| | | | 3 |
|----------|--|----|---|
| | | 1 | manager. |
| | CITY OF JACKSONVILLE | 2 | MR. PAROLA: Guy Parola, DIA staff. |
| | COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY | 3 | MR. KELLEY: Steve Kelley, director of |
| | BOARD MEETING | 4 | downtown real estate and development, DIA. |
| | | 5 | MS. BOYER: Lori Boyer, CEO. |
| | | 6 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Jim Citrano, board |
| | Proceedings held on Wednesday, September 18, | 7 | member. |
| | 2024, commencing at 2:00 p.m., Jacksonville Public/Main | 8 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Scott Wohlers, |
| | Library, Multipurpose Room, 303 North Laura Street, | 9 | board member. |
| | Jacksonville, Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Pat Krechowski, board |
| | Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large. | 11 | member. |
| | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: | 12 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Sondra Fetner, board |
| | PATRICK KRECHOWSKI, Chair. | 13 | member. |
| | SONDRA FETNER, Board Member. SCOTT WOHLERS, Board Member. | 14 | BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: Micah Heavener, |
| | JIM CITRANO, Board Member. MICAH HEAVENER, Board Member. | 15 | board member. |
| | MELINDA B. POWERS, Board Member. | 16 | BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Melinda B. Powers, |
| | ALSO PRESENT: | 17 | board member. |
| | LORI BOYER, DIA, Chief Executive Officer. GUY PAROLA, DIA, Operations Manager. | 18 | MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer, Office of |
| | STEVE KELLEY, DIA, Director of Development. ALLAN DEVAULT, DIA, Project Manager. JOHN SAWYER, Office of General Counsel. | 19 | General Counsel. |
| | AVA HILL, Administrative Assistant. | 20 | MS. HILL: Ava Hill, DIA staff. |
| | | 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| | | 22 | Ava, I don't see anybody else from the |
| | | 23 | board online. Is that correct? |
| | | 24 | MS. HILL: You're right. |
| | | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| | Diane M. Tropia , Inc., Dost Office Box 2375 , Jacksonville , FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 | 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 2 | | 4 |
| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S | 1 | We'll go into the public comments. |
| | September 18, 2024 2:00 p.m. | 2 | MS. HILL: We have one request for public |
| 2 | | 3 | comment. We'll have John Nooney. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon, | 4 | (Audience member approaches the podium.) |
| 4 | everybody. | 5 | AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. Can you hear me? |
| 5 | We're going to call to order this meeting | 6 | MS. HILL: No. Press the button. |
| 6 | of the Downtown Investment Authority on | 7 | AUDIENCE MEMBER: All right. And you know |
| 7 | Wednesday, September 18th, at 2 o'clock. | 8 | what? You don't have anything here, so it just |
| 8 | If you could all rise and join me in the | 9 | slides down. |
| 9 | Pledge, that's how we get started. | 10 | All right. My name is John Philanthropic |
| 10 | (Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.) | 11 | Resiliency Nooney, ocho tres cinco seis Bascom |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. | 12 | Road. B, as is blazing; AS as in saddle, COM |
| 12 | Welcome, everybody. | 13 | as in movie. Blazing Saddles, you know, |
| 13 | Before we go around for introductions | 14 | Mel Brooks. Well, now it's going to be Blazing |
| 14 | we don't have the speakers up. So for the | 15 | Paddles. You know, just |
| 15 | board members and those at the tables, please | 16 | You know, I'm wearing my Todd Rubin shirt. |
| 16 | make sure you use your microphones so | 17 | And just so you can know, you know, we |
| 17 | everything can be transcribed. | 18 | have the ability now to make a movie, a |
| 18 | And if anybody from the public | 19 | documentary, a commercial. And so, you know, I |
| 19 | (Microphone failure.) | 20 | really want to be one of the biggest |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Is this ironic or | 21 | cheerleaders, and so you know, it's where to |
| 21 | Anybody from the public coming up, please | 22 | begin. Well, downtown. DIA, Downtown Includes |
| 22 | be mindful of the wires up front there. | 23 | Attorneys. DIA, Downtown Includes Actors. |
| 23 24 | So if we could start with introductions, Mr. DeVault. | 24 | Well, let me just say, you know, last time |
| 24 25 | MR. DEVAULT: Allan DeVault, DIA project | 25 | I was here, you know, I told you I had gotten a |
| 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | 1 | (001) 021 0000 |

