar is here as a courtesy; |
| 22 | they're not asking for any formal action. |
| 23 | We've worked with them on this. And what |
| 24 | they can do, they simply can't do (sic). |
| 25 | They want to be good neighbors in downtown. |

| 1 | They just want to keep you up to date on it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | I want to make sure you all knew there is |
| 3 | nothing to vote on. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Good point. So |
| 5 | their presentation is informational, great. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Motion to approve |
| 7 | minutes for June 26, 2019. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Second. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. There's |
| 10 | a motion by Mr. Loretta, second by |
| 11 | Mr. Harden. |
| 12 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 13 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 15 | All right. The meeting minutes carry |
| 16 | unanimously. |
| 17 | So we will go ahead. And, Ms. Kimberly |
| 18 | Gilliam, if you'll come up, for VyStar. |
| 19 | Welcome. |
| 20 | MS. GILLIAM: Good afternoon. I'll just |
| 21 | pass out handouts here to you guys. We're |
| 22 | here today my name is Kimberly Gilliam, |
| 23 | Architect with RS&H, representing VyStar |
| 24 | today. |
| 25 | We're here to talk to you all about some |

| 1 | of the improvements that are planned for |
|----|--|
| 2 | VyStar's new downtown campus. The three |
| 3 | buildings that are included in the campus |
| 4 | are the VyStar Tower, 76 South Laura Street; |
| 5 | the VyStar Garage at 37 South Hogan Street; |
| 6 | and then what is currently under |
| 7 | construction renovation is the 100 West Bay |
| 8 | Street. Most of the improvements we're |
| 9 | going to run through with you today are |
| 10 | related to 100 West Bay. |
| 11 | To start, if you'll go to I guess I |
| 12 | got the clicker; right? There you go. |
| 13 | So we have here the first item we'll |
| 14 | cover is the planned exterior lighting |
| 15 | improvements to the campus. They include I |
| 16 | light outlining the VyStar Tower; they |
| 17 | include floodlights at the different setback |
| 18 | tiers of the VyStar Tower; and then |
| 19 | uplighting at 100 West Bay. |
| 20 | And you'll see here images showing two |
| 21 | directions of use. One from about the Main |
| 22 | Street Bridge onramp, and then the other two |
| 23 | are from looking down Laura Street from just |
| 24 | past Bay. |
| 25 | The image in the center is just with the |

lighting. The image on the right is with the previously approved 100 West Bay signage package, which is under separate cover.

2.2

Just to go over the 100 West Bay improvements that are proposed, we have four categories of items here. We're planning a full exterior window replacement. We're planning improvements to the seventh floor rooftop to make that into an occupiable roof deck. There is a planned pedestrian sky bridge at the second floor level connecting the VyStar Tower to 100 West Bay Street. And there is also an equipment screen wall planned for the upper roof above the seventh floor of 100 West Bay.

So looking briefly at the window replacement scope, it's replacing all the windows in place. Two through -- floors two through six are punched openings. And floor one is street level retail, as well as the entrance to the VyStar lobby. And the seventh floor is going to be infilled in a way that's complimentary to the roof deck, some rollup garage door-style windows, as well as some full storefront infill.

We have the floor elevations here for you guys to see. So the first one is of Bay The second here is Laura street. Street. Peterbrooke is temporarily relocated into the first floor of the VyStar Tower, but will be moving back into this building upon completion of construction; as well as an additional tenant space that should be progressing along with the construction of the building.

2.2

This is the south elevation that faces the tower. There is an alleyway through which the sky bridge is proposed. And then this is west facade, which faces what VyStar is calling the breezeway between the garage and 100 West Bay.

What we're proposing for windows here is a blue tint glazing for floors two through six in the punched openings, and then a clear glazing for our first and second floor to increase the visibility through the glass for the retail and the restaurant.

And the actual window product is meant to try to get as close as possible to the existing window construction, is what was

there originally. The building was built in 1963. And the windows are almost entirely the original windows right now.

2.2

The next scope of work here on the project is the sky bridge. As we mentioned, it's connecting at the second floor levels between the tower and West Bay. There is a significant elevation change. And so the bridge itself will be rectangular and then the actual space inside of it will ramp down. It's going to be wrapped with a perforated metal screen, as you see here. And we have some renderings of what that's planned to look like.

This would be looking from the roof of the garage. This would be looking down the alleyway from Laura street. And then this would be looking back from the breezeway out towards Laura Street.

The next scope of work is the improvements to the seventh floor roof deck to make that occupiable as a lounge space, eating space. The plan is that will be a VyStar space on day one, but the hope is that sometime in the future that may be a

1 tenant space. So that's the plan for that.

You can see here there is going to be a

paver system, as well as canopies to provide

some shade from the rollup garage doors and

the windows, some seating, planters and a

glass handrail.

2.2

Here are some conceptual images of what that will look like, looking down at the river. This is looking back up Laura Street towards the trio. And this is looking at the north end towards Bank of America and BB&T.

The last item -- scope item that we're covering today is a mechanical screen wall enclosure. Due to the -- it's a complete replacement of all building engineering systems. So the cooling tower and mechanical units and all that are being fully replaced. And they're larger than the existing, so that required us to, you know, put up a screen wall around that.

The color is not red. That's there for emphasis. I'll show images at the end. So it is on the uppermost -- the roof above the mechanical mezzanine. And it is planned to

| 1 | be a translucent polycarbonate panel system |
|-----|---|
| 2 | that will be backlit at night. |
| 3 | So those are the views from the floor |
| 4 | elevations. And then this is what it would |
| 5 | look like during the day. This would be as |
| 6 | if you were looking from the Wells Fargo |
| 7 | center. At night. And this would be |
| 8 | looking from, I guess, from the trio, |
| 9 | although flooding the air. And that would |
| LO | be at night. |
| 11 | So that's all I have for you today. We |
| 12 | just wanted to make you aware of what the |
| 13 | plans are and let you guys see VyStar's |
| L 4 | investment in downtown, the next steps of |
| 15 | that. Thank you very much. |
| L 6 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Before you head |
| L7 | out, let me ask real quickly if any of the |
| 18 | Board Members have any questions while |
| 19 | you're here. |
| 20 | Ms. Durden, any questions? |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: No. |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Two questions: |
| 23 | One, the have you all coordinated at all |
| 24 | with the hotel that's coming in just south |
| >5 | of your camp the parking garage and so |

| 1 | forth? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. GILLIAM: Yes. They're definitely |
| 3 | planning that coordination with the hotel |
| 4 | there. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Is that going all |
| 6 | right? Because I know there is almost like |
| 7 | a ten-foot alley they were kind of creating |
| 8 | there between the garage and hotel. Just |
| 9 | trying to make sure that turns out well lit |
| 10 | and so on and so forth. |
| 11 | MS. GILLIAM: I'm not clear on the |
| 12 | specifics of the design at that alleyway, |
| 13 | that's to the southwest |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yeah, south of |
| 15 | the garage. |
| 16 | MS. GILLIAM: of 100 West Bay. |
| 17 | MR. PAROLA: Maybe I can just answer |
| 18 | that quickly. They just got their 10-set, |
| 19 | they being the hotel. We've worked with, I |
| 20 | think his name is, Mr. Kitchens. Keeping |
| 21 | them well informed, as you can imagine, as |
| 22 | their relationship with the parking garage |
| 23 | forces to keep them well informed on top of |
| 24 | that. So to that effect, I think they have |
| 25 | been in lock step in terms of leased |

| 1 | information. Unless VyStar says |
|----|--|
| 2 | differently, I think we've been doing a |
| 3 | pretty good job of coordinating that. |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: My only other |
| 5 | comment is to inform the folks at VyStar, |
| 6 | you know, tonight is the Jags Thursday night |
| 7 | game, so on and so forth. It would be great |
| 8 | to have seen some signage, this, that and |
| 9 | the other on the VyStar buildings and other |
| 10 | buildings downtown. It didn't seem like |
| 11 | there was that much coming into downtown |
| 12 | this evening or this afternoon. So just |
| 13 | something for the future that you can inform |
| 14 | the VyStar folks to be thinking about. |
| 15 | Appreciate it. |
| 16 | MR. PAROLA: I think Cat from DVI heard |
| 17 | that in the audience. |
| 18 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: It's something |
| 19 | that we need to be thinking six months in |
| 20 | advance, quite frankly, when the schedule |
| 21 | comes out. |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: You mean a sign |
| 23 | about Jalen Ramsey? |
| 24 | It's a great project, very exciting. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any questions from |

| 1 | the staff? |
|----|--|
| 2 | All right. I want to add, as well, |
| 3 | thank you to VyStar for everything that they |
| 4 | are doing for downtown. And I agree with |
| 5 | what Mr. Jones said, this looks like a great |
| 6 | project and great work that y'all are doing. |
| 7 | And thank you very much for presenting |
| 8 | today. |
| 9 | MS. GILLIAM: Thank you very much. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: So we're going to |
| 11 | go ahead and move back into our regularly |
| 12 | scheduled items, which the next item is item |
| 13 | B, DDRB 2019-005, Ashley Street Container |
| 14 | Project. |
| 15 | And before we start this item, |
| 16 | Ms. Grandin, I wanted to share for the |
| 17 | record that Kimley-Horn & Associates, the |
| 18 | firm I'm associated with, is providing |
| 19 | engineering services for this project. So |
| 20 | I'm planning to abstain from voting on this |
| 21 | item. I just want to make sure that was |
| 22 | stated for the record. |
| 23 | MS. GRANDIN: Thank you. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. So with |
| 25 | that, Mr. Parola, if you would like to go |

| 1 | ahead and provide the staff report. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. PAROLA: Sure. Thank you, |
| 3 | Mr. Chairman, as you and I discussed at |
| 4 | agenda, we've been fairly busy. So unlike |
| 5 | our meeting in June, I think, which was our |
| 6 | last one, I had a rather robust PowerPoint. |
| 7 | The pendulum has swung really the other way |
| 8 | I have none. So I'm going to rely on the |
| 9 | applicants to walk you through the projects |
| 10 | I'll give you what they're doing, what |
| 11 | we've done so far, if there are any |
| 12 | deviations, if that works for you, |
| 13 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: That sounds |
| 15 | terrific. |
| 16 | MR. PAROLA: Thank you. Ashley Street |
| 17 | Container Project, this is the residential |
| 18 | project that's made out of containers, as |
| 19 | far as I know, the first of its kind; it's |
| 20 | not in Jacksonville. |
| 21 | They're coming in for final approval. |
| 22 | They've asked for three deviations. Let me |
| 23 | walk you through those deviations. The |
| 24 | first one is deviation from off-street |
| 25 | parking requirements from 18 to 0. You'll |

notice that we no longer have off-street

parking requirements. It's just they came

in under the old code. So we have to treat

them that way. So that's the first one.

2.2

The second deviation is streetscape

design standards. Again, at conceptual

review the streetscape design standards at

that time differ from what we have today.

So we didn't give a deviation from that.

What I will say, though, is the lens that

staff looked at was how close can we get

them to the design standards that are

currently there. And I think they've worked

with us on that.

And the final deviation is for entrances. You'll notice -- and the architect is here and will walk you through it -- the ground floor units are not going to have entrances from Ashley Street. That is a function of their units. So the unit configures, it is not a function of because they just decided they weren't going to do it. I think the architect can walk you through that.

At the end of the staff report, we have

| 1 | three recommendations or, actually, |
|----|--|
| 2 | they're conditions now: Close the driveway |
| 3 | apron and relocate the "no parking any time" |
| 4 | sign. Really, those conditions correspond |
| 5 | to the dedicated on-street parking that |
| 6 | they've created. I believe it's striped. |
| 7 | So I'm here for any questions. |
| 8 | Otherwise, I know the architect is here to |
| 9 | give a presentation. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Thank you, |
| 11 | Mr. Parola. |
| 12 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Good afternoon. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Good afternoon. |
| 14 | Welcome. |
| 15 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Thank you. So you all |
| 16 | have seen the packet and have obviously seer |
| 17 | the conceptual packet as well. I guess |
| 18 | there are renderings there. |
| 19 | So it's a unique project, as Guy had |
| 20 | mentioned. It's stacking containers to form |
| 21 | multifamily sort of affordable rental units. |
| 22 | The first four units are required to be fair |
| 23 | housing |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mike, I apologize |
| 25 | for interrupting you. But if you would, |

| 1 | state your name and address for the record. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: I should know that. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Sorry. |
| 4 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Mike Koppenhafer, of |
| 5 | Fisher Koppenhafer Architects, 9104 Cypress |
| 6 | Green Drive, Jacksonville 32256. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. |
| 8 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: So the first floor |
| 9 | units, by code requirements, need to be fair |
| 10 | housing units. And since which |
| 11 | essentially means they're somewhat handicap |
| 12 | accessible, like a handicap accessible light |
| 13 | version. These units are obviously |
| 14 | eight-feet wide, and those style bathrooms |
| 15 | tend to be larger than the normal. And so |
| 16 | that's actually on the very end. So if you |
| 17 | look at the rendering on the first floor, |
| 18 | that's that L-shaped glass area, the windows |
| 19 | there. And so that's the fair housing units |
| 20 | there. |
| 21 | The other ones can be more standardized, |
| 22 | which is really having the desirable balcony |
| 23 | on the roadside there, which is essentially |
| 24 | the bedroom component, if you look through |
| 25 | the floor plan there. |

There is a nice courtyard there in between. There is a folly that exists in that courtyard space. By the way, this rendering is sort of squished up from the left to right version. It's much longer, as you will see, in your packet there. That courtyard looks like it's three-feet wide or something. It's obviously not very, very wide, but it's 18 feet or so.

2.2

At any rate, there is -- there was a comment the last time, I think, Joseph mentioned that, and that was the wood trellis inside of there. We've changed that to a container, it's called a folly, which is basically a partial container area. It doesn't show up in the rendering. It shows up on some floor plans here. But it's a partial container there with a slight cant to it and has some structural components to support it, because it's basically like the end of it cut off.

Within your packet is a landscape plan.

It's quite elaborate, frankly, for this

development here. And, really, I can stop

the presentation and take questions at this

| 1 | point. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. We'll |
| 3 | go ahead and start at the other end. |
| 4 | Mr. Jones, any questions or comments? |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: No. I think this |
| 6 | is an extremely exciting project. And I |
| 7 | think it's a great way, tool, to address the |
| 8 | lack of affordable and missing middle |
| 9 | housing in downtown. And we've got a good |
| 10 | network here. And this is a great lot, I |
| 11 | think, to configure this type of unit on. |
| 12 | So I don't have any substantive questions. |
| 13 | I look forward to this project being |
| 14 | constructed and as a model for other |
| 15 | projects as well. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. |
| 17 | Mr. Loretta. |
| 18 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I have no |
| 19 | comments. It looks great. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Harden. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Nothing to add. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Ms. Durden. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you. Could |
| 24 | you talk to us about the building elevation |
| 25 | with the |

| 1 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: The angel wings on it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Yeah, the wings. |
| 3 | What is that tell us a little bit about |
| 4 | that. |
| 5 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Sure. So we had |
| 6 | spoken during the conceptual design, there |
| 7 | was no real shape on that yet. But the |
| 8 | owners desire was to have a mural there. |
| 9 | You've seen them downtown. You've seen sort |
| 10 | of different versions of it. He selected |
| 11 | this angel wing style, which is going to be, |
| 12 | you know, painted on the be an applique |
| 13 | to the building facade there. |
| 14 | In this rendering here, just because of |
| 15 | Photoshop and whatnot, it shows covering up |
| 16 | the window. It will be a translucent film |
| 17 | somewhat of what you see on the buses where |
| 18 | you have the jaguar and whatnot on it. |
| 19 | Obviously, you can see through it. Over the |
| 20 | windows, it's but it has that large |
| 21 | graphic on it. |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Okay. And then I |
| 23 | don't have any other questions for you. |
| 24 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Thank you. |
| 25 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I do have a |

| 1 | question going to the deviations. Just so |
|----|--|
| 2 | that we can be clear, on the streetscape |
| 3 | design, Guy or through the Chair. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Please. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: You said that |
| 6 | we're really close to the current |
| 7 | regulations. Could you tell us what |
| 8 | deviation is still necessary even if we were |
| 9 | using the current regulations? |
| 10 | MR. PAROLA: Sure. Through the Chair, |
| 11 | if we were using the current regulations, |
| 12 | the only deviation that I think would |
| 13 | technically have is what would be referred |
| 14 | to as the frontage zone, so the space |
| 15 | between the pedestrian clear zone, the |
| 16 | sidewalk, and the building. |
| 17 | They still have a four-foot amenity |
| 18 | area, I believe. We asked them to trim a |
| 19 | foot off of it so that we could widen the |
| 20 | sidewalk. I think I erroneously said at the |
| 21 | last meeting, it would be an eight-foot |
| 22 | sidewalk. I think they're five and they're |
| 23 | trying to get them to six. So the minimum |
| 24 | is five, even in the code it says a minimum |
| 25 | of five, although we strive for eight. |

1 We're working within their confines.

2.2

I would also say that when we looked at this, not only did we look at it through that lens, we also looked at it through the lens of neighbors to the west. The building orientation of the neighbors to the west is going to differ because the lot is set different. So there is only so much you can do that if we said, okay, you're going to have to have 12-foot sidewalks, this, that and the other, okay, well, you're doing that at the sacrifice of the development of their neighbor. So I think we've gotten as close as we can.

BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Okay. And then the -- I don't have any concerns about the parking, because we're reviewing it under the old code. And I don't have any concerns about the entrance.

MR. PAROLA: Thank you, ma'am.

BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Thank you.

The only question that I have is as a part

of the recommendation from staff, there was

a recommendation for two conditions, 1A and

| 1 | 1B, regarding closing the driveway apron and |
|-----|--|
| 2 | relocating the "no parking anytime" sign. |
| 3 | And I just wanted to ask to make sure you |
| 4 | had an opportunity to review that and had no |
| 5 | objections to those conditions. |
| 6 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Correct. We have no |
| 7 | objections to that. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Any |
| 9 | comments of staff, from staff? |
| LO | Okay. |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I do have one more |
| 12 | question. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, Ms. Durden. |
| L 4 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Is there a reason |
| 15 | that we need to say relocate that sign? |
| L 6 | Because is there anywhere along Ashley |
| L7 | that I mean, or do we want to actually |
| 18 | eliminate that no parking sign? |
| L 9 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I |
| 20 | had if I were channeling our city's |
| 21 | traffic engineer, I would say that you're |
| 22 | going to want to establish a point between |
| 23 | that and the curb. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Of course. |
| >5 | MR PAROLA: That you want to be able |

| 1 | to |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Okay. I just |
| 3 | wanted to make sure that we weren't just |
| 4 | moving, you know, a couple spaces. |
| 5 | MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. Right now it's |
| 6 | almost center in the block, and move it west |
| 7 | so that it wouldn't create these. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: So based on that, |
| 9 | I'll go ahead and entertain a motion for the |
| 10 | first deviation, which (inaudible |
| 11 | conferring.) Yes, thank you very much. |
| 12 | Public comment. Are there any members |
| 13 | of the audience who would like to speak to |
| 14 | this item? |
| 15 | MR. KIEFERT: I would. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: State your name and |
| 17 | address for the record, and you'll have |
| 18 | three minutes. |
| 19 | MR. KIEFERT: I am Dan Kiefert. I live |
| 20 | at 1033 West Terranova in St. Augustine, but |
| 21 | I own all the property around this |
| 22 | container, as well as 15 buildings on East |
| 23 | Church Street, all of the property that you |
| 24 | see here next to these properties. |
| 25 | I do have some a couple of issues |

with the amount of people that are on this container project. But my biggest concern is with the parking sign and the apron. even though I have some issues with 18 parking spots down to 0, given Ashley Street, its volume of cars that are already there, that are there from the Women's Liberty Center across the street, the fact that I have difficulty getting in my lot, which is just east of this already, because there are cars parked all in front of this on a normal basis, worse on the weekends when there is Jaguar games. I can sometimes not get my own items on and off my property. The right -- the driveway apron that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The right -- the driveway apron that
we're speaking of, I don't believe, is on
their parcel. And it's actually access to
my four buildings and has been for 70 years.
I have carports. I have a rear access,
that's why the apron is there. That's why
my gate has been open there.

The no parking sign that you're referring to doesn't say no parking any time down the street. It says no parking, and it points the arrow referring to my apron that

leads to the property. And it is absolutely an asset and value to my piece of property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So I'm here today saying that I don't agree with the 18 parking spots to 0, because we need parking in this area. We're already struggling, number one. And number two, this apron needs to remain open to provide access to where it has for 70 years to my property. I have all intention of utilizing that space. And so those are my primary two issues.

I own 421 North Washington Street, was an eight-unit studio and operated it for 17 years. And the volume of people on that lot contributed to about 80 percent of the difficulties we had in this area from all the reasons that we -- finally got that building quieted down and the whole area cleaned up.

From then, the last five or seven years since that building closed, I'm very concerned that the volume of people for no greenscapes for anybody to be at is going to have everybody out here by the sidewalk. That's the perception of safety that we need

| 1 | to bring back into our city. And if they |
|----|--|
| 2 | have nowhere to go in and out except for |
| 3 | that and they're right on the sidewalk, |
| 4 | there is nowhere for those customers, for |
| 5 | them and their guests. |
| 6 | There is 18 units, if they each have a |
| 7 | car and they have one guest, that's 25 cars. |
| 8 | That's a block and a half of people that |
| 9 | need to park. There is not room for those |
| 10 | there. |
| 11 | I've been there 23 years cleaning up |
| 12 | that neighborhood. I'm against the volume |
| 13 | of numbers here. |
| 14 | But, you know, first and foremost, I |
| 15 | think we need to seriously think about that |
| 16 | apron and that no parking sign. The no |
| 17 | parking sign does not say any time. It just |
| 18 | points at the it just points at the apron |
| 19 | and its access. So those are my comments. |
| 20 | Thank you for your time. I appreciate the |
| 21 | forum. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. |
| 23 | Guy, do you you may want to respond |
| 24 | to that or |
| 25 | MR. PAROLA: I think this is the |

| 1 | information that's important information. |
|----|--|
| 2 | You know, if the parking down to zero, |
| 3 | that's for everybody. I mean, you know, it |
| 4 | is what it is. You can park on the streets |
| 5 | here. |
| 6 | You know, so I think in light of this, I |
| 7 | don't think this is something you resolve |
| 8 | today. Since they do have to go through |
| 9 | 10-set review, since there will be traffic |
| 10 | engineering review at that time, why don't |
| 11 | we remove our release the condition about |
| 12 | the relocation of the no parking sign and |
| 13 | the closing of the driveway apron, the |
| 14 | conditions that they establish parking on |
| 15 | the portions of the street where they can |
| 16 | would remain. And we can go from there if |
| 17 | that suits the Board. We certainly don't |
| 18 | want to close somebody's access off, and |
| 19 | that was never the intent. |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I do think there |
| 21 | may be another person to speak in regards to |
| 22 | the project. So why don't we allow the |
| 23 | community to continue to discuss. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, ma'am. Please |
| 25 | come forward. |

1 MS. POWELL: Hi. Nancy Powell, 1848 2 Challen Avenue, Jacksonville.

2.2

My comment is really around the street pedestrian friendliness of having entrances at the street level. So I know Alex did a courtyard project for us in Riverside Avondale, it's not built yet, but it's called the Courtyards on College. And what we did there, because they had the courtyard concept, is they have entrances on both sides so that, you know, I think street friendliness, pedestrian friendliness is really important. I think it's dangerous to kind of veer away from that, even though I guess I kind of understand the concept here. So I would advocate for not giving on that requirement.