| 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the Matthew's bridge. 15 or edits? 16 Matthew's bridge. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 And let me just say, you know, J'm in this 18 <i>Restiliant Jacksconville.</i> , You know, getting back to that 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 And, you know, getting back to that 21 trespass, you know, getting back to that 21 trespass, you know, getting back to that 21 the agroup vote. 22 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 23 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 26 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 3220: (B04) 821-0300 6 1 We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, 6 And, you know, nav tebe trailers, but | | i Jacksonville nunity Redevelopment Agency | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|----|---|----|---|
| 2 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So we will 3 Well, now 1 got a new one. Now I am 4 banned from all Jacksonville University 5 facilities because I went to the School of Law 6 and anyway, you know it got that 7 yesterday. So, you know 8 And now, you know, with downtown you 9 know, still looking for a sponsor. You know, 10 Imon Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 12 Jowntown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 15 And, you know, adm 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 18 Resiller Nerree Going to mis you. 20 the heyes say, you know, right now, i's real. This stuff is getting ready 21 thappen. 24 And I'let ly you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. 1 don't know how 21 therey heys charkenwile, FL 3220t 10 Mal there inter 3(c), Schoer Ge | | 5 | | 7 |
| Well, how I got a new one. Now I am banned from all Jacksonville University facilities because I went to the School of Law and anyway, you know it got that yesterday. So, you know And now, you know, with downtow you Kow, still looking for a sponsor. You know, Tim on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington BoARD MEMBERS: (No response.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move the approval BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions dentified Jacksonville, You know, Sondra Fetner, Loff Loff, we're going to miss you. And It en just say, you know, Tim in this Atterw's bridge. And you know, getting back to that Addither Jacksonville, You know, Sondra Fetner, Loff Loff, we're going to miss you. And the inter Jist suff is getting ready to happen. And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. The CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item at Agenda and the net agenda. The parking, you know, yight now any of the parking, you know, yight one you know, yight nord hards in the barking. You know, in any deal, you know, yight one you know, yight yores yonty.< | 1 | parking ticket, went to court, you know, for | 1 | MS. HILL: That's it. |
| Well, how I got a new one. Now I am banned from all Jacksonville University facilities because I went to the School of Law and now, you know me - you And now, you know me - got that yesterday. So, you know And now, you know, with downtown you Kow, still looking for a sponsor. You know, Tim on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington BoARD MEMBERS: (No response.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Nove to approve. BoARD MEMBER WOHLERS: (No response.) Community Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes approval BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions a group vote. THE CHAIRMAN: AN your meeting minutes approval BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions a group vote. THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions a group vote. THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. Mexima and the meeting none, Mr. Citrano, 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 10 have, who hours. THE CHAIRMAN: Any consent agenda. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion ont the conse | 2 | parking my van down, vou know, by the river. | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So we will |
| 4 banned from all Jacksonville University 6 facilities because I went to the School of Law 8 and anyway, you know it got that 9 yesterday. So, you know 8 And now, you know, with downtown you 9 know, still looking for a sponsor. You know, 9 I'm on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlingtom 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 12 Island and connects to here, you know, thet 13 downtown, nyeu know, and 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-056. 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And I et me just say, you know, You know, sondra 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 10 And, you know, getting back to that 11 the CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll just take 12 BoARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 13 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 14 moch more time I have, you know, right now 15 Loting, Jackes and the trajets, but have those three spots, 16 mach more time I have, you know, right now 16 Let any for the bars, you know, make it at least 14 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 15 really in a kayak in two hours. 16 And, you know, for the one that's at the 17 Jou know, in y deal, you know, wilk et is alid. I know 19 And just you know, like I said, I know 19 you know, in any deal, you know, you know, so thatever develops, you know, 19 And just you know, like I said, I know 19 you know, in any deal, you know, you know, so the sparse. 11 And just you know, like I said, I know 12 Mand just you know, like I said, I know 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you know, in any deal, you know, you know, so 15 And just you know, like I said, I know 16 And just you know, like I said, I know < | 3 | | 3 | - |
| s facilities because I went to the School of Law and anyway, you know it got that yesterday. So, you know,got that And now, you know, with downtown you know, still looking for a sponsor. You know, Tim on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington River, You know, and downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. downtown, you know, and Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the facture fuller W | | | - | • |
| 6 and anyway, you know, itorig don with downtown you 7 yesterday, So, you know, with downtown you 8 And now, you know, with downtown you 9 know, still looking for a sponsor. You know, BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 9 Tim on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 12 Island and connects to here, you know, the 30 downtown, nyu know, and 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 15 or dits? 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 Reeilleri Arcsonville, You know, sondra 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 10 And, you know, ney etting back to that 21 know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 23 to happen. 24 And Tilt tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 20 Board MemBERS: (No response.) 21 much more time I have, you know, miet at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything | | | | |
| 7 yesterday. So, you know, with downtown you 9 And now, you know, with downtown you 9 know, ytill looking for a sponsor. You know, 10 Im on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arington 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 11 Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes approval 12 Island and connects to here, you know, rute 11 Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes approval 13 downtown, you know, and 12 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions 14 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 16 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 15 And let me just say, you know, right agetting ready 20 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 16 disclosures by the Daard? 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'li just take 17 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 And let me just say too, Sidney Geting ready 20 And rill reapparking. You know we'le agence. 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 And then item al (ac), the consent Agenda. 25 < | - | | - | 5 |
| And now, you know, with downtown you know, still looking for a sponsor. You know, I'm on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington I'm conservention I'm conservention | | | - | , . |
| 9 THE CHAIRMAN: OKay. Seeing none, we've got the August 21st, 2024, Community 11 Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes approval 12 Island and connects to here, you know, the 13 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Mathew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 And, you know, ditting getting ready 21 to happen. 22 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 23 the bigest cheerleaders. I don't know how 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 real yos know, for the one that's at the 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 real you know, for the one that's at the 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<> | | | | |
| 10 Fm on Pottsburg Creek, next to the Arlington 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 12 Island and connects to here, you know, the 13 downtown, nyou know, and 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0550. 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 18 <i>Resillent Jacksonville</i>. You know, Sortha Matewas 2017-0001. You 20 And, you know, getting pack to that 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 22 know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 23 to happen. 24 And, Till tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 21 much more time I have, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, right now 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 14 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 15 forward. 16 BoARD MEMBER SC (No response.) 17 HE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, 18 BOARD MEMBERS (No response.) 19 Kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 19 you got all these plans. J plan on, you know, 19 you got all these bars. J plan on, you know, 19 you got all these bars. J plan on, you know, 19 you got all these plans. You know, Sot 19 Kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 19 you got all these plans. J plan on, you know, 19 you got all these plans. J plan on, you know, | | | - | |
| 11 River. You know, dumps out at Exchange Club 12 Island and connects to here, you know, the 13 Board members, you know, and 14 Downtown, you know, and 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 <i>Resident Accksonville</i> . You know, Sondra 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 And, you know, getting back to that 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (04) 821-0300 6 1 THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park. 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three trailers, but have those three spots, 9 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 11 19 And just you know, like I said, I know 19 You know, in any deal, you know, sotot sall these palas | | | - | , |
| 12 Island and connects to here, you know, the 12 BOARD MEMER CITRANO: Move to approve. 13 downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 15 You know, form the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Matthew's bridge. 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 a group vote. 18 <i>Ressilien1 Jacksonville.</i> (No response.) All in favor, say aye. 20 And, you know, getting back to that 18 a group vote. 21 trespass, you know, tat was 2017-0001. You 21 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 23 to happen. 22 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 23 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 24 24 I'll entertain a motion on the consent Agenda. 24 25 And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. 26 26 And, you know, for the one that's at the st. 3 3 27 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 3 | 10 | | 10 | |
| downtown, you know, and Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the Matthew's bridge. And let me just say, you know, Sondra <i>Resilient Jacksonville</i>. Post Office Box 2375. Jacksonville. FL 32201 (004) 821-0300 much more time I have, you know, right now Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park any of the parking, you know, make it at least three hours. You can't launch or do anything really in a kayak in two hours. And you know, for the one that's at the ty ou know, in any deal, you know, you know, you have, work or whatever develops, you know, you how, nor whatever develops, you know, you how, in any deal, you know, you show, you show, Tim stay. thawking very shortly. I mean, the tides are sour all these plans. I plan on, you know, You Sondra you know, Tim Shift Sig & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Sig & Stroll or, you wanw, Shots & THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Federer. Stager, whatever you want to call it, you Ms. HILL: Thank you. You time is up. Ms. HILL: Thank you. You time is up. Ms. HILL: Thank you. You time is up. Ms. HILL: Tha | 11 | | 11 | |
| 14 Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 or edits? 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We'll just take a group vote. 18 And, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 20 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 21 thespss., you know, that was 2017-0001. You 21 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeingonse.) 23 to happen. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 26 THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Peot Office Box 2376, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 6 1 much more time I have, you know, make it at least 14 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 15 3 any of the parking, you know, gou shaw, ing the asid, I know 14 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 6 4 Thet CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, fill in this in an | 12 | Island and connects to here, you know, the | 12 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. |
| 15 You know, from the Fuller Warren Bridge to the 16 Matthew's bridge. 17 And let me just say, you know, I'm in this 18 <i>Resillent Jacksconville</i>. You know, solvante 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 10 And, you know, getting back to that 11 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 12 know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 13 to happen. 14 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 15 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc. Pat Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (004) 821-0300 15 We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 HE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? 28 DARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 29 BoARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 20 BoARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 21 thropia, Inc., Pat Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (004) 821-0300 18 We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. 21 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 31 really in a kayak in two hours. 31 moth mow, is or - whatever develops, you know, 32 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, 31 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 31 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 32 forward. 32 And just you know, just going 32 forward. 33 And just you know, just going 34 for uschigh. And, you know, you thow, you to know, the spans. I plan on, you know, 35 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 35 Stroll or, you know, Shots & 35 Stroll or, you know, S | 13 | downtown, you know, and | 13 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. |
| 16 Matthew's bridge. 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 18 Resilient Jacksonville. You know, Sondra 19 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 20 And, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 21 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 22 22 Know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 20 23 to happen. 20 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 23 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 24 20 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 23 21 THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes 24 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 23 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 6 20 much more time I have, you know, right of 6 16 And, you know, now time that's at the 7 3 any of the parking, you know, you 6 11 16 And, you know, so whatever develops, you know, you 16 12 | 14 | Downtown Investment Authority, 2014-0560. | 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions |
| 16 Matthew's bridge. 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 17 And let me just say, you know, Sondra 18 18 <i>Resiliend Jacksonville</i> . You know, Sondra 19 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 20 And, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 21 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 21 22 Know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 20 23 to happen. 20 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 23 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 26 26 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 26 27 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 1 6 And, you know, so whatever develops, you know, you 16 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 18 You know, in any deal, you know, just going 17 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) | 15 | | 15 | |
| And let me just say, you know, I'm in this <i>Resilient Jacksanilie</i>, You know, Sondra Fetter, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. And, you know, getting back to that I trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready to happen. And I'll tell you, I really want to be one of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 T much more time I have, you know, right now Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park any of the parking, you know, make it at least three hours. You can't launch or do anything really in a kayak in two hours. And, you know, for the one that's at the Sta Johns River marina, keep those three spots, you know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. May du know, ina y deal, you know, just going forward. Mayou know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. Mayou know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. Mayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are super high. And, you know, I I don't know if you got atl these plans. I plan on, you know, thand just you know, like I said, I know twow, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroil or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. So we yoe unanimous approval on the consent So we yoe unanimous approval on the consent | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 16 | BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) |
| 18 a group vote. 18 a group vote. 19 Fetner, Lori - Lori, we're going to miss you. 20 And, you know, getting back to that 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 22 know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 23 to happen. 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 26 Diane M. Tropia, Inc. Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 6 1 Much more time I have, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 19 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 19 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 19 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 19 know. 20 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 21 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 For listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 And rill: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | - | | , |
| 19 Fetner, Lori Lori, we're going to miss you. And, you know, getting back to that 20 And, you know, getting back to that 21 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You 22 know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready 23 to happen. 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how 26 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 27 Much more time I have, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, you 16 thay it's for bast ramp parking. You know, you 17 the CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a 18 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 19 Stagger, whatever you wanw, I I don't know if 19 you got the Sip Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 Know. 21 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 For listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | |
| And, you know, getting back to that trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready to happen. And I'll tell you, I really want to be one of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | | | |
| 1 trespass, you know, that was 2017-0001. You know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready to happen. 24 And I'll tell you, I really want to be one 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 1 much more time I have, you know, right now2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, you know, in any deal, you know, just going 1 forward. 1 Moud, in any deal, you know, just going 1 forward. 1 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, is kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, vere momorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, Vou time is up. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mar. Mal. You waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mar. Yank wou, You waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mar. Yank wou, You waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mar. Yank wou, You waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mar. Yoone case, Ava? | | | | |
| know, it's real. This stuff is getting ready to happen. dand I'll tell you, I really want to be one of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0 | | | | |
| to happen. And I'll tell you, I really want to be one of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 1 much more time I have, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 6 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, i I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: And J, too, am in favor. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 26 And you else, Ava? 27 THE CHAIRMAN: So the meeting minutes 28 ORAD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 28 DOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. 29 BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. 20 Know. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 24 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | |
| And I'll tell you, I really want to be one of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 much more time I have, you know, right now any of the parking, you know, make it at least three hours. You can't launch or do anything really in a kayak in two hours. And, you know, for the one that's at the St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, you know, so whatever develops, you know, you that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you have the trailers, but have those three spots, you know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, twow, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you, You trame sup. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. MS. HILL: Thank you, You trame sup. MS. HILL: Thank you, You trame sup. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. Stagger, Whatever you want to call it, you Know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | , |
| 25 of the biggest cheerleaders. I don't know how Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 6 1 much more time I have, you know, right now2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 9 uu know, in any deal, you know, you that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you know, in any deal, you know, just going 1 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, You you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, you 5 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 6 super high. And, you know, seven tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 8 yougot the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. 12 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you You FTHE CHAIRMAN: Mark You 25 Anyone else, Ava? 25 And then item 3(c), the Consent Agenda. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300 36 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, thank you 20 the Sip & Stroll or, you know, shots & 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. 37 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 38 Cord MEMBER FETNER: In favor. 39 Cord MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 30 Cord MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 31 THE CHAIRMAN: Ma I, too, am in favor. 32 Or listening, and let's open up our waterways. 33 For listening, and let's open up our waterways. 34 THE CHAIRMAN: Mark You You or time is up. 35 Ang You else, Ava? 36 Cord MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 37 Cord Mark Mark Mark You You You You You You You You You You | | | | - |
| Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-03001much more time I have, you know, right now 2 Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours.1We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. 2 Any reason to pull any of these from the 3 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)82And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward.1We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03. 2 8 DOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)3And just whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 12 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 19 stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 12 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 12 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 12 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 13 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 14 FC CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 15 Kanyone else, Ava?10 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-30004BOARD MEMBER TEANCE 17 BOARD MEMBER TEANCE: In favor. 18 BOARD MEMBER TEANCE: In favor. 19 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 19 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Power | | | | • |
| (904) 821-0300(904) 821-030061much more time I have, you know, right now61Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park2Any reason to pull any of these from the2any of the parking, you know, make it at least4Consent Agenda?4three hours. You can't launch or do anything5really in a kayak in two hours.6And, you know, for the one that's at the5THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none,6And, you know, for the one that's at the6I'll entertain a motion on the consent agenda.7St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots,8BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve.8you know, so whatever develops, you know,8BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second.9that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, just going10Any comments or questions?12forward.11Any comments or questions?13And just you know, like I said, I know13THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, Mr. Citrano,14you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,13THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers.15kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are19BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor.16super high. And, you know, I I don't know if19BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor.17MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.18THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener.18Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you19BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor.21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.14 <td< th=""><th>25</th><th></th><th>25</th><th></th></td<> | 25 | | 25 | |
| 61We've got 2024-09-02 and 2024-09-03.2Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park2Any reason to pull any of these from the3any of the parking, you know, make it at least3Consent Agenda?4three hours. You can't launch or do anything5really in a kayak in two hours.36And, you know, for the one that's at the3BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)75THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none,675Stager, whatever develops, you know,9THE CHAIRMAN: MI right. Seeing none,911eventor train a motion on the consent agenda.97BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve.98BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve.99THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a10have the trailers, but have those three spots,1011you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,1112BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)13And just you know, like I said, I know1114you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,1315BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor.16you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots &17boARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor.18You got and let's open up our waterways.21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.22MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you23For Listening, and let's open up our waterways.24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.25 | | | | |
| much more time I have, you know, right now Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park any of the parking, you know, make it at least three hours. You can't launch or do anything really in a kayak in two hours. And, you know, for the one that's at the St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, you know, so whatever develops, you know, that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you have the trailers, but have those three spots, you know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. Ms. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. Ms. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you anyone else, Ava? Ms. Mayaking haver, Short Agenda (John Short) Ms. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you, You Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you, You Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Ms. HILL: Thank you You materways. Ms. HILL: Thank you You | | | | |
| 2Let me just say too, Sidney Gefen Park3any of the parking, you know, make it at least4three hours. You can't launch or do anything5really in a kayak in two hours.6And, you know, for the one that's at the7St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots,8you know, so whatever develops, you know,9that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you10have the trailers, but have those three spots,11you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,12forward.13And just you know, like I said, I know14you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,15super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you16super high. And, you know, I I don't know17kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are18you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots &19Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you20know.21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.22MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you23for listening, and let's open up our waterways.24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.25Anyone else, Ava? | | - | | - |
| 3 any of the parking, you know, make it at least 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, I I don't know if 17 know, Thursday. You know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 3 Consent Agenda? 4 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 5 THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second. 10 second. 11 Any comments or questions? 12 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hearing none, Mr. Citrano, 14 how do you vote? 14 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 15 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. 16 HE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. 17 BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | - |
| 4 three hours. You can't launch or do anything 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 4 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Mall right. Seeing none, 6 I'll entertain a motion on the consent agenda. 7 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. 8 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 11 Any comments or questions? 12 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, Mr. Citrano, 14 how do you vote? 15 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Petner. 17 BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. 18 OARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 19 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 23 For listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | |
| 5 really in a kayak in two hours. 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 5 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, 6 I'll entertain a motion on the consent agenda. 7 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. 8 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 11 Any comments or questions? 12 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. 14 how do you vote? 15 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. 18 OARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. 19 BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. 21 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | 3 | | 3 | - |
| 6 And, you know, for the one that's at the 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | , 2 | 4 | |
| 7 St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 10 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | 5 | | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Seeing none, |
| 8 you know, so whatever develops, you know, 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 11 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 8 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a 10 second. 11 Any comments or questions? 12 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hearing none, Mr. Citrano, 14 how do you vote? 15 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. 17 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. 21 BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | 6 | | 6 | - |
| 9 that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you 10 have the trailers, but have those three spots, 11 you know, in any deal, you know, just going 12 forward. 13 And just you know, like I said, I know 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 9 THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second. 10 second. 11 Any comments or questions? 12 BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) 13 Ant just you know, He and the ides are 14 how do you vote? 15 BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. 17 BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. 18 DOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. 20 Know. 21 BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | 7 | St. Johns River marina, keep those three spots, | 7 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. |
| have the trailers, but have those three spots, you know, in any deal, you know, just going forward. And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Anyone else, Ava? | 8 | you know, so whatever develops, you know, | 8 | BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. |
| 11you know, in any deal, you know, just going12forward.13And just you know, like I said, I know14you got all these plans. I plan on, you know,15kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are16super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you17know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if18you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots &19Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you20know.21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.22MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you23for listening, and let's open up our waterways.24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.25Anyone else, Ava? | 9 | that it's for boat ramp parking. You know, you | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a |
| forward. And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. MS. HILL: Thank you and the consent | 10 | have the trailers, but have those three spots, | 10 | second. |
| forward. And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. MS. HILL: Thank you and the consent | 11 | you know, in any deal, you know, just going | 11 | Any comments or questions? |
| And just you know, like I said, I know you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you Know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. May and else, Ava? | | | | |
| 14 you got all these plans. I plan on, you know, 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | , |
| 15 kayaking very shortly. I mean, the tides are 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | |
| 16 super high. And, you know, even tomorrow, you 17 know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if 18 you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & 19 Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you 20 know. 21 MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. 22 MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? | | | | |
| know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Anyone else, Ava? Know, Thursday. You know, I I don't know if BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| you got the Sip & Stroll or, you know, Shots & Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Anyone else, Ava? MS Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| 19Stagger, whatever you want to call it, you19BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor.20know.20THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener.21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.20THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener.22MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you21BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor.23for listening, and let's open up our waterways.23BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor.24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.24THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor.25Anyone else, Ava?25So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| know. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up. MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Anyone else, Ava? THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| 21MS. HILL: Thank you. Your time is up.21BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor.22MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you22THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers.23for listening, and let's open up our waterways.23BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor.24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.24THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor.25Anyone else, Ava?25So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you for listening, and let's open up our waterways. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. Anyone else, Ava? MR. NOONEY: All right. Well, thank you THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| 23 for listening, and let's open up our waterways. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney. 25 Anyone else, Ava? 23 BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. 25 So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | , , , | | |
| 24THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Nooney.24THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor.25Anyone else, Ava?25So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | - | | |
| 25Anyone else, Ava?25So we've got unanimous approval on the consent | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 25 | • | 25 | |
| | | | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| (904) 821-0300 (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |

City of Jacksonville

September 18, 2024

| | | 1 | Oncentined Condensed Copy |
|--|---|--|---|
| | . 9 | | 11 |
| 1 | agenda. | 1 | of three buildings, each with a zero lot line. |
| 2 | Welcome to Dorothy's Downtown and the | 2 | What's interesting about our building and |
| 3 | Prudential Club. | 3 | the building directly to our south which |
| 4 | Moving on to Resolution 2024-09-01, 324 | 4 | makes it somewhat challenging for |
| | | - | |
| 5 | North Broad Street facade stabilization. I | 5 | redevelopment, and that's why it's gone out |
| 6 | think you have an updated memo and maybe an | 6 | several times for disposition is we don't |
| 7 | updated resolution. | 7 | have any secondary ingress and egress to |
| 8 | Are we going straight to Mr. Parola on | 8 | another right-of-way. |
| 9 | this? | 9 | So the only way out of our building, |
| _ | | - | |
| 10 | MS. BOYER: You are. | 10 | without going on somebody else's property, is |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Parola, if you would, | 11 | through the front door. Because it's a very |
| 12 | please. | 12 | narrow building, it has created some |
| 13 | MR. PAROLA: Thank you. | 13 | challenges. |
| 14 | Let me draw your attention I put 211 | 14 | We acquired the building "we," as in |
| 15 | [sic] or 8-and-a-half-by-11 pieces of paper, | 15 | the City back in the River City Renaissance |
| | | | |
| 16 | stapled, and they've got some pictures on them. | 16 | Plan in 1994. And since then, several |
| 17 | So just to give context before I get into | 17 | dispositions have been issued, including an |
| 18 | the meat of the matter, the first picture, as I | 18 | award of the building in 2001. The developer |
| 19 | recall because I don't have it in front of | 19 | was not able to execute, and the building |
| 20 | me is a location map. So the property we're | 20 | actually never changed ownership. |
| 21 | going to be talking about sits on Broad Street, | 21 | A Notice of Disposition was also proposed |
| | | | |
| 22 | just south of Church, on the western side of | 22 | in 2006. But as I searched the old JEDC, or |
| 23 | the courthouse. | 23 | Jacksonville Economic Development Commission, |
| 24 | As we discussed and in the memorandum | 24 | records, I don't see where that was ever |
| 25 | you may not be able to see it on the map, but | 25 | issued. |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | (001) 021 0000 | | |
| | 10 | | |
| | 10 | | 12 |
| 1 | you will be able to see it on the picture below | 1 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in |
| 1 2 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so | 1 2 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it |
| | you will be able to see it on the picture below | | ¹² We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. |
| 2 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so | 2 | ¹² We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. |
| 2 3 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. | 2 3 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or |
| 2 3 4 5 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to | 2 3 4 5 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the | 2 3 4 5 6 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade of the building. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having to come back for nickels and dimes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade of the building. I'm just giving a little background, not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having to come back for nickels and dimes. I'm more than happy to answer any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade of the building. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having to come back for nickels and dimes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade of the building. I'm just giving a little background, not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having to come back for nickels and dimes. I'm more than happy to answer any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | you will be able to see it on the picture below the map there is a center building in not so great condition. That is our center building. That is the building we're talking about. If you turn to the second page, just to give context, that was a picture of when the building was in good condition, when we that's how DIA in all seriousness, that's how DIA received the building back in 2012 or 2014, so it was already in that condition. Resolution 2024-09-01 will effectuate a budget transfer and and by extension, grant staff the ability to pursue facade stabilization. So we want to preserve that front facade of the building you can kind of see how it's important in framing the block there, next to the other two buildings and demolition of the inside of the building. The inside of the building, as it stands today, has has all but collapsed, so we want to clear that out but maintain the historic facade of the building. I'm just giving a little background, not trying to repeat everything in the memo. But | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 12 We did issue the DIA a disposition in 2017, but for a variety of reasons, it it never moved forward. We then talked about having an RFP or Notice of Disposition issued in 2020. At that time, this is when we the I'm going to try to slow down for Diane. This is when the lack of a secondary access and the fire marshal really became sort of an issue, so we never followed through with it. So we were recently informed that the building facade is now bowing into the right-of-way. The so now we have a proposal for 177,000 and change to stabilize the facade but take down the rest of the building. We're asking for a budget transfer of 195,000 because once we get the 177-, they're going to want to do asbestos. Two thousand dollars there. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want a dumpster. They're going to want things. So we want to account for those things without having to come back for nickels and dimes. I'm more than happy to answer any questions. |

| Comm | nunity Redevelopment Agency | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|---|--|
| | 13 | | 15 |
| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Parola. | 1 | this building that's just been sitting there |
| 2 | Just so everybody else hears the answer, I | 2 | for decades? |
| 3 | asked Guy a couple of questions, so I'll repeat | 3 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair or to |
| 4 | them here. | 4 | the Chair, that's a really good question. |
| 5 | This is we're doing this, basically, to | 5 | Just because it's not locally designated |
| 6 | keep up our own property. This isn't there's a | 6 | doesn't mean the facade isn't important to |
| 7 | tenant coming or we've got some movement. It's | 7 | maintain for the other property owners. |
| 8 | really just to clean it up, be good stewards of | 8 | I would say I think it would be I don't |
| 9 | the property that we hold, and and make sure | 9 | think it would be a good look for us not to |
| 10 | it's not causing some problems for other folks. | 10 | maintain the facade. |
| 11 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, as you and | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: When you talk about the |
| 12 | I talked about, there's probably a better if | 12 | other property owners, you're talking about the |
| 13 | they talked to anybody, it would be Steve. And | 13 | ones immediately to the right and left of the |
| | I think Steve has had some conversations. | | front that kind of share the same line or |
| 14 | | 14 | |
| 15 | From my perspective, it's bowing into the | 15 | facade, for lack of a better word? |
| 16 | right-of-way. It's we need to do this. | 16 | MR. PAROLA: To the Chair, that's yes, |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other questions | 17 | exactly. |
| 18 | from the board? | 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else? |
| 19 | Ms. Boyer, you have something? | 19 | Mr. Citrano. |
| 20 | MS. BOYER: Mr. Chairman, I do. | 20 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Guy, do we share a |
| 21 | And I have a question for so this is | 21 | party wall with the adjacent owners? |
| 22 | maybe a question for you and for Mr. Sawyer at | 22 | MR. PAROLA: To the Chair through the |
| 23 | the same time. | 23 | Chair, great question. |
| 24 | As I'm reading this I know this is | 24 | We have separate walls. We do not share a |
| 25 | appropriating the money for it, but let me | 25 | wall. But as part of this, we have to have a |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 14 | | 16 |
| 1 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the | 1 | 16 structural engineer go in there because |
| 1 2 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will | 1 2 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other |
| | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? | 1 2 3 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to |
| 2 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because | - | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? |
| 2 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in | 3 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go |
| 2 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and | 3 4 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. |
| 2 3 4 5 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. | 3 4 5 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a | 3 4 5 6 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? | 3 4 5 6 7 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push people away from wanting it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. So without worthsmithing it, if it's the will | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push people away from wanting it. If the question kind of leads to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. So without worthsmithing it, if it's the will of the board and we'll amend the resolution. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push people away from wanting it. If the question kind of leads to the logical train of thought being, going through |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. So without worthsmithing it, if it's the will of the board and we'll amend the resolution. THE CHAIRMAN: I guess it begs the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push people away from wanting it. If the question kind of leads to the logical train of thought being, going through this effort and expense, will it make the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | ask Mr. Parola. I presume to demolish the interior rear space of the building will require JHPC approval? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, because it's 50 years of age, it probably will go in front of them. It's not locally designated and it's not part of a historic district. MS. BOYER: Okay. So it is not a designated landmark right now? MR. PAROLA: No, ma'am. MS. BOYER: Okay. I just didn't know whether we needed an authorization of the board as one of the paragraphs to pursue the appropriate permits for the stabilization, including JHPC, if necessary, as one of the paragraphs because you're suggesting we appropriate the money. I just want to make sure we can actually carry out the project. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair to the CEO, I mean, I don't see why that's not a good idea. So without worthsmithing it, if it's the will of the board and we'll amend the resolution. THE CHAIRMAN: I guess it begs the question, if it's not locally designated, you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 16 structural engineer go in there because these buildings are so next to each other and figure out, if we do this, what happens to the other the other side? So we will have a structural engineer go in there and look at everything. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And can I assume that the other two buildings that flank our building are not owned by the same person? MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I believe they are not owned by the same person. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And do we have any thoughts about disposing of this at some point, either through an RFP or some other MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I would imagine we do. I would imagine that Steve has been contacted by somebody. What I will say is, right now the condition of the building would kind of push people away from wanting it. If the question kind of leads to the logical train of thought being, going through this effort and expense, will it make the property more palatable? The answer is yes. |

| Comn | nunity Redevelopment Agency | 1 | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|--|---|
| | 17 | | 19 |
| 1 | Mr. Citrano, if I may, so there's three | 1 | mean, I'm I get the situation that we're in. |
| 2 | buildings, as you've seen, and the images | 2 | We have to stabilize the facade. I'm just |
| 3 | the building to the north, which is 328 Broad | 3 | hopeful that we can kind of start working on a |
| 4 | Street, was fully rehabilitated by a law firm | 4 | disposition and a logical what would seem to |
| 5 | and I believe is now under the ownership of | 5 | be the adjacent property owner maybe not, |
| 6 | JWB. They really control access to the back | 6 | but that would be a good thing to pursue sooner |
| 7 | side of the property. We do not have these, as | 7 | rather than later. |
| 8 | Mr. Parola alluded to, to provide that | 8 | MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to |
| 9 | secondary egress situation. | 9 | Mr. Citrano, we have, in the past, done just |
| 10 | The building to our south is owned by | 10 | that. And in particular, the property owner |
| 11 | Dr. Vanessa Dunbar (phonetic). She recently | 11 | that does have egress, which could then |
| 12 | acquired that building and has plans for its | 12 | absolutely use the parcel, was the one we were |
| 13 | redevelopment. She has an interest in 324, but | 13 | first reaching out to. |
| 14 | we've also had numerous other parties over the | 14 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Makes sense. |
| 14 | years expressing interest in our building | 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: So just so I'm clear, we |
| | , | | - |
| 16 | or, really, I should say that "site" more so | 16 | don't think that any Jacksonville Historic |
| 17 | than the structure that's there, obviously. | 17 | Preservation approval is required, or do you |
| 18 | So there's been a fair amount of interest | 18 | want language added to this that gives you the |
| 19 | in that property. But similar to other | 19 | approval to seek that if necessary, or I |
| 20 | property dispositions, given the economic | 20 | want to make before we get a motion on the |
| 21 | conditions and understanding what the request | 21 | floor, I want to make sure I understand that |
| 22 | would likely be in terms of additional funding | 22 | part. |
| 23 | through the City, et cetera, we've not moved | 23 | MR. PAROLA: To the Chair, we would not be |
| 24 | forward with any disposition notices or | 24 | seeking designation. |
| 25 | bringing it before the board for that | 25 | In the Ordinance Code, I believe, because |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | (00.1) 001 0000 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | (904) 821-0300 18 | | (904) 821-0300 20 |
| 1 | | 1 | |
| 1 2 | 18 | 1 2 | 20 |
| | 18 disposition. | | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a |
| 2 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an | 2 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. |
| 2 3 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their | 2 3 4 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was |
| 2 3 4 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. | 2 3 4 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. |
| 2 3 4 5 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to | 2 3 4 5 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to | 2 3 4 5 6 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest in this property, and in our site is such | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent of the board I'll defer to Mr. Sawyer, but I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest in this property, and in our site is such that it may help there may be an answer to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent of the board I'll defer to Mr. Sawyer, but I think he may give us leeway with Section 4, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest in this property, and in our site is such that it may help there may be an answer to the secondary egress to her site if she were to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent of the board I'll defer to Mr. Sawyer, but I think he may give us leeway with Section 4, which is authorizing the CEO to take all |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest in this property, and in our site is such that it may help there may be an answer to the secondary egress to her site if she were to control 324. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent of the board I'll defer to Mr. Sawyer, but I think he may give us leeway with Section 4, which is authorizing the CEO to take all actions necessary to effectuate the intent. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 18 disposition. So that's kind of a little bit of an update of the three properties and their current interest from others. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So we would have to solve this ingress/egress issue, correct? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, yes, that's correct. And Mr. Parola has done some work with the fire marshal, looking at our our site is unique because it has two front doors, and there is a way that you could solve for the egress by a putting fire wall close to one of the doors so that it provides a safe secondary access from the rear of the building. Whether or not it would satisfy the second story is another question, but, ultimately, yes, we would have to solve for that problem, which is the same for Dr. Dunbar's site that she's already acquired, and her her interest in this property, and in our site is such that it may help there may be an answer to the secondary egress to her site if she were to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 20 it's 50 years or older, that staff, at a minimum, has to take a look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's what I was asking. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I'm happy to make an amendment just so that we don't have to go through this again. (Off microphone.) THE CHAIRMAN: Say it again. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm happy to make an amendment just so that on the off-chance that it does require an approval, that we already we've already done that. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I wasn't suggesting a designation. I was just suggesting that if JHP approval is required, we go ahead and give you the authority to seek that and obtain that if that's what's needed. MS. BOYER: To the Chair, I think, based on the discussion here, if that is the intent of the board I'll defer to Mr. Sawyer, but I think he may give us leeway with Section 4, which is authorizing the CEO to take all |