The other thing I would say about just listening to the parking discussion is that, if parking becomes a problem, a good solution is usually paid parking. But that would then add to the cost of these -- you know, anybody that has to then have either monthly parking, or parking garage, or paid parking on the street, you know, that's just

1 something to think about because that is 2 usually the next step when you get to 3 downtown parking situations. And we have paid parking at most other places downtown. 4 5 So those are my comments. 6 CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Thank you. 7 Are there any other members of the audience 8 that would like to speak on this item? 9 Okay. Seeing none, Guy, I think you 10 gave your recommendation. Let's go back to 11 the applicant. MR. KOPPENHAFER: If I may, I spoke with 12 13 the first speaker in opposition. And I 14 understand just in that conversation he does 15 not own that one lot where that apron is. 16 He owns maybe everything else around it, but not that. I think he's got a challenge 17 18 there because he's got access through there 19 and it's not his property and blah, blah, 20 blah. But it's something that can certainly 21 get worked out in the future there. 2.2 That apron is -- frankly, none of it is 23 on anyone's private property. It's in the 24 right of way, but it fronts about a sliver 25 of a foot or so in front of this project

| 1 | here. |
|----|--|
| 2 | There is a site plan. It's hard to |
| 3 | see |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: You can't see it |
| 5 | If I may, Mr. Schilling. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, please. |
| 7 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: This is the property |
| 8 | line here, and there is a little bit of a |
| 9 | sliver there. |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: If I may, |
| 11 | Mr. Schilling, one thing that I think we |
| 12 | need to remember, staff, if we can, we do |
| 13 | need to have surveys attached to all these |
| 14 | to assist with any discrepancies that may |
| 15 | come up such as this. So this should have |
| 16 | been a survey here. Fortunately, the |
| 17 | landscape plan is the only one that really |
| 18 | does show the drive aisle. |
| 19 | So based on what you're just stating, |
| 20 | you know, one of the issues like in the |
| 21 | middle of the project vicinity photos, you |
| 22 | just kind of have it drawn wrong with the |
| 23 | red boundaries. It's not actually correct. |
| 24 | And so if I'm not mistaken, on the |
| 25 | project vicinity photos, the middle one at |

| 1 | the bottom, where it says "View of lot from |
|----|---|
| 2 | Ashley Street looking south," pretty much |
| 3 | so your property, maybe there is a half a |
| 4 | foot, this, that or the other this way. But |
| 5 | your property pretty much goes right down |
| 6 | the side of that fence line right there |
| 7 | west; is that correct? |
| 8 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Correct, yes. |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So you don't own |
| 10 | this piece? |
| 11 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Correct. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So back to what |
| 13 | Mr. Parola said, it would be my |
| 14 | recommendation we just remove the |
| 15 | recommendations and, you know, really it's |
| 16 | then the existing property owner who is |
| 17 | utilizing that burden to try to maybe make |
| 18 | sure he can still maintain access to his |
| 19 | property. That way we can all move forward. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Ms. Durden. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So the red square |
| 22 | is incorrect also? |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yes. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: It's actually only |
| 25 | to the left? |

| 1 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: About half of that |
| 3 | if I may interject, I'm sorry. |
| 4 | In terms of parking, if I can hit on the |
| 5 | other two topics, parking, you know, they |
| 6 | obviously went before the code change. Now |
| 7 | there is not a requirement. It is not |
| 8 | expected that at a \$550-a-month rent that |
| 9 | everyone is going to have a car at that |
| 10 | point. A lot of these residents do not have |
| 11 | vehicles at that price point. This is a new |
| 12 | project, it's all brand new. It's all sort |
| 13 | of state-of-the-art, it's a little clever |
| 14 | with the containers. I think it's superior |
| 15 | to the other residential projects around it |
| 16 | in terms of its quality, let's say, as |
| 17 | opposed to some of the sort of row housing |
| 18 | stuff that is currently there. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. Thank you. |
| 20 | Any Board Members, any comments or |
| 21 | questions? |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: If I can just say |
| 23 | one more thing, the only other thing |
| 24 | regarding the other comment about the units |
| 25 | not really directly fronting the road, in |

| 1 | the end, I still generally would argue that |
|----|--|
| 2 | this works where there is a walkway |
| 3 | courtyard that people that does connect |
| 4 | to the road. So it may not be a physical |
| 5 | door to the road, but it's a physical |
| 6 | walkway from the road to the courtyard. And |
| 7 | that's pretty, I think, meeting the general |
| 8 | intent of what we should all be looking for. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. Based |
| 10 | on that, let's go back to the correct page |
| 11 | here. I will entertain a motion for the |
| 12 | first deviation from Section 656.361.16 |
| 13 | off-street parking overlay to reduce parking |
| 14 | requirement from 18 to 0. Is there a |
| 15 | motion? |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Motion. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Motion by |
| 18 | Mr. Loretta. Is there a second? |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Second. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Second by |
| 21 | Ms. Durden. |
| 22 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 23 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 25 | That carries four to zero with Chairman |

| 1 | Schilling abstaining from voting. |
|----|---|
| 2 | All right. We'll go to deviation number |
| 3 | two, to Section 656.361.20, streetcape |
| 4 | design standards, provide an alternate |
| 5 | alternative streetscape design. Is there a |
| 6 | motion? |
| 7 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Motion to approve |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. |
| 9 | Mr. Harden has moved the item. |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Second. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Second by |
| 12 | Mr. Loretta. |
| 13 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 14 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 16 | All right. That carries four to zero |
| 17 | with Chairman Schilling abstaining from the |
| 18 | vote. |
| 19 | We'll go to item three, deviation to |
| 20 | Section 656.361.13, entrances. Is there a |
| 21 | motion? |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll make a |
| 23 | motion for approval. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Motion by |
| 25 | Mr. Loretta for approval. Is there a |

| 1 | second? |
|----|---|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Second. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Second by |
| 4 | Ms. Durden. |
| 5 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 6 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 8 | All right. That also carries four to |
| 9 | zero. |
| 10 | All right. And then the as far as |
| 11 | approval of the item, is there a motion for |
| 12 | approval? |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Just to clarify |
| 14 | that it is without the recommendation? |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes. I would |
| 16 | recommend whoever makes the motion clarify |
| 17 | that it does not assuming that's the |
| 18 | desire of the motion-maker, it would not |
| 19 | include staff's recommendation or condition |
| 20 | Ms. Durden. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I actually have a |
| 22 | question about the second one, about the no |
| 23 | parking. I understand now, obviously, you |
| 24 | don't want to close an apron or make the |
| 25 | recommendation to close an apron that is 99 |

percent not even on the property. But about the no parking, can you tell us a little bit about where the no parking sign is now and what -- and exactly what is being proposed?

Because it's not clear to me what that condition actually would be.

2.2

MR. PAROLA: So what I will tell you is if this is approved without condition, that we, staff, is still going to approach the city's traffic engineer and see where without infringing on anybody's rights to access the property, if in fact they own the property, how we relocate that sign. The city's traffic engineer ultimately is the one who gives the approval. So if we can create on-street parking in this area as a way of traffic (inaudible), by way of policy, staff is going to pursue that.

So I can't point exactly where it is,

I'm going to take the first speaker's word,
he obviously knows the property better than
I do, that's there so that nobody blocks the
apron, then whatever needs to remain and not
block the apron will be there. I don't know
if I answered your question.

1 BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So do you think 2 that the no parking sign right now is strictly just limited to focus on that 3 apron? Or is it along the entire block? 4 5 MR. PAROLA: People can park on that 6 street. I've seen people park on the street. 7 8 BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: If I may, I'm 9 looking at Google Earth right now, street 10 view. And, quite frankly, the no parking 11 sign is to the -- which way is north on this 12 thing? It's basically -- in this picture 13 right here, it's physically located right 14 here and it's blocking people from parking 15 from this location to the intersection, 16 which is typical for every downtown street 17 road. So the no parking sign probably can 18 just almost stay where it is. But I would 19 think we just remove it and allow staff to 20 coordinate during engineering. 21 BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Okay. Thank you. 2.2 BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: My comment would 23 be that we make a motion to approve without 24 the recommendations, either of them, so that

the city traffic engineer and staff can make

| 1 | that determination. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. PAROLA: We'll work it out in |
| 3 | 10-set. |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: So I will make a |
| 5 | motion to approve three deviations without |
| 6 | the recommendations that are added in the |
| 7 | staff report. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll second it. |
| 9 | MS. GRANDIN: Just with the |
| 10 | clarification that it's final approval, |
| 11 | final approval. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, this is for |
| 13 | final approval, correct. |
| 14 | So Mr. Harden has made a motion. Is |
| 15 | there a second? |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Second. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Second by |
| 18 | Mr. Jones. |
| 19 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 20 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Schilling |
| 22 | abstaining from voting. |
| 23 | Thank you very much. |
| 24 | We're going to go ahead and move to item |
| 25 | C which DDRR 2019-10 the Special Sign |

| 1 | Exception For Ameris Bank. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Parola, if you would like to go |
| 3 | ahead and provide us with a staff report. |
| 4 | MR. PAROLA: Absolutely. Thank you. |
| 5 | This is special sign exception, as you |
| 6 | said, for Ameris Bank. Currently they have |
| 7 | two signs, I believe, in the north and south |
| 8 | facades right now, currently says Ameris |
| 9 | Bank on it with their old logo. That was |
| 10 | approved via special sign exception a couple |
| 11 | years back when Jim Klement was still |
| 12 | around. |
| 13 | So what they're asking for is to change |
| 14 | out the north and south facades, right, to |
| 15 | get their current logo. And on the east and |
| 16 | west facades, put just the logo sans the |
| 17 | text. And you can kind of see that |
| 18 | throughout. |
| 19 | The applicant will kind of go over the |
| 20 | specifics about it. But inside of, at least |
| 21 | what they submitted, you'll see that |
| 22 | historically there has been signage on all |

original signage on the building, pretty

four signs. And, in fact, what they propose

is strikingly similar to maybe, if not the

23

24

| 1 | darn close to the original. |
|----|--|
| 2 | So with that, we recommended approval |
| 3 | without the conditions, sans the conditions |
| 4 | of the square footages of the signage |
| 5 | they're requesting. And the applicant can |
| 6 | give a presentation. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Welcome. |
| 8 | Please state your name and address for the |
| 9 | record. |
| 10 | MR. D'ALESSANDRO: Mark D'Allesandro, I |
| 11 | actually live at 272 Coconut Palm Parkway, |
| 12 | in Ponte Vedra. I'm with Priority, which is |
| 13 | out of Sheboygan, Wisconsin, if that |
| 14 | matters. |
| 15 | Thank you for the intro. We are looking |
| 16 | to update the signage at the Riverplace |
| 17 | Tower. The reason is Ameris Bank has merged |
| 18 | with Fidelity Bank, and they are |
| 19 | incorporating the lion and updating the logo |
| 20 | in its entirety. |
| 21 | So we are looking to replace the two |
| 22 | signs that are there currently with full |
| 23 | Ameris Bank read and their new logo. And |
| 24 | then on the elevations that currently do not |
| 25 | have signage on them, just place the logo of |

1 the lion.

2.2

I have some better close-up photos as you go through. So these will be the replacements, both the north and the south elevation. And then this is the additional lion. The lion is the same size on all four elevations.

There are LED illuminated signs, they have been engineered, obviously, for hurricanes. And they will light white at night instead of blue, and they'll be blue during the day. The lion will be white and red.

And then to get into the history -sorry, the clicker seems to have stalled on
me. Can you fast forward for me? This
isn't working.

I have some of the historic buildings in here as well -- or the signage, I should say. So this is when Wachovia was on the building. We have a very similar look to this, where they were just using, what they called, the rivers, on the east and west elevation, and the full logo on the north and south.

| 1 | Click another one. I'm sorry. Keep |
|----|--|
| 2 | going. |
| 3 | I should mention we are smaller than the |
| 4 | Wachovia signage was in overall square |
| 5 | footage. And we have some comparison |
| 6 | pictures for what's been approved recently |
| 7 | around the city. |
| 8 | And go just one more, I think. Sorry, |
| 9 | this stopped working. |
| 10 | I think that's it. I do have when |
| 11 | the building was originally built, I believe |
| 12 | it was '55, it was the Gulf Life Building. |
| 13 | It did have four signs on it as well. So we |
| 14 | tried to do everything in line with the |
| 15 | architecture. Looking forward to showing |
| 16 | off the new brand, reconfirming Ameris |
| 17 | Bank's presence in Jacksonville. |
| 18 | And I have a few people here from |
| 19 | Ameris, if you would like to hear from them, |
| 20 | or I'd be happy to answer any questions. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I don't know that |
| 22 | they necessarily need to speak, other than |
| 23 | if you would like to introduce them, that |
| 24 | would be great, and have them available if |
| 25 | there are any questions. |

| 1 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Sure. Andy Cheney, |
|----|--|
| 2 | who was former |
| 3 | MR. CHENEY: Andy Cheney, I'm on the |
| 4 | executive team for Ameris Bank. And Former |
| 5 | Mayor John Delaney is on the Ameris Bank |
| 6 | Board here in Jacksonville. So I'll be glad |
| 7 | to make any comments if you need any further |
| 8 | background. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Welcome. Thank you |
| 10 | for joining us today. |
| 11 | All right. Great. Let's see, so this |
| 12 | time let's go ahead and go to public |
| 13 | comment. Are there any members of the |
| 14 | public that would like to speak on this |
| 15 | item? |
| 16 | All right. Seeing none, we'll go ahead |
| 17 | and bring it back to the Board. And we'll |
| 18 | start on the other side. |
| 19 | Ms. Durden, any questions or comments? |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I do have a |
| 21 | question about the strength of the lighting, |
| 22 | and for the lack of my layman's terms, okay. |
| 23 | I know that when we reviewed VyStar, that |
| 24 | was one of our big concerns was I haven't |
| 25 | seen or understood any of the information in |

regards to the strength. Will it be

similar -- and I don't know, Mr. Chairman,

if you want Guy to answer this or the

applicant -- but in terms of the strength of

the lighting lumens. Is it the same as what

Ameris has there now or is it a different

strength, stronger?

2.2

And this is just trying to be, quite honestly, just fair to our applicants that come before us. We made VyStar go back and come back with some additional information. So it is important when we're looking at night and the strength of those lumens. If you could provide us some information.

MR. KOPPENHAFER: Sure. I don't have the lumens information with me. But I can say that we are using LED illumination, which is similar to what's there now.

Because we are doing where it's blue during the day and white at night, that's a perforated film, similar to the ones that you see on the buses that the gentleman was mentioning before me. So the LEDs tend to be more muted rather than if they were just going to direct shot through a colored vinyl

| 1 | or even a white acrylic. |
|----|--|
| 2 | So it will be very similar, in all |
| 3 | honesty, to what is up there now as far as |
| 4 | blue versus the white at night. |
| 5 | But I can get the lumens information and |
| 6 | follow up with it, but I don't have that |
| 7 | with me, unfortunately. I'm sorry. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So perhaps, Guy, |
| 9 | you could answer. Maybe this is a better |
| 10 | way to ask the question: Do you know how |
| 11 | this lumens compares to what was approved |
| 12 | for the VyStar sign? |
| 13 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I know |
| 14 | enough to be dangerous. That's probably not |
| 15 | what you want to hear from staff. So not |
| 16 | being a sign expert, we look at the material |
| 17 | sample they sent over, and we look at the |
| 18 | material sample, by way of example, that |
| 19 | VyStar gave. We look at keywords in it just |
| 20 | to see if there are similarities, and there |
| 21 | are. |
| 22 | And maybe a way to put a little surety |
| 23 | on this is, if you condition this, right, |
| 24 | that we will come back to you with those |

specifications and we'll maybe meet with

1 Mr. Loretta, if the Chair appoints him as 2 such, as he seemed to know a lot about it at 3 that time, and if he reports back to the Board that, hey, this is very similar, then 4 we move forward. Or if it's Mr. Loretta's 5 opinion saying, hey, staff missed the mark 6 7 on this, it's not similar, then we could 8 bring it back. 9 But, you know, again, because looking at 10 it we look for keywords and they seem to 11 line up with signage that was previously 12 approved. If Mr. Loretta is fine with it, 13 we can use him as a -- whatever word I 14 should be using. 15 CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Loretta, would 16 you be okay being our lighting intensity 17 expert? 18 BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: That's fine. 19 CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Terrific. And one 20 thing to mention, I apologize, but I realize 21 there are a couple samples here sitting at

some of this explanation as well.

the table. If you maybe take a second to

these up and down and that may help with

explain what these are and then we can pass

2.2

23

24

MR. KOPPENHAFER: Yeah. I would be happy to. So this is the blue perforated film I was referring to. What it actually does is it shows blue at night. And farther away you get, the more blue it looks. So this would be very far away from anyone looking at it direct.

2.2

And then at night we shine a light through that. So it has to be enough light that it actually mutes out the blue, because all you will see is the white, and that's what makes it white at night. So this actually, because there is a barrier between it, is causing more of a muted look than you would see if we just put up the white acrylic. This would be very bright in comparison. So this will help tone it down.

I can get all the LED studies to you.

If we can prove out the lumens, we'll have our LED company do that. We're using G.E., a very high-end LED. So they would be happy to do that work for us and prove out that we're similar to VyStar. I also don't know what VyStar's lumens was, so I can't speak directly to that. But we can make sure.

1 The other thing we can do is currently 2 there are controllers installed in the 3 building. So we are able to, just like a dimmer switch, change the intensity of the 4 5 lighting if we need to. So we can keep those in place and we'll use the existing 6 7 ones. 8 If we, after his review -- they are a 9 little bit costly. So after the review, if 10 it seems like it still might be an issue, we 11 can add that condition, that we have controllers and we can adjust it if we have 12 13 to. 14 BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you very I want to make it clear that I'm not 15 much. 16 suggesting that it needs to be similar to 17 VyStar. I'm more interested in it being 18 similar to what's there now, as far as 19 strength, degree of lighting. 20 MR. KOPPENHAFER: And it will be, 21 because it's very similar to the 2.2 construction they have now. They have the

letters there now that you can see in the

left picture. And they do light white at

night. It will be a little bit easier to

23

24

| 1 | read, that was our goal with this. We made |
|----|--|
| 2 | the stroke of the letters, as they call it, |
| 3 | a little bit thicker. So it will be easier |
| 4 | to read at night as well. |
| 5 | Yeah. We definitely don't want to |
| 6 | overpower it because it just becomes a white |
| 7 | blob up there. So our LED people do all |
| 8 | that engineering. |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you very |
| 10 | much. So I like the suggestion that Guy has |
| 11 | made, I think, if Mr. Loretta says that it's |
| 12 | similar to what is there, that's what my |
| 13 | personal preference would be, that it be |
| 14 | similar, and that would be kind of what I |
| 15 | would ask that we viewed the not so much |
| 16 | the VyStar. So I just wanted to make that |
| 17 | clear. Does that sound reasonable? |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. |
| 19 | Mr. Harden. |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I have no |
| 21 | comments. Thank you. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. |
| 23 | Mr. Loretta. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I just want to |
| 25 | say thank you to Ameris Bank for providing a |

| 1 | great asset to downtown Jacksonville. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Thank you. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Jones. |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: No comment. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. Any |
| 6 | additional thoughts from staff or questions? |
| 7 | Okay. And I will echo, Ms. Durden, your |
| 8 | thoughts. |
| 9 | And, Mr. Parola, I think that is |
| 10 | actually a very good idea in knowing that |
| 11 | Mr. Loretta has agreed to being volunteered |
| 12 | to be our lighting reviewer for this, would |
| 13 | be very comfortable approving this with |
| 14 | having Mr. Loretta be the reviewer. |
| 15 | All right. So, Mr. Parola, so I'm sure |
| 16 | that I do this correctly, the motion-maker |
| 17 | needs to reference the actual conditions of |
| 18 | the sign exception, correct, regarding |
| 19 | square footage? |
| 20 | MR. PAROLA: So I think what I would do |
| 21 | is make the motion that you have the |
| 22 | condition, vote on that. And then that's an |
| 23 | additional condition. Then vote on the |
| 24 | entire item with the conditions that are |
| 25 | there and the amendment. |

| 1 | So unlike deviations, where you go |
|----|---|
| 2 | through each one separately, the conditions |
| 3 | are adopted in mass with the staff report, |
| 4 | unless OGC tells me differently. |
| 5 | MS. GRANDIN: (Indicating.) |
| 6 | MR. PAROLA: Nope. I got it right. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: So then we would |
| 8 | also need to individually vote on the two |
| 9 | conditions that are provided regarding |
| 10 | increasing the sign of the signage (sic). |
| 11 | MR. PAROLA: No, Mr. Chairman. Two |
| 12 | motions: one about the new condition and |
| 13 | then the staff report with the new |
| 14 | condition. The other one is kind of along |
| 15 | for the ride. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: How about if I try |
| 18 | to make a motion? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: That would be |
| 20 | great. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: The first being |
| 22 | that we I move that we add a condition to |
| 23 | the recommendations from staff to provide |
| 24 | for review of lumens by Joe Loretta to |
| 25 | determine similarity with current lumens of |

| Τ | the Ameris current Ameris sign. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. GRANDIN: Can I just clarify that? |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Sure. Yeah. |
| 4 | MS. GRANDIN: So, Ms. Durden, I think |
| 5 | what you're referring to is the lumens as |
| 6 | they have already passed through this. So |
| 7 | you don't care about the lumens inside, you |
| 8 | want to know what the lumens are outside; |
| 9 | correct? |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I guess you end up |
| 11 | knowing both, what's inside and how it |
| 12 | appears, but, yes. |
| 13 | MS. GRANDIN: Is that how you because |
| 14 | he said it has to pass through this. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: But it's passing |
| 16 | through something similar at this point. So |
| 17 | I think we can probably get an example of |
| 18 | what's going on right now, example of the |
| 19 | lumens that's there right now in comparison |
| 20 | to what they're proposing, and then it would |
| 21 | be spot on. |
| 22 | MS. GRANDIN: As long as you have the |
| 23 | information you need to make that |
| 24 | determination. |
| 25 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: And I do |

| 1 | understand what you're saying, Ms. Grandin, |
|----|--|
| 2 | because I remember you said the lumens are |
| 3 | going to have to be a little stronger to get |
| 4 | through the blue. So it is important that, |
| 5 | you know, what we're what we're concerned |
| 6 | with is how it looks, you know, at night, in |
| 7 | particular. So I move that additional |
| 8 | condition. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. So |
| 10 | there is a motion for the additional |
| 11 | condition allowing further review of the |
| 12 | lumens of the sign. Is there a second? |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll second it, |
| 14 | if I can. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Loretta |
| 16 | seconds. |
| 17 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 18 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 20 | All right. That carries unanimously. |
| 21 | We've added the condition. |
| 22 | Now I'll entertain a motion for approval |
| 23 | of the special sign exception with the |
| 24 | condition that was just approved. |
| 25 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So I'll move that |