| | 21 | | 23 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | demolish the rest of it and we want to preserve | 1 | I'm certainly going to do my best. |
| 2 | the facade and we're providing the funds to do | 2 | So before you is Resolution 2024-09-05, as |
| 3 | it, I think that language is probably broad | 3 | the title would suggest, is a modification to |
| 4 | enough to give us the ability to do whatever we | 4 | what was previously approved for RD River City, |
| | have to do from a permit perspective. | | which is the single-purpose entity name for the |
| 5 | | 5 | |
| 6 | MR. SAWYER: (Nods head.) | 6 | Related project on the Southbank. That |
| 7 | MS. BOYER: He's shaking his head "yes," | 7 | approval was under Resolution 2024-05-05 |
| 8 | for Diane. | 8 | earlier this year. |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Nodding his head "yes"? | 9 | As often is the case, as was the case in |
| 10 | MS. BOYER: Nodding his head, yes. | 10 | Gateway and is the case here, when we get past |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Not to over-lawyer it. | 11 | the approval and we're negotiating the |
| 12 | I'm comfortable with that, so I'll | 12 | redevelopment agreement, there's our |
| 13 | entertain a motion. | 13 | attention and our focus is super deep in the |
| 14 | Sorry. We have another question. | 14 | weeds and there's a lot of detail that really |
| 15 | Ms. Fetner. | 15 | comes to light that sometimes requires |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: For these estimates, | 16 | modifications, such as the case here today, why |
| 17 | did you include potential costs for | 17 | we're bringing this for some notifications to |
| 18 | construction access easements for the | 18 | the Related approval. |
| 19 | neighbors? Just assuming you might need access | 19 | I want to begin by saying that none of |
| 20 | to the back. | 20 | these changes affect the incentive amounts or |
| | | 20 | structure, really, that that was previously |
| 21 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, great guestion. We added we went from 177,000 | | |
| 22 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 22 | approved, not the ROI, nothing of that nature. |
| 23 | to and added another 18,000 for just sort of | 23 | So a lot of it is kind of structural and |
| 24 | those things. | 24 | technical, if you will. |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anyone else? | 25 | And in the staff report, it begins by |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 22 | | 24 |
| 1 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. | 1 | outlining the four different areas. Let me |
| 1 2 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. | 1 2 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four |
| | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. | | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. | 2 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four |
| 2 3 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. | 2 3 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of |
| 2 3 4 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. | 2 3 4 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way |
| 2 3 4 5 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? | 2 3 4 5 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. THA CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. Mr. Kelley, you're up. Steve, you can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. It was brought out, after they had |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. THA CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. Mr. Kelley, you're up. Steve, you can explain it as well today as you did to me last | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. It was brought out, after they had conversations and brought in additional |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. Mr. Kelley, you're up. Steve, you can explain it as well today as you did to me last week. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. It was brought out, after they had conversations and brought in additional experience with their relationships with their |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. THA CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. Mr. Kelley, you're up. Steve, you can explain it as well today as you did to me last | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. It was brought out, after they had conversations and brought in additional |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'll second. THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. Thank you for the explanation, and look forward to getting this cleaned up. Thanks very much. Okay. On to item 3(E), Resolution 2024-09-05, the RD River City (Related) modification. Mr. Kelley, you're up. Steve, you can explain it as well today as you did to me last week. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | outlining the four different areas. Let me also preface all of this by saying the four changes that are outlined at the beginning of the staff report are currently found this way in the redevelopment agreement as filed. So I make that point because there's going to be a fifth request that's not in the "as filed," and so I'm going to speak to it separately. So these four, should the board not not agree with these four, we will have to amend what's been filed and can do that as it's traveling through City Council committees. So that would be the path to follow. So I'm bringing this to you for that purpose. And so the first one is reducing the time period for truing up the City's dreaded word pari passu contribution towards construction costs with the senior construction lender from six months to three months and negotiating with Related. It was brought out, after they had conversations and brought in additional experience with their relationships with their |

City of Jacksonville Community Redevelopment Agency

23

(904) 821-0300

| - | f Jacksonville | | September 18, 2024 |
|--|---|--|--|
| Comn | nunity Redevelopment Agency 25 | | Uncertified Condensed Copy 27 |
| 1 | debt capital if the true-up was six months than | 1 | they once the developer has received a CO on |
| 2 | they would if it were at three months. And so | 2 | the garage improvements and the residential |
| 3 | our funding will be funded a hundred percent | 3 | improvements. |
| 4 | into escrow before the end of next year. | 4 | And so what would be remaining, then, is |
| 5 | And if that's really the release from | 5 | the Riverwalk improvements. And so if the |
| 6 | escrow to the senior construction lender is | 6 | Riverwalk improvements are not completed at |
| 7 | what we're contemplating. Originally, those | 7 | that time, then the completion grant would be |
| 8 | releases would be on an every-six-month cycle | 8 | released all the way down to \$1 million and |
| 9 | to to true up pari passu with what the | 9 | they would have the remaining time to the |
| 10 | senior lender had already paid towards the | 10 | outside completion date to finalize the |
| 11 | construction. And it was deemed that that | 11 | Riverwalk component, but we would still have a |
| 12 | would be prohibitive to their access to debt | 12 | completion guarantee of \$1 million to carry us |
| 13 | capital at six months, so we've negotiated it | 13 | through that date. |
| 14 | to every third month. | 14 | And then, finally, more or less in in |
| 15 | I can go into more detail as to what that | 15 | consideration of some of the requests, some of |
| 16 | process would look like because, trust me, | 16 | the asks that were being made by the developer, |
| 17 | there was a lot of conversation around that. | 17 | in the developer's completion grant guarantee, |
| 18 | Jim, if no other reason, I'd just like to | 18 | we didn't really we didn't have anything in |
| 19 | share it with you for your understanding and | 19 | the way of liquidity or net worth covenants, so |
| 20 | edification from your background. | 20 | they agreed to add those covenants in a |
| 21 | The second one is clarifying the dates | 21 | meaningful way to the completion grant |
| 22 | found within the performance schedule. There | 22 | guarantee that we will test at the time of |
| 23 | was some ambiguities in the way it was written | 23 | closing, then they'll have quarterly |
| 24 | in the term sheet and the way it was being | 24 | attestations from their CFO that demonstrate |
| 25 | crafted and drafted in into the | 25 | that they that their guarantee has some |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 20 | | 20 |
| 1 | 26 redevelopment agreement | 1 | 28 weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and |
| 1 | redevelopment agreement. | 1 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and |
| 2 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did | 2 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. |
| 23 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement | 2 3 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently |
| 2 3 4 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, | 2 3 4 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. |
| 2 3 4 5 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the | 2 3 4 5 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the | 2 3 4 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the |
| 2 3 4 5 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical | 2 3 4 5 6 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | <pre>weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked</pre> |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | <pre>weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the</pre> |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater detail relating to the satisfaction and release | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. And looking at all the numbers and looking at everything else and I know |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater detail relating to the satisfaction and release of the completion grant guarantee. And so, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. And looking at all the numbers and looking at everything else and I know Mr. Diebenow and Jeff is here as well. And |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater detail relating to the satisfaction and release of the completion grant guarantee. And so, basically, the way that this was resolved is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. And looking at all the numbers and looking at everything else and I know Mr. Diebenow and Jeff is here as well. And so they may want to speak to some of this. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater detail relating to the satisfaction and release of the completion grant guarantee. And so, basically, the way that this was resolved is that that guarantee would be released once | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. And looking at all the numbers and looking at everything else and I know Mr. Diebenow and Jeff is here as well. And so the y may want to speak to some of this. And so the I believe they're going to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | redevelopment agreement. And so in addition to that, what we did was we also added we only had a commencement of construction date in the original approval, so this adds or defines that the commencement of construction speaks to the horizontal improvements, but it adds a vertical improvement date as well, albeit at 36 months, which is not typically the kind of time frame that we would look for for vertical construction. But given that we didn't have anything other than between commencement and all the way to the extended outside completion date, we needed something along the way to say we need to see some vertical construction. And so where we landed was a vertical construction date deadline of 36 months from the effective date. I'm sorry, it's actually 36 months from the horizontal commencement date. The third point was providing greater detail relating to the satisfaction and release of the completion grant guarantee. And so, basically, the way that this was resolved is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | weight behind it in terms of both liquidity and tangible net worth. So those are the four that are currently in the redevelopment agreement. There's a fifth one, as you look to the back of the or the last paragraph of the staff report. And in the original term sheet in the term sheet itself, there was not a requirement for developer equity. In the staff report and in the presentation that was made in the original approval, it was talked about that the developer equity was \$67 million. And if you recall, we're using the high-rise methodology that was also approved in May that has certain other requirements in terms of the relationship of the incentives to equity. And because of that, the \$67 million equity was included in the redevelopment agreement. And looking at all the numbers and looking at everything else and I know Mr. Diebenow and Jeff is here as well. And so they may want to speak to some of this. |

09/30/2024 03:55:53 PM

| Comn | nunity Redevelopment Agency | | Uncertified Condensed Cop |
|--|---|---|--|
| | 29 | | 31 |
| 1 | request that the equity be reduced to | 1 | They'll they all will be doing |
| 2 | \$60 million. And I'll go ahead and get in | 2 | inspections. I don't know if it will be a |
| 3 | front of that and say that, as it it | 3 | third-party inspection, but they'll definitely |
| 4 | satisfies the requirements of that high-rise | 4 | be doing inspections. They'll be monitoring |
| 5 | methodology, but there's another consideration | 5 | everything that would be required to get |
| 6 | that we previously had agreed with the | 6 | comfortable themselves that their loan is |
| 7 | developer, that there would be a reduction in | 7 | protected. |
| 8 | the completion grant upon improvement of | 8 | I just want to take one tiny, little |
| 9 | economic conditions. | 9 | detour here on this and say, yes, we did go |
| 10 | In other words, if they saved on interest | 10 | from six months to three months. In other |
| 11 | costs or if access to capital was different | 11 | words, the lender will always be fronting out |
| 12 | than it was when this was first negotiated, | 12 | three months. The City will always be running |
| 13 | that there would be a reduction in the | 13 | three months behind. So there will be a |
| 14 | completion grant amount. | 14 | payment by the lender for three consecutive |
| 15 | They ultimately decided that that was too | 15 | months, and then at the end of the third month, |
| 16 | challenging, too difficult to structure, and so | 16 | DIA will have 30 days to review everything. If |
| 17 | that became taken off the table. And so | 17 | they're satisfied, then they'll release their |
| 18 | there is this request for a reduction in equity | 18 | portion of the escrow to catch up; ideally, in |
| 19 | that on some level was originally intended to | 19 | the fourth month, or 120 days after the first |
| 20 | go hand in hand. | 20 | draw. |
| 21 | And so for your consideration, I just put | 21 | But there's a concept in the original term |
| 22 | those facts on the table. And I think that | 22 | sheet that there would be an initial draw on |
| 23 | pretty well covers what's in this resolution | 23 24 | the very first month, and so I sent, Steve, you and John a note about this earlier. I think |
| 24 25 | before you. | | it's just an edit. I think it's a nit that we |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Steve. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 25 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | (004) 021 0000 | | |
| | 30 | | 32 |
| 1 | 30 Before we go to you Mr. Diebenow, the | 1 | 32 just missed, but there needs to be an original |
| 1 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the | 1 | just missed, but there needs to be an original |
| 2 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want | 1 2 3 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a |
| 2 3 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any | 3 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. |
| 2 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? | _ | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the |
| 2 3 4 5 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. | 3 4 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before |
| 2 3 4 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to | 3 4 5 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for | 3 4 5 6 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to | 3 4 5 6 7 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. We don't know exactly what methodology | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. We'll have 30 days to before we are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. We don't know exactly what methodology which lender is going to be using, to be frank. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. We'll have 30 days to before we are required to fund. We'll have 15 days to review |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. We don't know exactly what methodology which lender is going to be using, to be frank. We don't know who the lender is, but we've | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. We'll have 30 days to before we are required to fund. We'll have 15 days to review that information and to enter into a protest. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. We don't know exactly what methodology which lender is going to be using, to be frank. We don't know who the lender is, but we've surveyed our top three lenders, and we know, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. We'll have 30 days to before we are required to fund. We'll have 15 days to review that information and to enter into a protest. So if we get to the 30 days and we've not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Before we go to you, Mr. Diebenow, the Mr. Kelley had a lot of offer there, so I want to make sure anybody on the board have any questions? Jim. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, relative to the contribution of the completion grants for the funding of it it goes into escrow up front, correct? MR. DIEBENOW: (Nods head.) BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: A hundred percent? And then the release of that going from every six months to three months. Can we assume that the construction lender is funding on work in place and that they're they're going to have a third-party inspector that's inspecting the work in MR. DIEBENOW: Well this is Steve Diebenow, One Independent Drive, Suite 1200. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. We don't know exactly what methodology which lender is going to be using, to be frank. We don't know who the lender is, but we've | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | just missed, but there needs to be an original draw in the very first month. It can even be a modest, fixed amount, \$200,000 or something. There has to be something that shows the lender that the escrow system is working before they get so far out ahead of us. So in addition to the six months to three months, I think there also has to be an initial draw in that first month, which was a concept in the original term sheet, it just didn't make it into the RDA. MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, if I may address the question Mr. Citrano raised. So putting my bank hat back on. So we've negotiated in that we would have access to all inspection reports, any releases of lien releases and waivers, change orders, all the things that we would expect to see from a construction loan administration department. So that will come in to us as a packet. We'll have 30 days to before we are required to fund. We'll have 15 days to review that information and to enter into a protest. |