| 1 | we accept the recommendation of staff to |
|----|--|
| 2 | increase the wall signage from the maximum |
| 3 | of 400 square foot to 1,356 square feet |
| 4 | along the north and south elevations; and |
| 5 | to and number two, to allow for |
| 6 | additional wall signage as follows east |
| 7 | elevation 196 square feet, west elevation |
| 8 | 196 square feet; and number three, to add |
| 9 | the prior condition to the final approval of |
| 10 | DDRB 2019-010. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: That sounds good. |
| 12 | We have a motion. Is there a second? |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Second. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. Second |
| 15 | by Mr. Loretta. |
| 16 | All those in favor, say aye. |
| 17 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 19 | All right. That carries unanimously. |
| 20 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Quick question: How |
| 21 | do I work with Mr. Loretta on that? |
| 22 | MR. PAROLA: (Indicating.) |
| 23 | MR. KOPPENHAFER: Mr. Guy. Appreciate |
| 24 | it. Thank you. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING. Item D. DDRB |

| 1 | 2019-11, special sign exception for SOBA. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Parola, provide us with the staff |
| 3 | report, please. |
| 4 | MR. PAROLA: Absolutely. Thank you, |
| 5 | Mr. Chairman. This is a special sign |
| 6 | exception for the we knew it as, once |
| 7 | upon a time, as the Home Street Property. |
| 8 | They've now got a hand in creating a |
| 9 | neighborhood back there. |
| 10 | The elevation we're talking about is, if |
| 11 | I have it correctly, the northern elevation. |
| 12 | So it's Home Street is the East West Street; |
| 13 | that is essentially their front door. I |
| 14 | think you all are very familiar with the |
| 15 | site. So you know it's kind of set back. |
| 16 | It sits off, I guess it would be, Hendricks |
| 17 | Avenue. Their front door is right off of |
| 18 | Home Street. If they would put a wall sign |
| 19 | on there, the wall sign would be somewhat |
| 20 | functionally useless. When people visit the |
| 21 | residence there, they're going to kind of |
| 22 | have to need to know how to get there where |
| 23 | the front door is. This is what we think is |
| 24 | a very reasonable way to accomplish that. |
| 25 | I have not done the measurements in |

terms of what they would be allowed wall sign, but just my familiarity with the code, believe it or not, they would be allowed 300 square feet on their facade as a matter of right, and you would never see that. What they're asking for is 57 square feet.

2.2

The only reason they are here in front of you today is not because of the sign type, rather it's because they're seeking to increase it from 24 square feet to 57 square feet.

When we look at signs, especially a blade sign or a projection sign or what have you, we look at it in the context of does it overpower the building or effectively communicate the information without compromising the architecture. We have found that it is the latter, that it communicates the message effectively. So anybody going on Hendricks will -- can look and actually see where the entrance to the building is, and it does not take away from the architecture. It rather compliments it and its location. And maybe the architect can confirm some of this seems to bifurcate

| 1 | or highlight the entrance to the building. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And so we've recommended approval. And |
| 3 | we're here for any questions. And the |
| 4 | presentation is here. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. Thank you. |
| 6 | Welcome. Please state your name and |
| 7 | address for the record. |
| 8 | MR. RICHARDSON: It's Ryan Richardson, |
| 9 | with Harbinger Sign, 5300 Shad Road, |
| 10 | Jacksonville, Florida 32257. |
| 11 | Yes, we are here today talking about the |
| 12 | SOBA sign. And to answer Guy's question, we |
| 13 | had came up with a calculation of 1,897 |
| 14 | square feet if we were to do the 10 percent |
| 15 | of a wall versus the 57 on the blade sign. |
| 16 | We are asking for a larger sign. And we |
| 17 | think it will help with the fact that the |
| 18 | north side of this elevation is facing other |
| 19 | buildings, stuff like that; and that we are |
| 20 | looking to try and capture from Hendricks |
| 21 | and from, I believe it is, Kipp Avenue, the |
| 22 | other side, to try and allow people to |
| 23 | identify the front entrance, which is |
| 24 | directly below that sign. And I think we |
| 25 | have done that effectively. |

| 1 | I don't think there is a whole lot to go |
|----|---|
| 2 | over except for just that portion there. If |
| 3 | you guys have any questions, I can answer |
| 4 | them. It's pretty self-explanatory, |
| 5 | fortunately. I don't have a lot. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. |
| 7 | Mr. Jones. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: I have no |
| 9 | questions. I think it compliments the |
| 10 | building wonderfully. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Loretta. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I think I should |
| 13 | make knowledge that I did work on this |
| 14 | project from a site plan in landscape |
| 15 | architect and perspective of hardscape |
| 16 | design, but that was more than a year and a |
| 17 | half ago. And I haven't been compensated by |
| 18 | the client in over a year and a half. So I |
| 19 | don't really see I have a conflict at this |
| 20 | point in this regard. I have got no |
| 21 | comments. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. Mr. Harden. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I agree what he |
| 24 | said before. I think it is in context. I |
| 25 | think this is signage we should encourage. |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Ms. Durden. |
| 3 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I like the sign. |
| 4 | I like the idea of a blade sign. I have a |
| 5 | question about the illumination. Is it |
| 6 | uplighting onto the sign? |
| 7 | MR. RICHARDSON: It is reverse lit. So |
| 8 | the letters, the SOBA will actually have the |
| 9 | lights internally in them. They'll project |
| 10 | inside and then cast back out onto the |
| 11 | background. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So only the |
| 13 | letters themselves will be |
| 14 | MR. RICHARDSON: Is there a way to skip |
| 15 | forward on one of these pages? There it is. |
| 16 | So the middle picture you'll see that |
| 17 | white halo effect. That is actually the |
| 18 | illumination. So it's internally |
| 19 | illuminated inside that cabinet where it |
| 20 | will house all its electronic components and |
| 21 | everything else. It is in white LED. But |
| 22 | it will basically cast a shadow onto the |
| 23 | background, or an illumination of halo |
| 24 | effect on the background versus being uplit |
| 25 | or coming through the face of the laver |

| 1 | itself. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Just for |
| 3 | consistency, this obviously is not like a |
| 4 | sign that, you know, on a highrise that is |
| 5 | for the whole world to see. Would you say |
| 6 | that it's more like, perhaps, a restaurant |
| 7 | sign? There is a restaurant across the way, |
| 8 | BB's, got a historic sign, kind of it's not |
| 9 | terribly bright. |
| 10 | MR. RICHARDSON: That is actually the |
| 11 | same construction as Cowford. So it will |
| 12 | light up very similar to that. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Just trying to be |
| 14 | consistent here. And so that certainly |
| 15 | that sounds reasonable to me. Thank you |
| 16 | very much. |
| 17 | MR. RICHARDSON: You're welcome. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I have managed to |
| 19 | bypass public comment again. So we're going |
| 20 | to take a step back to public comment. And |
| 21 | ask if there are any members of the audience |
| 22 | that would like to speak on this item. |
| 23 | All right. Seeing none, we'll bring it |
| 24 | back to the Board. And I don't have any |
| 25 | comments as well. |

| 1 | I think those were great comments, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Ms. Durden. I think it's very helpful. |
| 3 | Happy to entertain a motion if there is |
| 4 | a motion for approval. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Motion to approve. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Second. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: We have a motion by |
| 8 | Mr. Jones. And let me get back to the |
| 9 | actual item here to make sure. Do we need |
| 10 | to, as part of the motion, specify the exact |
| 11 | size of the sign? |
| 12 | Mr. Jones, if you're send that your |
| 13 | way, if you would do that, that would be |
| 14 | terrific. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Motion to approve |
| 16 | DDRB 2019-011 for special sign exception to |
| 17 | the overlay district to allow an increase in |
| 18 | the sign area for projecting, i.e., the |
| 19 | blade sign, from 24 square feet to a maximum |
| 20 | of 57 square feet. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. I have a |
| 22 | motion by Mr. Jones. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Second. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Second by |
| 25 | Mr. Harden. All those in favor, say aye. |

| 1 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 3 | Okay. Carries unanimously. Thank you |
| 4 | very much. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: South Bank, right, |
| 6 | SOBA? |
| 7 | MR. RICHARDSON: I have no idea. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I think you're |
| 9 | right. |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: There has to be a |
| 11 | NOBA coming next. |
| 12 | MR. RICHARDSON: If I get it, I'll bing |
| 13 | it to you. |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: On the west side |
| 15 | can be WOBA. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: With that, we'll go |
| 17 | ahead and move to item E. So DDRB 2019-12. |
| 18 | This is conceptual review for JEA |
| 19 | Headquarters. |
| 20 | And, also, Ms. Grandin, I need to share |
| 21 | for the record that Kimley-Horn & |
| 22 | Associates, the firm that I'm affiliated |
| 23 | with, is providing engineering services for |
| 24 | this project. So I am going to abstain from |
| 25 | voting on this item. |

1 MS. GRANDIN: And you have your form? 2 CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, ma'am. 3 you. Mr. Parola, if you would move forward 4 with the staff report, please. 5 MR. PAROLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as 6 7 I said in the beginning here, last time I 8 had PowerPoint, kind of walked you through 9 it because I knew we would be pressed for 10 time. I tried to get the staff report to 11 you with, you know, 10, 11 days to review, 12 so I trust you've gotten that. 13 I would just like to speak that our 14 recommendation for conceptual approval comes 15 with items A through G on pages 6 and 7. 16 And those really go to the new ordinance 17 code and try to really give a -- not 18 necessarily a heads-up, but saying, hey, 19 your building seems to conform, your parking 20 deck seems to conform. There are areas 21 where you can be even more consistent with, 2.2 you know, the pedestrian realm, the public 23 space. And that's what our conditions 24 really speak to. I think the applicant 25

could give their presentations, and I'll

| 1 | remain for any questions regarding our |
|----|--|
| 2 | recommendations. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Great. And I will |
| 4 | share that not to worry on the PowerPoint. |
| 5 | I know staff has been super busy with all |
| 6 | the activity we're seeing. |
| 7 | MR. PAROLA: We do love our PowerPoints. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Excellent. All |
| 9 | right. Yes. |
| 10 | MS. TRIMMER: Cyndy Trimmer, One |
| 11 | Independent Drive, Suite 1200. |
| 12 | Guy can do things with maps that I can't |
| 13 | dream of doing, so you're stuck with our |
| 14 | PowerPoint. So I'm going to skip through a |
| 15 | lot of these maps because we know where we |
| 16 | are. |
| 17 | This is the JEA Headquarters. And we |
| 18 | are delighted to report that we are going to |
| 19 | be finally creating the plaza space that was |
| 20 | originally conceived for the Duval County |
| 21 | Courthouse. This is just south of the State |
| 22 | Attorney's Office and catty-corner to the |
| 23 | courthouse itself. And we're going to be |
| 24 | repurposing this god-awful surface lot that |
| 25 | we have right now and turning it into this |

1 new beautiful building.

2.2

And this is kind of what we've got going

on right now. Nothing special, nothing

pretty to look at.

In the CCBD we are the only project presented to JEA that was truly in the central core. Everything that surrounds us, again, the courthouse, the State Attorney's Office, and then to the east we have the Ed Ball Parking Garage.

So here we have the site plan for the project, the western building is going to be the main JEA Headquarters. The southwest corner that we have down here is going to be our main entrance. It's a gorgeous two-story. It creates this brilliant statement piece on the corner and definitely pedestrian-oriented.

Everything that you see around the edges of the entire building, we have done what the new downtown overlay contemplates. We have the frontage zones that we've worked to activate. We have the full pedestrian zones meeting the minimum requirements, and then the amenity zones.

One of the original comments that we got when we were doing these preliminary meetings with staff was that they wanted to see full trees. And we've made sure that we've done what we can to bring the trees onto this frontage, which was our tightest space. And we had a full development meeting where we had everyone that's ever going to have their hands on these plans and basically came to an agreement to shrink the pedestrian zone and stub out the tree wells to make them viable for trees on that frontage.

2.2

2.4

And then we're going to do what we can in terms of activating here and here. So we've got that all brought in.

Moving up to this side, we have done appreciable landscaping for the amenity zones and have eliminated some of the existing street parking that is on Monroe over here. And you'll also notice that we've done that the same. We've pulled the pedestrian zone and the amenities zone and eliminated some of the street parking here.

The main concern with having the JEA

1 Headquarters was security. And you do not 2 want to have cars budding up against this 3 building, with the exception of the existing on-street emergency-only parking here. 4 we pulled those back and we've got the 5 street parking here and back in here and 6 7 then again along this side, but we have eliminated what existed on the street 8 9 parking here and here. 10 We've adopted what the overlay requires 11 in terms of where you're developing an 12 entire block to have urban open space and 13 incorporated that. And then this will be a 14 standalone garage structure. 15 The main JEA Headquarter structure is 9 16 stories, about 150 feet. And then the 17 parking deck is also about 9 stories, 110 18 feet. 19 This is an open air courtyard. It will 20 not be open to the public, but it will be 21 open to the JEA employees. 2.2

And then over on this side, because we challenged them to come in without any deviations out the gate, and one of the main things that we know that we want to see is

23

2.4

1 activation on standalone garages. So they 2 brought that in. They're working on 3 programming right now. That RFP went out. They have a separate team that's doing the 4 5 programming for the space. So I don't know exactly what's going to be there right now. 6 7 But what I can tell you is it will be JEA 8 uses, possibly their health and fitness 9 center, something like that, maybe credit 10 union-type uses. But it will be occupied 11 space. There will be people in there day 12 one. It's not going to be the type of 13 retail that we have downtown where it may or 14 may not be occupied, you could have vacant 15 storefront that is just dark. It is going 16 to have people in there. It will be active. 17 It's going to have entrances along Julia, 18 people coming and going. 19 Moving on, this is going to be our main 20 Monroe Street -- sorry, Pearl Street 21 frontage that's going to face into that 2.2 courtyard that we have with the courthouse. 23 And this is, again, the entrance that I was

pedestrian spaces that are created, not

referring to, you can see on here the

24

something that's really a topic for today, but we love writing on it. We have this gorgeous activated rooftop, and signage on this is pretty humble. It has the name in the skyline, but we're not asking for any signage deviations on the project.

2.2

I don't want to belabor all the frontages too much. I know that our urban open space, one of the comments that came in on the staff report is you want to see more detail on that when we come back for final. We will absolutely have that for you. It will be code compliant. It will have all of the types of things that are required in there in terms of the art or greenscape or whatever is required, and absolutely intend to come in without the deviations on that front. And then over here you can see the frontage a little bit with the activation on here.

These are our back corners.

I will have -- once we come in again for final, you'll be able to see the full activation on this with the entrances and everything else where you can see the

1 pedestrian engagement on those frontages. 2 And again from the back side. And all 3 of our trees and everything else we brought in. 4 And we'll have the full amenity area 5 fleshed out with the street furniture and 6 7 lighting and all of those type of plans when 8 we come back on that phase. 9 This is the back side of the garage that 10 will face the main building, but technically it's a standalone structure so we have to 11 12 show it to you. It's not the most exciting 13 part of the project, but that exists. 14 And our back corner, and then the floor 15 plan so that you can see it's -- there is 16 not going to be parking on slopes for the 17 garage; it's all going to be the surface. 18 And I believe that gets us to the end of 19 it. Go back to the site plan. I think that 20 might be the source of any questions. 21 I do want to run through: I've got 2.2 Nancy Kilgo with JEA available for 23 questions; I also have Mike Harryman from 24 Ryan Companies; and J.J. Conners, who is the

developer's local consultant; and Joe Mecca

1 and Blair Knighting from Kimley-Horn, with 2 the design team available as well. 3 CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. All right. We will go ahead and do 4 5 public comment. Are there any members of 6 the audience who would like to speak to this 7 item today? 8 Yes, ma'am. Please come forward and 9 state your name for the record. And you'll 10 have three minutes. 11 MS. POWELL: Nancy Powell, 1848 Challen. 12 I think it looks great. I think there 13 is a lot of positive things here. My only 14 comment, and maybe I don't understand it as 15 much, is over here on the parking garage 16 where she was talking about the street 17 frontage having activation, I wasn't quite 18 sure what goes up above that. And my plea 19 for all garages anywhere downtown, Brooklyn, 20 anywhere you build garages is to do the 21 design so they don't look like garages. 2.2 Other cities can figure this out. So if 23 somebody could show, I guess, that facade 24 over there, this one here, I couldn't quite 25 tell which one that is.

| 1 | MS. TRIMMER: So, through the Chair, to |
|----|--|
| 2 | answer Ms. Powell's question, right now it's |
| 3 | focussed on site plan and massing. So the |
| 4 | screening for the garage and everything has |
| 5 | not been fully fleshed out yet. |
| 6 | MS. POWELL: That's my comment. |
| 7 | MS. TRIMMER: Totally understand, and |
| 8 | there are requirements on that. And we're |
| 9 | still working on fully designing the |
| 10 | screening and the faces of the buildings |
| 11 | itself. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you for your |
| 13 | comment. |
| 14 | Any other members of the public to speak |
| 15 | to the site? |
| 16 | All right. So seeing none, we'll close |
| 17 | the public comment. |
| 18 | MR. PAROLA: If I can comment on the |
| 19 | public comment. That was kind of one of the |
| 20 | first things that we kind of took a look at, |
| 21 | even though when you come to conceptual, you |
| 22 | don't necessarily look for the skin in the |
| 23 | building; it's more massing and site plan |
| 24 | and things like that. |
| 25 | So what we did, because staff is very |

| 1 | helpful, Mr. Schilling, we sent to your |
|----|--|
| 2 | firm, since you acted on it, all the |
| 3 | requirements for parking garages that were |
| 4 | recently adopted in the ordinance code. So |
| 5 | the design team has those and will be |
| 6 | working with your folks to ensure that |
| 7 | they're met, assuming no deviations are |
| 8 | required. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. |
| 10 | And then so let's bring it back to the |
| 11 | Board. One thing to ask real quick that I |
| 12 | didn't ask was any ex parte communication, |
| 13 | if we would like to go ahead and hit on |
| 14 | that. |
| 15 | Ms. Durden, if you would like to start. |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I had ex parte |
| 17 | communication with Ms. Trimmer and |
| 18 | Mr. Conners. |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I had ex parte |
| 20 | communication with Mr. Conners. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: And I had ex parte |
| 22 | communication as well with staff in my |
| 23 | office and Ms. Trimmer and Mr. Conners as |
| 24 | well. |
| | |

BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I had ex parte

25

| 1 | communication with (inaudible). |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: No ex parte |
| 3 | communications. I haven't been here. I'm |
| 4 | just Fred Jones, it just pops up. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I can't remember |
| 6 | which direction we went last time. |
| 7 | Mr. Jones, any thoughts or comments or |
| 8 | questions? |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: No. I think and |
| 10 | staff addressed this based on where we're |
| 11 | at with the scale and massing, I'm |
| 12 | completely satisfied with that. I think |
| 13 | this is going to be, you know, an asset to |
| 14 | downtown. And, again, my concerns will just |
| 15 | be really focusing on what's the screening |
| 16 | or the mural approach potentially for the |
| 17 | garage moving forward. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Mr. Loretta. |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Probably the only |
| 20 | big comment that I would like to make, which |
| 21 | I said to the applicant, is at final, since |
| 22 | this is an entire block worth, I would like |
| 23 | to kind of see a little bit greater detail |
| 24 | on the site plan for the streetscape plan at |
| 25 | 20, maybe 40 scale, something of that |

| 1 | nature, not 100 scale, so that we can |
|----|---|
| 2 | actually understand truly what's all going |
| 3 | on. I feel like I don't see that being an |
| 4 | issue, and you'll have fantastic success, |
| 5 | but I would like to ask for that at final. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. |
| 8 | Mr. Harden. |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I think the |
| 10 | building looks terrific. I think we've all |
| 11 | seen various renderings of this over the |
| 12 | past several months. I think it's a great |
| 13 | design. The rooftop looks awesome. I think |
| 14 | it will look excellent in the skyline the |
| 15 | way that it interacts with the plaza of the |
| 16 | courthouse in covering that block. |
| 17 | I do agree with the comment that was |

I do agree with the comment that was made about the screen. I think that -- I mentioned that before. And I think it's a great opportunity architecturally to do something, you know, where you don't have windows to work with and to challenge the look of the building, because to the speaker's comment, we don't want our garages to look like garages. I think that's the

intent of the new downtown guidelines. So I
think that's really opportunity.

2.2

2.4

And if they're looking for a final approval next month, and I think that we need to find a way to do that between now and then, because I think it might be difficult, particularly if we have a larger representation. I know that we have a couple people missing here today, but we might not have agreement on that issue coming back at final. So I think that would be important, more so than the lighting, to have a meeting, if we do have a meeting, if there is a time period, that we have something scheduled and noticed so that we could meet together and look at some of those things.

I know I gave that feedback this
morning. I know it's hard to pull something
like that in a couple hours, but we don't
want to hold the process back. And so
whatever we can do to meet with them and
look at some ideas they come back that fit
within their new budget, I think is
important.

| 1 | And then the other comment I had was on |
|----|---|
| 2 | the active space, that seems again, I |
| 3 | know that we're you know, this is |
| 4 | just from massing, but it seems sort of |
| 5 | nebulous, I mean, that's an important part |
| 6 | of our guidelines is what that space looks |
| 7 | like. |
| 8 | I don't know if it's activated in the |
| 9 | sense that, you know, Baptist had that open |
| 10 | space in front of their garage in Palm |
| 11 | Avenue or if it's going to be a food truck |
| 12 | courtyard, or I don't know. I'm curious |
| 13 | what that looks like. |
| 14 | MR. PAROLA: If I can respond, |
| 15 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes. |
| 17 | MR. PAROLA: Really, staff didn't |
| 18 | probably should have pointed this out. We |
| 19 | concentrated so much, right, on the |
| 20 | pedestrian zone, and the frontage zone, and |
| 21 | the amenities zone, and that, that we sort |
| 22 | of glossed over the fact that they're |
| 23 | lining, it looks like, their east elevation |
| 24 | with retail. So we're getting where you |
| 25 | don't see I guess, on the east side, we |

don't see as much of a robust maybe sidewalk and pedestrian area. We should have pointed out that's the retail base. So the remainder of the building, to meet the intent of the code, is where you see the wider sidewalks and where you see the wider area, where we're putting the urban open space.

2.2

And when Ms. Trimmer mentioned that on the west side, so the Pearl Street elevation, would have trimmed it back a little to maintain the JSO parking right there, that's who I believe parks there. It wasn't to the -- at the detriment that we're not meeting the 12-foot sidewalk. It means they've shrunk what they had an abundance of, not decrease what they should have had. So I think that's important.

There are areas to your point,

Mr. Harden, that we are especially looking
at the southwest corner where it's the
biggest kind of plaza area. We really want
that more flushed out and understand what
that is. We think that's a great
opportunity there. And so your points are

1 well taken.

2.2

I think when you read conditions or
recommendations A through G, they're all
geared to Mr. Loretta's point of, you know,
this is an entire block, really tell us in
detail what you're going to do.

BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I didn't have any further comments.

CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Ms. Durden.

BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Yes. I want to reiterate the screening, mural facade for the garage, it's extremely important. We don't want to be -- we don't want it to look like a garage. And my comments are ones that I've already relayed to Ms. Trimmer and Mr. Conners, so there is not going to be anything different.

I do think that we need more detail on the Julia Street activation. I'm in favor of staff's recommendation to reduce the amenities zone to three feet for the majority along Julia Street to allow for the increase in the pedestrian clear area; however, it's my understanding that it may not be retail, that it may be, as you heard

Ms. Trimmer mention, that this will be space for the use of JEA employees. We may be able to see them in there working out or a credit union, but it's my understanding that the access points will probably be -- any kind of entrances along Julia Street will be limited with a card to entry for JEA.

2.2

I understand that from the perspective of security. We talked about how they will -- how JEA employees will actually get from the tower through the garage into this space, or maybe they'll go into it from the outside. Actually, I don't have a real problem with them going into it from the outside, because, again, that activates that street.

And I also understand on the -- you know, going to the east, that, you know, across the street is the very old Ed Ball Parking Garage, that I'm parked in right now. You know, so it's not a great exciting thing across the street, but we know we have to start somewhere.

And so, you know, north and south of this, hopefully there is more activation

1 right here along Adams Street. We have 2 Gus's Shoe Place. I mean, that's doing 3 better. 4 So it is important. I want to see a lot of detail about -- and I think that 5 Mr. Harden makes a good point that there is 6 7 only five of us here today. And there could 8 be others, especially coming back, if 9 they're going to try to come back next 10 month, I really like the idea about the 11 workshop or something like that in this 12 particular instance, Mr. Parola. 13 But coming back to this area, and I'm 14 sorry I don't have a pointer, but basically 15 we're talking about this whole rectangle is 16 the area that it could be retail, it could

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

be, but it's my understanding that it's not going to be accessible to the public.

So one of the things that they explained to me was they -- the garage has to come out that far, the garage itself. The garage structure has to be that far to the east. So one of the things that we talked about was whether or not the garage structure could be supported by some columns so that

you end up with an internal covered but open area there that could give some relief -- I think that's the technical architectural term -- some relief to that frontage. And I know that they were going to be looking into that.

2.2

And just the idea about if it is going to be completely internal to JEA, you know, that the frontage be a lot of glass so that, you know, we see activity even if, you know, I can't walk in there, but at least it will feel like people are in the vicinity. And if you had that little bit of a relief, you would at least be able to feel like, you know, maybe a park bench there -- or maybe not a park bench, maybe some other kind of amenity under that covered area. So these were things that we talked about and those were the two things, those primarily were the two comments, my feedback.

And I told them, and I'll tell my fellow Board Members, I feel like the design is fabulous. I'm excited about it. I'm excited that it's right in the urban core.

And I'm wholly supportive of the idea of a

new JEA building. And hopefully it comes to fruition. And, you know, it's a great design. And I appreciate the architectural style of the building very much. And I love, you know, the southwest corner and everything that they've done there. So, so much positive in it, that really when you think about how many things go into a building just to have, I heard from all of us, these are just the two issues.

2.2

And so I encourage the applicants to come back with a lot of information in regards to that and maybe some options. And like I said, I would favor a workshop or -- if that could make it move faster, if that's what the -- if that's the desire of the applicant. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Thank you. And

I'll add a couple thoughts as well. Is -and, Mr. Parola, I think Ms. Durden's and
the concept that has been raised is given
the amount of information related to this
project, that it very well may be a good
idea to schedule a workshop to help give the
Board Members and those Members that weren't

| 1 | here today an opportunity to |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. PAROLA: We're here Monday through |
| 3 | Friday regardless. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I'm saying that to |
| 5 | you but also to Ms. Trimmer, may be |
| 6 | something you would like to consider. |
| 7 | The other thing, to echo several of the |
| 8 | other comments, it's great to see this |
| 9 | project. It's an exciting project for |
| 10 | downtown. I'm excited about it being where |
| 11 | it is and book-ending the existing plaza |
| 12 | there in front of the courthouse, very |
| 13 | excited. |
| 14 | I know that I can offer first-hand |
| 15 | that the team has invested a tremendous |
| 16 | amount of effort and energy in working to |
| 17 | land this project without needing any |
| 18 | deviations, which I think is spectacular. |
| 19 | So thank you. I just wanted to add that as |
| 20 | well. |
| 21 | Any other thoughts? |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: One other question, |
| 23 | and this may have been addressed, but just, |
| 24 | obviously, we have this urban open space and |
| 25 | for security purpose it's going to be |

devoted to the employees. What sort of treatment are we talking about there to, you know, allow for the engagement? Obviously, we want transparency. So is it a scenario where people will be able to see inside this wonderful area, but there'll be a gate that they just can't get through? So I'm just imagining as a pedestrian you're going down, you see this open space, this is a wonderful place to eat a sandwich, but I'm not allowed in.

2.2

So what are we doing to just treat that space and create a public/private demarcation, if any? Just the thoughts on that.

MS. TRIMMER: Through the Chair, so there are two elements to this concept. So under the new downtown overlay, when you're taking up the entire block, you have to create these pocket parks. So we've done that externally here and here. And then we've created this true internal courtyard here. And as to whether it's going to be fencing, gating, open that you can see them, not sure yet.

What is contemplated under the code has green walls, art space, things along those So it might be muraled or green wall lines. along the back of those pocket parks that you see from the street, rather than being able to see into the internal courtyard. We're still programming that aspect of it. Certainly welcome feedback in terms of what the Board might like to see in terms of a preference on that.

2.2

There will be passthrough doors for folks to come in that will be security gated in terms of what's programmed right now.

But those are still, like I said -- we just brought the programming team on, so waiting to get the final decision on that. But --

BOARD MEMBER JONES: I would lean personally not to have the gate be -- but to use sort of a wall with some landscaping, maybe a funky mural of some sort so clearly you can see here is the space, but you don't invite folks to go, why is that door -- how do I get in. Just to demarcate it, so that's my personal preference, would be some sort of wall with treatment.

| 1 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any other |
|----|--|
| 2 | additional thoughts or questions or |
| 3 | comments? |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Motion for |
| 5 | conceptual approval. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: We have a motion |
| 7 | for conceptual approval, and probably ought |
| 8 | to reference the recommendations provided by |
| 9 | staff as well, with staff's recommendations |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Do we need to go |
| 11 | through each of them? |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I don't believe so. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: Motion for |
| 14 | conceptual approval with staff |
| 15 | recommendations. And I don't think we need |
| 16 | to mention the workshop; that's merely a |
| 17 | suggestion. |
| 18 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Second. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: All right. We have |
| 20 | a motion by Mr. Harden and a second by |
| 21 | Mr. Loretta. And we'll discuss that. |
| 22 | Yes, ms. Durden. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I was wondering if |
| 24 | we would consider adding the two things |
| 25 | that or actually three things that have |

| 1 | been raised, the screening, mural facade for |
|----|--|
| 2 | the garage, the Julia Street activation, and |
| 3 | then the pocket park detail, as just being |
| 4 | these are only recommendations, we want them |
| 5 | to be kind of this is for the information |
| 6 | of the applicant. So I would ask for a |
| 7 | friendly amendment to add those three items |
| 8 | to the list. It would be H, I and J. |
| 9 | MS. TRIMMER: Through the Chair, if I |
| 10 | may, just to clarify that we're just being |
| 11 | asked to focus on what the downtown code |
| 12 | provides as to those elements, not anything |
| 13 | above and beyond what the code provides as |
| 14 | to those elements? |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: That's correct. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Somehow, I made it |
| 17 | to K. I had screening, facade, Julia Street |
| 18 | activation, and further detail on the pocket |
| 19 | park. |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: H, I, J; am I |
| 21 | right? |
| 22 | MS. GRANDIN: Screening and facade is |
| 23 | the same thing. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I'm totally with |
| 25 | you. |

| 1 | All right. So motion-maker, Mr. Harden, |
|----|--|
| 2 | would you consider those that addendum? |
| 3 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: So make that |
| 4 | addendum in the motion, addendum to the |
| 5 | recommendation in the motion. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: For those three |
| 7 | additional items: screening of the two |
| 8 | further evaluate and provide detail on the |
| 9 | screening of the facade, of the parking |
| 10 | garage, the Julia Street activation, and |
| 11 | further detail on the pocket park. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll second that. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Does the original |
| 14 | seconder need to second that? |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Second. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Good. |
| 17 | MS. GRANDIN: Have we had public comment |
| 18 | yet? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I think we did. |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yeah, because we |
| 21 | had one comment. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Yes, we did. |
| 23 | All right. So we have a motion for |
| 24 | approval that is seconded. There is no |
| 25 | further comment. I will call that to a |

| 1 | vote. All those in favor, say aye. |
|----|--|
| 2 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Any opposed? |
| 4 | All right. So that carries four to zero |
| 5 | with Mr. Schilling abstaining from the vote. |
| 6 | All right. Thank you. |
| 7 | So we have no items under old business. |
| 8 | Under new business there are actually a |
| 9 | couple of items. Is there anything old |
| 10 | business or I have a couple new business |
| 11 | items. |
| 12 | MR. PAROLA: New business. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: So for new |
| 14 | business, I'd like to take a minute and |
| 15 | introduce Lori Radcliffe-Meyers, who is here |
| 16 | in the audience. She is going to be joining |
| 17 | DIA and city staff and is going to be our |
| 18 | new Jim Klement working with us with the |
| 19 | DDRB. So I want to give Lori an opportunity |
| 20 | to come up and say hello. |
| 21 | Welcome. Thank you very much. We look |
| 22 | forward to working with you. |
| 23 | MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Thank you. Very |
| 24 | excited to join the team. It's going to be |
| 25 | a great opportunity. Looking forward to |

| 1 | getting back into working on some good |
|----|---|
| 2 | discretionary projects, working with some |
| 3 | great people. I've worked with Kimley-Horn |
| 4 | before, so, yeah, very excited. |
| 5 | Recently I currently right now |
| 6 | currently I'm working at the City of |
| 7 | St. Mary's, very small town, just as their |
| 8 | planner too, but previous to that I was |
| 9 | working at the City of San Diego and with |
| 10 | the County of San Diego, so some very large |
| 11 | city work, which was very exciting. And |
| 12 | really looking forward to getting back into |
| 13 | that. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Well, welcome to |
| 15 | Jacksonville. We are very excited to have |
| 16 | you coming onboard and look forward to |
| 17 | working with you. |
| 18 | MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Great. Thank you |
| 19 | so much. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: And then the |
| 21 | actually, the last item I have of new |
| 22 | business is electing a new chair. |
| 23 | And, Ms. Grandin, would you take a |
| 24 | minute and let us know give us a quick |
| 25 | highlight of what we need to do and the |

| 1 | proper procedure. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. GRANDIN: Did you have a nominating |
| 3 | committee that put together anything? |
| 4 | MR. PAROLA: So the body as a whole |
| 5 | elects the chairman, the incoming chairman |
| 6 | then appoints a nominating committee that |
| 7 | elects a vice chair and secretary. That's |
| 8 | how the bylaws work. And that's what Jason |
| 9 | Teal explained to us last time when I tried |
| 10 | to get everybody elected at one time. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: So if I understand |
| 12 | this correctly, make sure I do this |
| 13 | correctly, right now I'm going to I |
| 14 | believe the correct approach is to open the |
| 15 | floor for any nominations, if any of the |
| 16 | Board Members would like to nominate another |
| 17 | Board Member to be the chair for the coming |
| 18 | year. |
| 19 | Mr. Loretta. |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Well, Ms. Durden |
| 21 | had her hand up. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: I'm sorry. |
| 23 | Ms. Durden. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I would like to |
| 25 | nominate Mr. Schilling to continue as the |