09/30/2024 03:55:53 PM

(904) 821-0300

| nunity Redevelopment Agency | 1 | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|---|
| ³³ funding, net of the disputed amount, while we wrestle with by "we," I mean Mr. Crescimbeni and I'm sure I'd probably be involved as well in looking at material stored on site, material stored off site, whatever we had a question about to reach a resolution, so we went into pretty great detail as to what our expectations would be in relationship with the construction loan administration department of the the senior construction lender. And we also contemplate entering into a tri-party agreement with with that lender to document these expectations with them as well. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Again, my assumption is that they are going to be funding on work in place. They are going to have inspection reports done. They are going to be collecting lien releases at each draw. I don't think we need to duplicate that, if we can just rely on that work. I just would not want our escrowed money getting out ahead of work in place. And the construction lender, I'm one hundred percent certain, is not going to allow that either. So if we can just dovetail on the efforts of the lender, I think Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 35 month escrow draw. And it doesn't have to be the exact amount, it's just some amount in the first month. I didn't hear, Mr. Kelley, you or Mr. Sawyer chime in, so I just want to highlight that as an issue that was in the original term sheet that I think we should need to take forward. I'm just raising it today for information because it's not one of the edits. MR. KELLEY: So, Mr. Chair, what Mr. Diebenow is speaking about is everything that we just said, except for with the exception of, instead of waiting for 90 days, that that the first month draw would go through that same process, and then it would be 90 days after that date, at which time we would do that whole cycle/process again. I want to also raise to the awareness of the board that all of this occurs following the full injection of developer equity, whatever that number is, whether it's 60- or 67- or if it gets modified. So their money would have been fully expended, not just injected, but expended, so Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| 34 we're fine. MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, to be more direct to your question, the answer is, yes, we will we'll be funding pari passu. So if the senior lender has funded on work in place or material stored on site or as inspected, then if if they have funded up to 18 percent of their commitment, then we would fund 18 percent to remain pari passu, but those funds wouldn't be released until additional work had been done. So our funding goes to the senior lender, not to the developer. And then they use our funds so that we stay in lockstep with them. So it's always for work that has been completed and inspected, everything that you're suggesting. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from any other board members? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Diebenow, anything else from you? MR. DIEBENOW: No. The so one issue, just coming out of that again, is the first Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | (904) 821-0300 36 we anticipate that there would be more than \$60 million of capital into the project by the time our work started. To the extent of Mr. Diebenow's offer to have some limitation on that amount or to further inhibit the opportunity that there might be some kind of a funding surprise for us in that first amount, that that might be something if if we could negotiate that further, but I personally don't have an objection to I understand what they're requesting, that the senior lender just wants to make sure that the release of escrow and everything funds smoothly before we get too far down that path. I would defer to the CEO if she has a comment or other recommendations to that point, or to Mr. Sawyer if he sees anything from a documentation or structural vantage that might suggest that's not a good idea. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to the board, I would simply ask, if this is not a term of the RDA that's already there, if that's what I'm hearing Mr. Diebenow say, that it is not in the documents and this will be a floor Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 |
| | ³³ funding, net of the disputed amount, while we wrestle with by "we," I mean Mr. Crescimbeni and I'm sure I'd probably be involved as well in looking at material stored on site, material stored off site, whatever we had a question about to reach a resolution, so we went into pretty great detail as to what our expectations would be in relationship with the construction loan administration department of the the senior construction lender. And we also contemplate entering into a tri-party agreement with with that lender to document these expectations with them as well. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Again, my assumption is that they are going to be funding on work in place. They are going to be funding on work in place. They are going to be collecting lien releases at each draw. I don't think we need to duplicate that, if we can just rely on that work. I just would not want our escrowed money getting out ahead of work in place. And the construction lender, I'm one hundred percent certain, is not going to allow that either. So if we can just dovetail on the efforts of the lender, I think Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 34 we're fine. MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, to be more direct to your question, the answer is, yes, we will we'll be funding pari passu. So if the senior lender has funded on work in place or material stored on site or as inspected, then if if they have funded up to 18 percent of their commitment, then we would fund 18 percent to remain pari passu, but those funds wouldn't be released until additional work had been done. So our funding goes to the senior lender, not to the developer. And then they use our funds so that we stay in lockstep with them. So it's always for work that has been completed and inspected, everything that you're suggesting. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from any other board members? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Diebenow, anything else from you? | 33funding, net of the disputed amount, while we wrestle with by "we," I mean Mr. Crescimbeni and I'm sure I'd probably be involved as well in looking at material stored on site, material stored off site, whatever we had a question about to reach a resolution, so we went into pretty great detail as to what our rexpectations would be in relationship with the construction loan administration department of the the senior construction lender. And we also contemplate entering into a tri-party agreement with with that lender to document these expectations with them as well. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Again, my assumption is that they are going to be funding on work in place. They are going to be funding on work in place. They are going to be acting lien releases at each draw. I don't think we need to duplicate that, if we can just rely on that work. I just would not want our escrowed money getting out ahead of work in place. And the construction lender, I'm one hundred percent certain, is not going to allow that either. So if we can just dovetail on the efforts of the lender, I think Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203we're fine.1MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to PM. KELLEY: Through the Chair to PM. Citrano, to be more direct to your question, the answer is, yes, we will we'll be funding pari passu. So if the senior lender, nas funded on work in place or material stored for main pari passu, but those funds wouldn't be remain pari passu, but those funds wouldn't be remain pari passu, but those funds wouldn't be form your?BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from any other board members? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Diebenow, anything else from you?MR. DIEB |

| | | 1 | oncertined condensed copy |
|----|---|----------|---|
| | 37 | | 39 |
| 1 | amendment at Council, then I would highly | 1 | agent, was coincident with what the developer's |
| 2 | recommend that it be a fixed, limited amount as | 2 | recommendation was. So the City selected |
| 3 | opposed to an open-ended amount, just so if | 3 | Chicago Title to serve as escrow agent. |
| 4 | you're asking that as a Council approval, it | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mr. Sawyer, do you |
| 5 | gets it has some quantifiable number. | 5 | have anything else on this? At least the |
| 6 | MR. DIEBENOW: Again and I from the | 6 | procedure and then I guess I would want to know |
| 7 | developer's perspective, that's completely | 7 | exactly what we need to do today to include |
| | fine. We just want to be able to prove that | 8 | |
| 8 | - | - | this issue and this topic, in what order we're |
| 9 | the lender of the escrow process works before | 9 | voting on. |
| 10 | they get three months ahead and fix it at | 10 | MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer, Office of |
| 11 | \$250,000 is the number I threw out there. | 11 | General Counsel. |
| 12 | If it's \$100,00 I don't think it can be less | 12 | To the Chair, so this came to our |
| 13 | than \$100,000, but it's just got to be | 13 | attention, I think, yesterday. We've had no |
| 14 | something so that they know that it's it's | 14 | discussion with the developer about it, so it's |
| 15 | in the that it's able to work. | 15 | hard to agree in the abstract. |
| 16 | And this is a concept like I said, it's | 16 | I think the concept of the guardrails that |
| 17 | not new. I'm not just making it up today. It | 17 | Mr. Diebenow spoke about and having a |
| 18 | was in the original term sheet. It just did | 18 | relatively nominal amount at issue satisfies |
| | not get brought forward into the RDA. | 19 | some of the concerns. We don't we still |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | So that's one item. | 20 | have no concept of what we're walking into in |
| 21 | And the other one I would I would like | 21 | that first payment until we actually have the |
| 22 | to speak to the equity issue, but maybe finish | 22 | RDA drafted. |
| 23 | this one first, if in case there was | 23 | I don't think in concept I object to it, |
| 24 | anything else. | 24 | but we're going to need if it's subject to |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, let's take care of | 25 | review and approval of the Office of General |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 38 | | 40 |
| 1 | this | 1 | Counsel, we're going to need some way to select |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Have you identified | 2 | "no" if we can't get the language right. |
| 3 | the escrow agent or is it to be determined? | 3 | MR. DIEBENOW: We would be fine with that, |
| | - | - | |
| 4 | MR. DIEBENOW: It's I think it's to be | 4 | if there's an approval in concept and we can |
| 5 | determined and picked by the City, right? | 5 | hash out the exact language before an |
| 6 | Picked by the City, confirmed by us? | 6 | amendment, but the concept, again, as I said, |
| 7 | MR. KELLEY: So it would through the | 7 | is not a new one. It just didn't make it into |
| 8 | to the Chair, to Mr. Citrano, so we | 8 | the agreement. |
| 9 | Mr. Sawyer and I spoke with I'm sorry, John, | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So let's keep that |
| 10 | remind me the name of the firm. | 10 | in mind for any motion approving this. I do |
| 11 | MS. BOYER: Greenberg. | 11 | want to hear from Mr. Diebenow on the equity |
| 12 | MR. KELLEY: Not Greenberg. | 12 | piece, but I guess what I'm hearing is we'll |
| 13 | MR. SAWYER: Edwards Cohen. | 13 | if we're to move forward, we would amend the |
| 14 | MR. KELLEY: We spoke with Edwards Cohen, | 14 | resolution to include an initial draw subject |
| | the City's outside counsel, to get their | | - |
| 15 | • | 15 | to approval by DIA staff and the Office of |
| 16 | recommendations on the escrow agent, and we | 16 | General Counsel; is that does that sound |
| 17 | informed them that the the lenders that have | 17 | right? Is that what I'm hearing? |
| 18 | been used by the developer, typically, want to | 18 | MS. BOYER: To the Chair, if I'm looking |
| 19 | use the same agency as does the title work, | 19 | at the resolution, yes. |
| 20 | title check-downs, that there's an efficiency | 20 | But what I would say is in Section 2, |
| 21 | in that. | 21 | where you're authorizing the CEO to modify the |
| 22 | So we spoke with outside counsel about | 22 | terms in the redevelopment agreement and |
| 23 | this, and they were perfectly comfortable with | 23 | Related documents in accordance with the term |
| 24 | Chicago Title. So we recommended that Chicago | 24 | sheet as Exhibit A. And, in addition, to allow |
| 25 | Title could should serve as the escrow | 25 | an initial draw in an amount not to exceed X or |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | |
| | (904) 821-0300 D/2024 03:55:53 PM Page 37 t | <u> </u> | (904) 821-0300 10 of 28 sheets |
| | | | |

City of Jacksonville Community Redevelopment Agency September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

| | 41 | | 43 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | something like that. | 1 | the number that was used for equity in the |
| 2 | If that's where you wanted to add it, that | 2 | illustration. And so that number, then, got |
| 3 | would be a pretty simple way to take care of | 3 | picked up and hard-coded in all the documents. |
| 4 | it. | 4 | And what we have discovered as we've gone |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I agree, but I also | 5 | further along is that it this deal is going |
| - | | - | |
| 6 | want to make sure that we're giving OGC an | 6 | to be challenging, and the amount the |
| 7 | opportunity to say what they think about it | 7 | capital stack is going to be very difficult to |
| 8 | too, so we'll make sure that that's in there as | 8 | put together. And so the developer realized |
| 9 | well. | 9 | that the 67 million was just a number that they |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. | 10 | had coded in, and that, actually that you |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. | 11 | can get down to 60 million by and still meet |
| | | | |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, what happens | 12 | the program, still have the required amount of |
| 13 | in that initial draw? Does it get routed to | 13 | equity, still have the required amount of debt, |
| 14 | the borrower for its use for construction or | 14 | still meet all the ROI tests. |
| 15 | does it sit in escrow until they the actual | 15 | There's several tests on the level of |
| 16 | first draw request? | 16 | equity. And so in order to provide flexibility |
| 17 | MR. DIEBENOW: Well, the no, it sits in | 17 | and expand the ability of their their |
| 18 | the escrow until the first request. | 18 | ability to raise the money, frankly, they |
| | • | | |
| 19 | So the City puts the 39 million into | 19 | requested that instead of it just being at the |
| 20 | escrow, and then at the right time, whenever we | 20 | number that was 67 million, hard-coded, that it |
| 21 | make our first request, instead of waiting that | 21 | be allowed to go down to the number that still |
| 22 | first 30-day request, an amount capped at | 22 | meets all of the all of the checks and |
| 23 | whatever number you deem appropriate would get | 23 | balances that DIA has. |
| 24 | paid out within that 30 days, after the first | 24 | And that, frankly, is an issue that we |
| 25 | 30 days, so in month two. And then 90 days | 25 | have been discussing with Mr. Kelley and |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 42 | | 44 |
| | 42 | | |
| 1 | after that, we would start the cycle that | 1 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | |
| | after that, we would start the cycle that | | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't |
| 2 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. | 2 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. |
| 2 3 4 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. | 2 3 4 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, |
| 2 3 4 5 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. | 2 3 4 5 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, | 2 3 4 5 6 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next | 2 3 4 5 6 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was evaluated in accordance with the criteria, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this could go down. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was evaluated in accordance with the criteria, and it met the criteria. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this could go down. It's there's so many in short, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was evaluated in accordance with the criteria, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this could go down. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was evaluated in accordance with the criteria, and it met the criteria. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this could go down. It's there's so many in short, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | after that, we would start the cycle that Mr. Kelley mentioned. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sawyer. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I understand. MR. SAWYER: John Sawyer. Through the Chair, and just to clarify, those funds go straight to the construction lender, who then applies them to their next draw. MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good on that topic? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Steve, why don't you tell us about the equity and how you're going to navigate the process. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the other question here is the amount of equity that would be required. And when the developer put together their pro forma, they put together a pro forma that was, you know, their very best effort. It was evaluated in accordance with the criteria, and it met the criteria. In that original pro forma, 67 million was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. Sawyer for quite sometime. We haven't reached a resolution, I guess would be the best way to put it. And on the and on the downside, protection language or the downside considerations, we just, again, feel that it is really, really difficult to capture adequately it's not as simple as look, we had a 50 basis point reduction today in interest rates. How long that translates into benefitting a project like this is a complete unknown. All the folks that provide supplies, all the contractors, everybody sees that same rate adjustment and everybody comes and tries to get their piece of that savings. In addition, the people that will live in a project like this, they're there by choice. And their competition is for buying a home or renting a luxury apartment. Interest rates go down, mortgage rates go down. Now there's more competition. The rents in a project like this could go down. It's there's so many in short, there's so many variables that trying to |

| | 45 | | 47 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | draft something that worked just wasn't just | 1 | argumentative. I think there were a bunch of |
| 2 | wasn't possible. We tried. We evaluated | 2 | steps in between that I have no doubt that |
| 3 | several proposals. We worked on proposals | 3 | that is how Ms. Boyer heard it, but I think |
| 4 | ourselves, but we could never get to the point | 4 | there were a bunch of steps in between. It |
| 5 | where there was anything that really made | 5 | wasn't that linear of a conversation, where, |
| 6 | sense. Just to be frank, it just doesn't we | 6 | let's do this but not that. |
| | don't think it's workable. | 7 | Look, this is going to be a it's a |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, do you have anything | 8 | challenging project. It's a really big |
| 9 | else on that particular part of this deal? | 9 | project. It's got a ton of risk involved in |
| 10 | MR. KELLEY: Mr. Chair, I would only say | 10 | it. And, you know, this is the whole goal |
| 11 | that understanding that there was an | 11 | is to be able to give the developer the |
| 12 | agreement, that we were going to come up with | 12 | flexibility to be able to get the deal done, to |
| 13 | some structure, I put together a pretty robust | 13 | get the building built, have guardrails in it |
| 14 | model. | 14 | that protect that protect the City and |
| 15 | To Mr. Diebenow's point, which I I | 15 | protect the DIA, and we feel like the policy |
| 16 | understand the points that are being made in | 16 | does that. |
| 17 | the sense that not 100 percent of any interest | 17 | The amount of equity is not insignificant. |
| 18 | rate change is accretive to the bottom line. | 18 | In fact, it's quite significant, \$60 million. |
| 19 | Given that, there were some models that | 19 | And as a as a metric or as a percentage of |
| 20 | were proposed that I think met that kind of | 20 | the overall investment, as a percentage of the |
| 21 | halfway, some of those considerations, but | 21 | overall incentive, as a percentage of the |
| 22 | it at really kind of in the 11th hour, it | 22 | overall construction, there's lots of different |
| 23 | was determined that the developer, for the | 23 | tests on how we determine the policy |
| 24 | reasons that Mr. Diebenow cited Mr. Robbins | 24 | determines whether or not it's adequate. |
| 25 | is here as well. He may want to speak | 25 | We feel like it's this is the best |
| 25 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 25 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | (304) 021-0300 | | (304) 02 1-0300 |
| | 46 | | |
| 4 | 46 | 4 | 48 |
| 1 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the | 1 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to |
| 2 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. | 2 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but |
| 2 3 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the | 2 3 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't |
| 2 3 4 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, | 2 3 4 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. |
| 2 3 4 5 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion | 2 3 4 5 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. | 2 3 4 5 6 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an acknowledgment that the developer's equity | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: So if we're on a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an acknowledgment that the developer's equity could go from 67- down to 60- and still stay | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: So if we're on a trajectory downward and we want to reduce the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an acknowledgment that the developer's equity could go from 67- down to 60- and still stay within the high-rise program guidelines. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: So if we're on a trajectory downward and we want to reduce the developer's equity downward because it meets |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an acknowledgment that the developer's equity could go from 67- down to 60- and still stay within the high-rise program guidelines. And so where we've ended up now is the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: So if we're on a trajectory downward and we want to reduce the developer's equity downward because it meets requirements, wouldn't you say it would be fair |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | directly. I'm not sure, but that's the situation where we find ourselves. So there's nothing in the language of the redevelopment agreement that speaks to that, and it's just a flat \$39 million completion grant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Boyer, anything else from you on this? MS. BOYER: I think I will to the board, I think I will only add a little bit of color to this discussion that Mr. Kelley can correct me on if I'm wrong, but Mr. Kelley's model contemplated that, based on certain reductions in interest rates, there would be both a reduction in the developer's equity and in the completion grant. And that's when I first heard the \$60 million number, was kind of an acknowledgment that the developer's equity could go from 67- down to 60- and still stay within the high-rise program guidelines. And so where we've ended up now is the request to be able to reduce equity to 60- but | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 48 possible outcome in terms of being able to provide flexibility but also protection, but I anyway, I just wanted to add I don't think it was that linear. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thanks, Mr. Diebenow. Any questions from the board for either staff or the applicant? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, if I may. So yes, we did get 50 points today, and that's great news. That's great news, but that's today. And when the Federal Reserve moves, they don't move one time at a time. There is a trajectory of a path moving forward. So the biggest part of this project is being financed, correct, Mr. Diebenow? MR. DIEBENOW: There's a significant amount of debt, yes. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: So if we're on a trajectory downward and we want to reduce the developer's equity downward because it meets requirements, wouldn't you say it would be fair to put guardrails on the completion grant as |

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

City of Jacksonville Community Redevelopment Agency

12 of 28 sheets

(904) 821-0300

September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

47

| Comn | nunity Redevelopment Agency | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 49 | | 51 |
| 1 | our burden on the completion grant with that? | 1 | that would make everybody comfortable, and |
| 2 | Wouldn't that be, then, fair? | 2 | we we were not successful. |
| 3 | MR. DIEBENOW: Well, what you're asking, | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Jim. |
| 4 | then, an equity investor to do is take a great | 4 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Steve, refresh my |
| 5 | deal of uncertainty over the next two or three | 5 | memory. The required or the incentive could |
| 6 | years and in addition to the regular | 6 | not be higher than or lower than 65 percent |
| | | - | of the developer's equity? |
| 7 | uncertainty that they have. | 7 | |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: What uncertainty, | 8 | MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to |
| 9 | though? By saying if rates if your costs | 9 | Mr. Citrano, so the high-rise methodology |
| 10 | come down or and you don't need all | 10 | approved by the board in May has really three |
| 11 | 39 million to meet the funding requirements, | 11 | different limiters. One is that the completion |
| 12 | what risk is there to you? | 12 | grant may not be more than 65 percent of the |
| 13 | MR. DIEBENOW: Well, you don't know that | 13 | equity. Reducing it to 60 million makes it |
| 14 | that's the case. You don't know that that's | 14 | 65 percent of the equity. |
| 15 | the case. And so you're you're asking an | 15 | It cannot be the completion grant may |
| 16 | investor to put their money into a project that | 16 | not be more than 25 percent of hard costs. |
| 17 | has already a great deal of uncertainty and now | 17 | Reducing it to 60 million makes it 23.8 percent |
| 18 | you're injecting another level of uncertainty, | 18 | of hard costs. |
| 19 | and that is, if rates move this way and if | 19 | And then the total incentives combined may |
| 20 | things happen that way, then part of the | 20 | not be more than 100 percent of equity. And in |
| 21 | completion grant at the end is going to go | 21 | this case, reducing it to \$60 million, the |
| 22 | back. | 22 | total incentives would equal 98.3 percent of |
| 23 | And there's no way to there's no way to | 23 | equity. |
| 24 | test it. There's no way to create enough | 24 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So their request to |
| 25 | certainty for they're going to in other | 25 | go from 67 to 60 still fits within our |
| 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 50 | | 52 |
| 1 | 50 words, they're going to price that into what | 1 | 52 parameters? |
| 1 | words, they're going to price that into what | 1 | parameters? |
| 2 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require | 2 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to |
| 2 3 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a | 2 3 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. |
| 2 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more | 2 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe |
| 2 3 4 5 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a | 2 3 4 5 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get | 2 3 4 5 6 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. There's I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. I mean, if you if you want to I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then going back to Steve Kelley, was there a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. I mean, if you if you want to I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then going back to Steve Kelley, was there a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. I mean, if you if you want to I mean, again, we can continue to talk about the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then going back to Steve Kelley, was there a mechanism or a provision in the original deal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. I mean, if you if you want to I mean, again, we can continue to talk about the concept, but we gave it a we gave it a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then going back to Steve Kelley, was there a mechanism or a provision in the original deal that had a true-up or some kind of a provision |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | words, they're going to price that into what their investment is. They're going to require a higher return. And that's going to make it a more difficult project to fund, a more difficult project to raise money for, and a more difficult project to, in our opinion, get debt for. I mean, rates generally, the trajectory is going to go down. Maybe. I don't know. If I knew, I wouldn't be working here. BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's why the language is not definitive. That's saying if we don't need it and the lender comes back saying 39- now is 36- in completion, what happens to that 3 million at that point? MR. DIEBENOW: If the lender says that? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Yes, that's what I'm asking. MR. DIEBENOW: I don't know that the lender will ever say that. I mean, if you if you want to I mean, again, we can continue to talk about the concept, but we gave it a we gave it a really strong effort to try and get somewhere | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | parameters? MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, that's correct. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I guess maybe asking Scott's question a different way, are you still working off the same hard costs, soft costs budget except for pro forma interest expense? MR. DIEBENOW: Well, that's a great question. And, I mean, again, we don't know what commodities are going to do. We don't know what labor is going to do. We don't know what GCs are going to do. There's I think everybody can agree there's been a flattening maybe of those some of those costs. But the answer to your question is, yeah, we're we're working off of the same numbers that we have from the beginning on this most recent pro forma. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. So then going back to Steve Kelley, was there a mechanism or a provision in the original deal that had a true-up or some kind of a provision that if costs were lower that there would be |

City of Jacksonville

September 18, 2024

| | fJacksonville | | September 18, 2024 |
|--|---|--|---|
| Comr | nunity Redevelopment Agency 53 | | Uncertified Condensed Copy 55 |
| 1 | some calculation to lower the incentive and | 1 | Thank you for wording it a little better than |
| 2 | that's now not in the deal? Is that what we're | 2 | me, Jim. |
| 3 | talking about? | 3 | MR. DIEBENOW: I understand the concept, |
| 4 | MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to | 4 | and I I don't think either Mr. Robbins and I |
| 5 | Mr. Citrano, that was not in the original | 5 | can answer that today. We need to talk with |
| 6 | approval. It was something that we had | 6 | our with our financial folks in South |
| 7 | discussed and had an agreement with with the | 7 | Florida to talk about that. Their response, |
| 8 | developer at that time. It may have been | 8 | though, I can I can almost guarantee is |
| 9 | verbalized in the meeting, but it's not in the | 9 | going to be, will the City share in the |
| 10 | term sheet or the redevelopment agreement. | 10 | increased costs that exceed budget up to some |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: All right. So | 11 | threshold? |
| 12 | they're going to put \$60 million in. We're | 12 | Because that's the converse of this |
| 13 | going to put \$39 million in. The senior | 13 | conversation, is that and again, that is |
| 14 | lender, then, is going to fund. | 14 | I'm not offering that. I'm just and I'm |
| 15 | I think what Mr. Wohlers was getting at | 15 | just speculating because that is obviously |
| 16 | was, what if there are cost savings that so | 16 | ridiculous, but it's an illustration that |
| 17 | they get to the end of the project and they | 17 | Everybody wants a risk-free deal. This |
| 18 | have whatever the math is 60- plus 39-, | 18 | is I think strikes a really good balance in |
| 19 | less the total what if there's \$5 million of | 19 | the risk and on the in the reward on the |
| 20 | cost savings that get reimbursed back to the | 20 | project, but I understand the concept you're |
| 21 | developer as you know, through a loan draw | 21 | talking about and I'll go talk with them about |
| 22 | that is that becomes an equity | 22 | it. |
| 23 | reimbursement, right? | 23 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Yeah, I mean, |
| 24 | They take it out, put it back in their | 24 | we're we're not I mean, if you we're |
| 25 | pocket. Could there be, in that scenario, a | 25 | not getting much of a return here, right? I |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| 4 | 54 true-up on because then we would have | 4 | 56 we're doing this to get the units and get |
| 1 | tripped in theory, we would have tripped the | 1 | mean, we're doing this to get the units and get Related in town. |
| 3 | incentive. | 3 | So I would not put the City on the same |
| 4 | MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to Board | 4 | level as the developer. The developer takes |
| 5 | Member Wohlers and Citrano, that's an | 5 | great risk, I acknowledge that, but they also |
| 6 | interesting point | 6 | get the rewards at the end of the deal if |
| 7 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I'm going from | 7 | everything goes according to plan. |
| 8 | memory, but I had, like, \$202 million as the | 8 | Just thinking about a windfall scenario at |
| 9 | cost on this thing? | 9 | the end when we've got \$39 million and the |
| 10 | MR. DIEBENOW: Correct. 202-, something, | 10 | costs come in lower, what happens to that |
| 11 | 50. | 11 | money? And are we now in violation of the |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So what if it comes | 12 | incentive that we created? |
| 13 | in at the end of the job at \$195 million, I | 13 | MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to |
| 14 | think what Scott was asking was, what happens | 14 | Mr. Citrano, so the original approval, as is |
| 15 | to that \$7 million? Is that is that funded | 15 | captured in the redevelopment agreement, has a |
| 16 | | 16 | minimum capital investment requirement of |
| | out of line and goes back to Related, thereby | | |
| 17 | reducing the amount of the money they have in | 17 | \$202,746,000, and also it has a minimum direct |
| 17 18 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the | 18 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum |
| 17 18 19 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? | 18 19 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may |
| 17 18 19 20 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus | 18 19 20 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what | 18 19 20 21 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what happens to the unused loan funds? And then we | 18 19 20 21 22 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really the direct cost and architectural and |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what happens to the unused loan funds? And then we get reimbursed for our pro rata portion of it? | 18 19 20 21 22 23 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really the direct cost and architectural and engineering. It may be a little bit more, but, |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what happens to the unused loan funds? And then we get reimbursed for our pro rata portion of it? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's exactly what | 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really the direct cost and architectural and engineering. It may be a little bit more, but, in general, that's what that's what the |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what happens to the unused loan funds? And then we get reimbursed for our pro rata portion of it? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's exactly what I was asking from the get-go, just to clarify. | 18 19 20 21 22 23 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really the direct cost and architectural and engineering. It may be a little bit more, but, in general, that's what that's what the direct cost is. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | reducing the amount of the money they have in the project, and tripping the intent of the the parameters that we set? And so that that's maybe where we focus on. If there is that kind of scenario, what happens to the unused loan funds? And then we get reimbursed for our pro rata portion of it? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: That's exactly what | 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | development cost of 173,597. That minimum direct development cost is the number you may be familiar with. I call it the big number and the small number. The small number is really the direct cost and architectural and engineering. It may be a little bit more, but, in general, that's what that's what the |

| | f Jacksonville nunity Redevelopment Agency | | September 18, 2 Uncertified Condensed (|
|---|--|--|--|
| | 57 | | 59 |
| 1 | The big number includes a lot of soft | 1 | centering around, if you want to add that. |
| 2 | costs, third-party costs, maybe reserves, you | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: And that sixth change is |
| 3 | know, other things that we don't see as the | 3 | also subject to, from what I'm reading here, |
| 4 | building, per se. So we have that's why we | 4 | City Council, at least committee approval? |
| 5 | have those two different numbers. | 5 | MS. BOYER: The entire legislation would |
| 6 | Developers often ask me a question similar | 6 | be subject to City Council approval. The |
| 7 | to what you're saying, "What if I'm able to | 7 | difference would simply be whether they're |
| 8 | save costs somewhere?" And I don't mean to be | 8 | going into committee meetings with a DIA |
| 9 | flippant, but it is a little bit of a flippant | 9 | resolution that supports that amendment or |
| 0 | answer. I say, "You get to build a nicer | 10 | whether they're going into City Council asking |
| 1 | building," because this is what we're | 11 | for a floor amendment with DIA's opposition. |
| 2 | underwriting to. There's a lot of uncertainty | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Understood. Thanks for the |
| 3 | in all of these things, and so that's why we | 13 | recap. |
| 4 | put these different guardrails in place, I | 14 | MR. DIEBENOW: Mr. Chair, if I could just |
| - 5 | guess you could say. | 15 | clarify one thing too. |
| 5 6 | MS. BOYER: And, if I may, I think where | 16 | I don't and I don't think this was your |
| | Mr. Kelley was going initially in talking about | 17 | intention, Board Member Citrano, but if, for |
| 7 8 | the minimum capital investment is there is a | 18 | example, we got to the end of the project and |
| | reduction in the REV Grant if you fall below | | |
| 9 | | 19 | there was 5 million in savings, I heard |
| 0 | the minimum capital investment at certain levels. | 20 | maybe I misheard it, but it sounded like there was a characterization that that would be |
| 1 | | 21 | |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So maybe that's | 22 | \$5 million of return of equity to the |
| 3 | where we the safety net for the City is | 23 | developer. |
| 4 | through the REV Grant portion of it. | 24 | I think in reality what that would be |
| 25 | MS. BOYER: I don't know that it would be | 25 | would be \$5 million less of borrowing expense |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 322 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| 4 | 58 | 4 | 60 than a return of equity. I mean, the |
| 1 | commensurate. You know, it's not a | 1 | |
| 2 | dollar-for-dollar cost savings in comparison to | 2 | developer's equity at 60 million, or whatever |
| 3 | the potential savings, but it does give us some | 3 | it is, is going to be in there. I don't think |
| 4 | reduction if the costs go down. | 4 | the bank is going to write a check for |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: And I'm comfortable | 5 | 5 million to the developer at the end. I think |
| 6 | going from 67- to 60 I just think if their | 6 | they just would end up lending less, wouldn't |
| 7 | borrowing costs reduce and we have 50 points | 7 | they? |
| 8 | today and expect more, then there should be a | 8 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I've seen it happe |
| 9 | savings in the deal, and | 9 | both ways. I've seen it just you know, cap |
| 0 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: (Inaudible.) | 10 | it at 195-, and then I've also seen it where |
| 1 | MS. BOYER: To the Chair, if I may, just | 11 | the bank is just disbursed it back, but |
| 2 | to kind of clarify where we are and what we | 12 | MR. DIEBENOW: So your your concern is |
| 3 | were asking. | 13 | that |
| | | 14 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: My only concern i |
| | The resolution asks you to approve four | | |
| 5 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the | 15 | that if the actual costs come in less and that |
| 5 6 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City | 15 16 | results in however it gets paid, a return of |
| 5 6 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a | 15 16 17 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our |
| 5 6 7 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we | 15 16 17 18 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of |
| 5 6 7 8 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. | 15 16 17 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. | 15 16 17 18 19 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification allowing or approving a potential reduction in | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the incentive. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 3 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification allowing or approving a potential reduction in equity minimum equity from 67- to 60 That | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the incentive. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification allowing or approving a potential reduction in equity minimum equity from 67- to 60 That is at the board's discretion, whether you want | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the incentive. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the condition on a potential |
| 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 | modifications that were made in the RDA and the legislation that has been filed with the City Council. Mr. Diebenow has asked you to add a fifth, which is the initial advance language we talked about. The sixth would be with the modification allowing or approving a potential reduction in equity minimum equity from 67- to 60 That is at the board's discretion, whether you want to amend that and include that as part of the | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | results in however it gets paid, a return of equity at the end of the project, and now our \$39 million incentive is not 65 percent of their equity but something is greater that we've just violated the structure of the incentive. MR. DIEBENOW: Right. So the condition on a potential condition on our request to go from 67- to \$60 |

| , | f Jacksonville nunity Redevelopment Agency | | September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| | 61 | | 63 |
| 1 | related to the high-rise incentive policy are | 1 | of the overall total. |
| 2 | not violated? | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Understood. |
| 3 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I personally am | 3 | Anybody else? |
| 4 | fine going from 67- to 60- because, at present, | 4 | BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) |
| 5 | it meets the requirements of the incentive. If | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Ms. Boyer just |
| 6 | we do go from 67- to 7- [sic], if we could have | 6 | kind of recapped, so I will entertain a motion. |
| 7 | that language in there that says that, no | 7 | And we may need some help crafting it, but if |
| 8 | matter what, we're not we're not ever in | 8 | somebody wants to give it a stab. |
| 9 | violation of the of the thresholds that we | 9 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What was the first |
| 10 | set under the incentive parameters. | 10 | amendment that we talked about? |
| 11 | MR. DIEBENOW: I understand. | 11 | MS. BOYER: The first amendment that we |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kelley, just to | 12 | talked through the Chair to Board Member |
| 13 | (microphone failure) the 60-, 67-, or whatever | 13 | Citrano, the first amendment that we talked |
| 14 | it is I'm pushing the button it's not | 14 | about was permitting an initial advance subject |
| 15 | just (microphone failure)? That's what you're | 15 | to OGC approval as to the form of the agreement |
| 16 | saying (microphone failure); is that right? | 16 | in an amount not to exceed and if you want |
| 17 | MR. KELLEY: To the Chair, yes, sir. | 17 | to say \$100,000 that's fine, or 250 And |
| 18 | So the way the deal is structured and | 18 | amending Section 2 of the resolution to include |
| 19 | memorialized in the redevelopment agreement, | 19 | that language. I wasn't putting it in the term |
| 20 | the developer's equity is to be injected into | 20 | sheet; I was just putting it in Section 2 of |
| 21 | the development costs first. That does include | 21 | the resolution. |
| 22 | land costs. I'm sure they have some | 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Can I make one |
| 23 24 | predevelopment costs that would be in there, which is typical, but it also goes towards the | 23 24 | amendment with both concepts in it? MS. BOYER: Sure. |
| 24 | first I'm sorry, horizontal construction | 24 25 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: All right. So the |
| 23 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 23 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 62 | | 64 |
| 1 | costs. It may even get into some of the | 1 | first one would be, I propose to amend which |
| 2 | vertical construction costs. I'm not crystal | 2 | resolution is this? |
| 3 | clear how far that 60 million would go, but, | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: 2024-09-05. |
| 4 | yes, it's | 4 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Okay. Amend |
| 5 | It's injected first, so it would be in the | 5 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to allow for an initial |
| 6 | project, to Mr. Diebenow's point, to to | 6 | advance of up to \$250,000 subject to OGC |
| 7 | Mr. Citrano's point, that doesn't mean it | 7 | approval. |
| 8 | couldn't that capital account could not be | 8 | And the second amendment, to allow for the |
| 9 | reduced at the end by any windfall or | 9 | total equity requirement from the developer to |
| 10 | additional funding that was made available at | 10 | be reduced from 67 million to 60 million, |
| 11 | the end. It could go towards debt, it could go | 11 | subject to the completion grant incentive |
| 12 | towards equity. | 12 | parameters not exceeding 65 percent of total |
| 13 14 | But the the solution that's being proposed I personally think is a good one so | 13 14 | equity capital. THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion on the |
| 14 | long as the metrics stay in effect. And so if | 14 | floor. |
| 16 | the 60 million were tested and required to | 16 | Is there is second? |
| 17 | remain in the deal, then the 39- would be | 17 | BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: Second. |
| 18 | 65 percent of the 60-, and and that test | 18 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Is staff agreeable |
| 19 | would be in place. | 19 | to the wording of that? |
| 20 | If the construction costs had reduced, | 20 | MR. KELLEY: Through the Chair to |
| 21 | this is I just want to make sure that | 21 | Mr. Citrano, as opposed to saying 65 percent of |
| 22 | that the total incentives equal total | 22 | equity, I might suggest maintaining adherence |
| 23 | incentives are 98.3 percent of the equity, so, | 23 | with the high-rise methodology the metrics |
| 04 | effectively, that's the same thing. There's | 24 | found in the high-rise methodology approved in |
| 24 | | | |
| 24 25 | it just includes the REV Grant as well in terms | 25 | 2024-05-04. |
| | | 25 | 2024-05-04. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 |

City of Jacksonville Community Redevelopment Agency

| Comn | nunity Redevelopment Agency | 1 | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|--|--|---|
| | 65 | | 67 |
| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just | 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: There's | 2 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: I'm in favor. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Hold on. Hold on. | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. |
| 4 | Isn't this concept already in this | 4 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. |
| 5 | resolution, in your staff report? | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. |
| | | - | |
| 6 | MS. BOYER: It is in the staff report. It | 6 | BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. |
| 7 | is not in the resolution. | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. | 8 | BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. |
| 9 | MS. BOYER: So you have to add it to the | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor. |
| 10 | resolution if you want. | 10 | So unanimously approved. |
| 11 | MR. KELLEY: And it's really the potential | 11 | Thank you for the explanation, the work |
| 12 | for change along the way during the | 12 | sorry. That was the amendment. |
| 13 | construction period that's not contemplated | 13 | All right. Well, unanimously approved on |
| 14 | anywhere, that what we're suggesting is | 14 | the amendment. Thank you for your hard work. |
| 15 | that, if there are changes, as Board Member | 15 | The resolution as amended, do I hear a |
| 16 | Wohlers postulated, that in that | 16 | motion? |
| 17 | eventuality, the the completion grant and | 17 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Move to approve. |
| | everything would have to resize such that the | 18 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Second. |
| 18 | , - | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second on the |
| 19 | high-rise methodology and its requirements are maintained. | - | |
| 20 | | 20 | amended resolution, 2024-09-05. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Understood. | 21 | Mr. Citrano. |
| 22 | Are you going to try again, Jim? | 22 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: This is going to be | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wohlers. |
| 24 | tough. | 24 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: In favor. |
| 25 | All right. So I would like to amend | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Fetner. |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 66 | | 68 |
| | 80 | | 88 |
| 1 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the | 1 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | |
| | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, | | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. |
| 2 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. | 2 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. |
| 2 3 4 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front | 2 3 4 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. |
| 2 3 4 5 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but | 2 3 4 5 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise | 2 3 4 5 6 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the board? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the board? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Citrano, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the board? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote on your well-crafted motion? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the board? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote on your well-crafted motion? BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: I'm in favor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned at 3:10 p.m.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Resolution 2024-09-05 to first allow for the disbursement of up to \$250,000 in a first draw, subject to OGC approval. And then, secondly, reduce the up-front equity requirement from 67- to \$60 million, but in no event does it violate the high-rise MR. KELLEY: Parameters as approved in Resolution 24-05-05. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: What he said. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a second? BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: So, Mr. Sawyer, we had a motion and a second, and we have a clarifying motion and a second. Are we good here to move forward? MR. SAWYER: Yeah, the motion as just stated I believe is fine. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments or questions from the board? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Citrano, how do you vote on your well-crafted motion? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Heavener. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Powers. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: In favor. THE CHAIRMAN: And I, too, am in favor, so we unanimously approved them both. And I'm sure we'll see, hear, and talk about this again. Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Mr. Diebenow. MR. DIEBENOW: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That concludes the Community Redevelopment Agency portion of this afternoon's meeting. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |

09/30/2024 03:55:53 PM

| Comr | nunity Redevelopment Agency |
|---|---|
| 1 | 69 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |
| 4 5 | COUNTY OF DUVAL) |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 14 15 16 | DATED this 30th day of September 2024. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Diane M. Tropia |
| | Florida Professional Reporter |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 |

| ¢ | 2024-09-05 [6] - 22:20, | 7 | adequately [1] - 44:8 | 40:6, 59:9, 59:11, |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| \$ | 23:2, 64:3, 64:5, | 7 | adherence [1] - 64:22 | 63:10, 63:11, 63:13, |
| \$100,00 [1] - 37:12 | 66:1, 67:20 | 7 [2] - 54:15, 61:6 | adjacent [2] - 15:21, | 63:23, 64:8, 67:12, |
| \$100,000 [2] - 37:13, | 211 [1] - 9:14 | . [2] 07.10, 01.0 | 19:5 | 67:14 |
| 63:17 | 21st [1] - 7:10 | 8 | adjourned [1] - 68:16 | amount [21] - 17:18, |
| \$195 [1] - 54:13 | 23.8 [1] - 51:17 | 0 | adjustment [1] - 44:15 | 29:14, 32:3, 33:1, |
| \$200,000 [1] - 32:3 | 24-05-05 [1] - 66:8 | 8-and-a-half-by-11 [1] | administration [2] - | 35:2, 36:5, 36:8, |
| \$202 [1] - 54:8 | 25 [1] - 51:16 | - 9:15 | 32:19, 33:9 | 37:2, 37:3, 39:18, |
| \$202,746,000 [1] - | 250- [1] - 63:17 | 8B [1] - 7:6 | Administrative [1] - | 40:25, 41:22, 42:18, |
| 56:17 | 2:00 [2] - 1:7, 2:1 | - () - | 1:20 | 43:6, 43:12, 43:13, |
| \$250,000 [3] - 37:11, | 2.00[2] 1.1, 2.1 | 9 | advance [3] - 58:18, | 47:17, 48:18, 54:17, |
| 64:6, 66:2 | 3 | . | 63:14, 64:6 | 63:16 |
| \$39 [4] - 46:5, 53:13, | 3 | 90 [3] - 35:14, 35:17, | affect [1] - 23:20 | amounts [1] - 23:20 |
| 56:9, 60:18 | 3 [1] - 50:15 | 41:25 | afternoon [1] - 2:3 | answer [8] - 12:23, |
| \$60 [8] - 29:2, 36:2, | 3(c [1] - 7:25 | 98.3 [2] - 51:22, 62:23 | afternoon's [1] - 68:15 | 13:2, 16:24, 18:22, |
| 46:18, 47:18, 51:21, | 3(E [1] - 22:19 | | age [1] - 14:5 | 34:4, 52:17, 55:5, |
| 53:12, 60:24, 66:5 | 30 [5] - 31:16, 32:21, | Α | Agency [3] - 7:4, 7:11, | 57:10 |
| \$67 [2] - 28:13, 28:18 | 32:24, 41:24, 41:25 | | 68:14 | anticipate [1] - 36:1 |
| ,, 20.10 | 30-day [1] - 41:22 | ability [5] - 4:18, | AGENCY [1] - 1:2 | anyway [2] - 5:6, 48:3 |
| 1 | 303 [1] - 1:8 | 10:13, 21:4, 43:17, | agency [1] - 38:19 | apartment [1] - 44:20 |
| • | 30th [1] - 69:15 | 43:18 | Agenda [2] - 7:25, 8:3 | applicant [2] - 30:20, |
| 1 [2] - 27:8, 27:12 | 324 [3] - 9:4, 17:13, | able [10] - 9:25, 10:1, | agenda [2] - 8:6, 9:1 | 48:8 |
| 100 [2] - 45:17, 51:20 | 18:24 | 11:19, 37:8, 37:15, | agent [4] - 38:3, | applies [1] - 42:8 |
| 11th [1] - 45:22 | 328 [1] - 17:3 | 46:23, 47:11, 47:12, | 38:16, 39:1, 39:3 | approaches [1] - 4:4 |
| 120 [1] - 31:19 | 36 [4] - 26:8, 26:18, | 48:1, 57:7 | agree [4] - 24:11, | appropriate [3] - |
| 1200 [1] - 30:19 | 26:19, 50:14 | absolutely [1] - 19:12 | 39:15, 41:5, 52:15 | 14:14, 14:17, 41:23 |
| 15 [1] - 32:22 | 39 [5] - 41:19, 49:11, | abstract [1] - 39:15 | agreeable [1] - 64:18 | appropriating [1] - |
| 173,597 [1] - 56:18 | 50:14, 53:18, 62:17 | access [9] - 12:8, | agreed [2] - 27:20, | 13:25 |
| 177 [1] - 12:17 | 3:10 [1] - 68:17 | 17:6, 18:15, 21:18, | 29:6 | approval [23] - 7:11, |
| 177,000 [2] - 12:14, | | 21:19, 24:25, 25:12, | agreement [15] - | 8:25, 14:3, 19:17, |
| 21:22 | 4 | 29:11, 32:15 | 23:12, 24:5, 26:1, | 19:19, 20:12, 20:16, |
| 18 [4] - 1:6, 2:1, 34:8, | _ | accordance [2] - | 28:4, 28:20, 33:12, | 23:7, 23:11, 23:18, |
| 34:9 | 4 [1] - 20:22 | 40:23, 42:23 | 40:8, 40:22, 45:12, | 26:4, 28:11, 37:4, |
| 18,000 [1] - 21:23 | | according [1] - 56:7 | 46:4, 53:7, 53:10, | 39:25, 40:4, 40:15, |
| 18th [1] - 2:7 | 5 | account [2] - 12:21, | 56:15, 61:19, 63:15 | 53:6, 56:14, 59:4, |
| 195 [1] - 60:10 | | 62:8 | ahead [6] - 20:16, | 59:6, 63:15, 64:7, |
| 195,000 [1] - 12:17 | 5 [5] - 53:19, 59:19, | accretive [1] - 45:18 | 29:2, 32:6, 33:21, | 66:3 |
| 1994 [1] - 11:16 | 59:22, 59:25, 60:5 | acknowledge [1] - 56:5 | 37:10, 51:3 | approve [5] - 7:12, |
| | 50 [6] - 14:5, 20:1, | acknowledgment [1] - | albeit [1] - 26:8 | 8:7, 22:1, 58:14, |
| 2 | 44:9, 48:10, 54:11, | 46:19 | ALLAN [1] - 1:19 | 67:17 |
| | 58:7 | acquired [3] - 11:14, | allan [1] - 2:25 | approved [9] - 23:4, |
| 2 [4] - 2:7, 40:20, | 6 | 17:12, 18:20 | Allegiance [1] - 2:10 | 23:22, 28:15, 51:10, 64:24, 66:7, 67:10 |
| 63:18, 63:20 | 6 | actions [1] - 20:24 | allow [5] - 33:24, | 64:24, 66:7, 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 |
| 2001 [1] - 11:18 | 60 [15] - 35:22, 43:11, | Actors [1] - 4:23 | 40:24, 64:5, 64:8, | approving [2] - 40:10, |
| 2006 [1] - 11:22 | 46:20, 46:23, 51:13, | actual [2] - 41:15, | 66:1 | 58:21 |
| 2012 [1] - 10:9 | 51:17, 51:25, 53:18, | 60:15 | allowed [1] - 43:21 | architectural [1] - |
| 2014 [1] - 10:10 | 60:2, 61:4, 61:13, | add [7] - 27:20, 41:2, | allowing [1] - 58:21 | 56:22 |
| 2014-0560 [1] - 5:14 | 62:3, 62:16, 62:18, | 46:10, 48:3, 58:17, | alluded [1] - 17:8 | areas [1] - 24:1 |
| 2017 [1] - 12:2 | 64:10 | 59:1, 65:9 | almost [1] - 55:8 | argumentative [1] - |
| 2017-0001 [1] - 5:21 | 60- [2] - 58:6, 58:22 | added [4] - 19:18, | ALSO [1] - 1:16 | 47:1 |
| 202 [1] - 54:10 | 65 [7] - 51:6, 51:12, | 21:22, 21:23, 26:3 | ambiguities [1] - | Arlington [1] - 5:10 |
| 2020 [1] - 12:5 | 51:