| 1 | Board Chair. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. Are there |
| 3 | any I'm going to keep looking for other |
| 4 | nominations. Are there any other |
| 5 | nominations? |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Typically, we've |
| 7 | gone where the vice chair has moved up to |
| 8 | the chair person on a yearly basis. Mr. Lee |
| 9 | is a fantastic architect and person on the |
| 10 | Board, but doesn't appear to be always |
| 11 | available. And so with that in mind, I |
| 12 | would like to nominate Mr. Harden to be the |
| 13 | chair this upcoming year. No offense, |
| 14 | Mr. Schilling. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: None taken. |
| 16 | Any other nominations? |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: I will second |
| 18 | Mr. Harden. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Okay. We have a |
| 20 | motion and a second for Harden. |
| 21 | Ms. Durden, thank you very much for the |
| 22 | nomination, but I'm not sure what the |
| 23 | right terminology is. But I will withdraw |
| 24 | myself because I think that Mr. Harden is a |
| 25 | great candidate. Not giving him a chance to |

| 1 | say he's would like to do this, but I |
|----|--|
| 2 | think it's appropriate to have a new person |
| 3 | be chair for the upcoming year, but thank |
| 4 | you very much. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I'm completely |
| 6 | supportive of Mr. Harden. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Excellent. So, |
| 8 | Mr. Harden, there is a motion and a second. |
| 9 | I don't know if you want to take a minute to |
| 10 | confirm your acceptance and you would like |
| 11 | to do this. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER HARDEN: I will graciously. |
| 13 | And I'm humbled by the nomination. I |
| 14 | appreciate it. And I appreciate the job |
| 15 | that you've done the past year. You've been |
| 16 | a good example to lead by in running these |
| 17 | meetings, especially as we become much more |
| 18 | busy, a lot of work and a lot of public |
| 19 | comment and a lot of things going on. So I |
| 20 | readily accept. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: Excellent. All |
| 22 | right. We have a motion and a second. If |
| 23 | there is no further discussion, I'm ready to |
| 24 | call to a vote for Mr. Harden to be chairman |
| 25 | for the next year. All those in favor, say |

| 1 | aye. |
|----|--|
| 2 | COLLECTIVELY: Aye. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCHILLING: That carries. |
| 4 | Mr. Harden, I am officially passing the |
| 5 | gavel to you to allow you to close and |
| 6 | adjourn our meeting or any other items. |
| 7 | (Applause.) |
| 8 | MS. GRANDIN: The nominations. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: So I would like to ask |
| 10 | if yourself and Mr. Loretta would serve on |
| 11 | the nominating committee for the vice chair |
| 12 | and secretary moving forward. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: I'd be happy to |
| 14 | do that. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I would be happy |
| 16 | to do that. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Perhaps, if we do a |
| 18 | workshop, we could try to schedule those all |
| 19 | at one time so you don't have multiple |
| 20 | visits, that would be great. |
| 21 | MR. PAROLA: On Saturday. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I don't think a |
| 23 | Saturday would be preferable. I don't know |
| 24 | what the city charter says about noticed |
| 25 | meetings on Saturdays. |

| 1 | MS. GRANDIN: Doesn't happen. That's |
|----|--|
| 2 | not really what it says. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Is there |
| 4 | any other further business? |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'm assuming |
| 6 | I've had some discussions with Mr. Parola |
| 7 | and Ms. Underwood about we have some active |
| 8 | candidates that are being vetted potentially |
| 9 | for my seat as I sort of roll off the DDRB. |
| 10 | Just wondering. I feel I'm more than |
| 11 | happy to make it in. But, again, I think |
| 12 | the spirit of this is having folks that can |
| 13 | continually be available for these meetings. |
| 14 | And I just wanted to make sure that my |
| 15 | time has been sort of limited with Neptune |
| 16 | Beach and all, you know. But I just wanted |
| 17 | to get some perspective on that. |
| 18 | MR. PAROLA: We are, unfortunately, |
| 19 | looking for someone to replace Fred to the |
| 20 | extent that anyone can replace him. We're |
| 21 | still hoping maybe he chooses us over his |
| 22 | other elected position. Assuming that |
| 23 | doesn't happen, we're actively looking to |
| 24 | that. |
| 25 | I can newly appoint people that are here |

| 1 | and fill the vacancy. I believe we still |
|----|--|
| 2 | have a vacancy from Logan, when Logan was |
| 3 | here. |
| 4 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Just another |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: What position is |
| 6 | he on? |
| 7 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'm urban planner |
| 8 | something, I think. |
| 9 | MR. PAROLA: He might have been an |
| 10 | architect or a downtown business owner, one |
| 11 | of the two. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Two planners. But |
| 13 | yeah, to fill the roll. And, certainly, I'm |
| 14 | more than happy, you know, as available, but |
| 15 | I think obviously, actually, to your point |
| 16 | about Mr. Lee and making sure you have |
| 17 | people here that are attending these |
| 18 | meetings on a regular basis and adding value |
| 19 | to the process is really important. |
| 20 | So it's an exciting board. I don't know |
| 21 | why we don't have more people that want to |
| 22 | do this. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I think it's |
| 24 | probably just mostly just awareness, quite |
| 25 | frankly. Maybe we just need to send out an |

| 1 | email to AICP or the APA Jacksonville or |
|----|---|
| 2 | whatever to ask for somebody, some sort of |
| 3 | recommendations. We can pass that to the |
| 4 | new Chairman. |
| 5 | MR. PAROLA: Just to kind of respond to |
| 6 | that. We do have a lot of interest. It's |
| 7 | balancing, getting someone who doesn't |
| 8 | recuse themselves every we have a lot of |
| 9 | people that participate in downtown |
| 10 | activities. So a quorum is one thing, a |
| 11 | voting quorum is probably the more |
| 12 | challenging of the two. So it takes a |
| 13 | little bit of time. |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: That's very |
| 15 | important. There was an ethics section at |
| 16 | the AICP or the APA meeting last week |
| 17 | that talked a little bit about that, where |
| 18 | two folks worked at DOT and sat on |
| 19 | Hillsborough Planning Commission, and there |
| 20 | was a lot of discussion about, if you have |
| 21 | to recuse yourself every other meeting, |
| 22 | what's the point of being on it. So that's |
| 23 | true. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Well, in case anyone |
| 25 | fills that position, thank you, Mr. |

| 1 | Jones for your service. I know you served |
|----|--|
| 2 | as chair when I started out, and also |
| 3 | another great example navigating us through |
| 4 | these waters. So if for some reason we |
| 5 | don't see you, then thank you. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Feel free to |
| 7 | continue to |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Well, we hope we do. |
| 9 | MS. GRANDIN: It takes a while, so it's |
| 10 | not happening next week. |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: If there is ever a |
| 12 | point if there needs to be a quorum, you |
| 13 | know, feel free to reach out. |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Like next month? |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: Hopefully, there is |
| 16 | nothing crazy, the K-Mart project in |
| 17 | Neptune. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: And, actually, now to |
| 19 | bring that up, the next meeting is scheduled |
| 20 | for October 10th; is that correct? Should |
| 21 | we check calendars now? I know that I had a |
| 22 | potential conflict, but if we do have if |
| 23 | we are short staff, should we check right |
| 24 | now to make sure everybody is available? |
| 25 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I'm available, |

| 1 | Mr. Chairman. But if you have a different |
|----|--|
| 2 | date I know I'm not available much the |
| 3 | next week. It's the Florida Redevelopment |
| 4 | Association annual conference. So if you |
| 5 | wanted to move it maybe to the week of the |
| 6 | 21st. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: No. I think I'm good |
| 8 | right now. I just want to check with |
| 9 | everybody else. |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'm fine on the |
| 11 | 10th as well. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Mr. Jones? |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER JONES: October 10th? I |
| 14 | have a DDRB placeholder for that day, so it |
| 15 | should be good. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Okay. |
| 17 | MS. UNDERWOOD: I'll check the other |
| 18 | members as well to see if we have a quorum. |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Mr. Chairman, are |
| 20 | you going to ask staff to try to find a date |
| 21 | for the workshop or were you thinking you |
| 22 | would add on, that it would be an add-on |
| 23 | or |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I think what we'll do |
| 25 | is probably talk to the applicant first to |

| Τ | see what kind of time they heed to deliver |
|----|--|
| 2 | that; correct? Is that typically the way |
| 3 | you ask? |
| 4 | MR. PAROLA: So over at least since Jim |
| 5 | left or at least since I've kind of been |
| 6 | involved in this, I've tried to move away |
| 7 | from the concept of workshops. We'll have a |
| 8 | workshop on this one, but what I would like |
| 9 | to do is offer the applicant enough time to |
| 10 | bring you 90 percent of this is what we're |
| 11 | talking about, not let's have a conversation |
| 12 | on 45 percent, and then we'll flush out the |
| 13 | next 45 percent at final. I don't believe |
| 14 | that's the will of the Board. |
| 15 | So I will get with the applicant, figure |
| 16 | out a reasonable time frame, run that by |
| 17 | you, Mr. Chairman, and then try to find a |
| 18 | date that works with everybody. |
| 19 | A good thing about workshops is there is |
| 20 | no formal action to be taken. So if not |
| 21 | enough people can make it, great. We |
| 22 | certainly try to maximize everybody's |
| 23 | attendance. |
| 24 | But we will have it to offer then both |
| 25 | enough time to provide you with something |

very constructive and also allow them enough time for November to, you know, put the other 10 percent on there, so that when we get to final, hopefully their intention of not seeking any deviations holds true and we walk smoothly through our November final.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I think the intent of this Board is that we want to move things through in a timely fashion so that it doesn't slow the applicant down in their process. And it seemed to me that they were looking for a final approval in October. Ιf they could wait until November, then it would allow us more time to think through that decision, because I think it is a big component of it, but it is the only major thing I think we're asking for for the next iteration of their presentation. So I think speaking with them to ask their opinion of how we should handle that, if they would like to do the workshop, we can, but we're not necessitating it if they can just give us patience in the October meeting.

MR. PAROLA: So I wasn't looking at my phone because I thought there was better

1 things to do; I was looking at it because 2 the applicant did communicate with me and 3 wrote, good with an interim workshop in October and final in November. They were 4 5 actually asking me if I was okay with that. I'm okay with that. So I think their intent 6 7 here, at least by this communication, is to 8 make October as constructive as possible and 9 then go through November. And of course --10 CHAIRMAN HARDEN: If they're comfortable 11 with that, but if they were looking for a 12 final in October, then I think it would be 13 difficult for us to opine on that item, that 14 component of the project and make a final 15 decision at that meeting. 16 MR. PAROLA: I understand. Let me say 17 this, we gave them an access agreement. So 18 all the due diligence, everything they need 19 to do from punching holes in the ground, to 20 the geotech, to the environmental, they're 21 already doing. So we're helping them move 2.2 along. We do things coincidentally out of 23 deference to creating timely projects. 24 If I hear differently from this or if I 25 know differently from this, I will inform

| 1 | you. And you offer staff guidance as to how |
|----|---|
| 2 | you want to proceed. Otherwise, if it's |
| 3 | their timeline, then let's have a really |
| 4 | constructive October. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN HARDEN: That sounds good to |
| 6 | me. |
| 7 | Okay. If there is no further business, |
| 8 | then meeting adjourned. |
| 9 | (Meeting adjourned at 3:58 p.m.) |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 3 | COUNTY OF DUVAL |
| 4 | I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered |
| 5 | Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I |
| 6 | was authorized to and did report the foregoing |
| 7 | proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1 |
| 8 | through 104, is a true record of my stenographic |
| 9 | notes. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | DATED this 26th day of September, 2019. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Churte & Mar |
| 15 | Amanda E. Robinson, Registered Professional Reporter |
| 16 | Registered Fioressional Reporter |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |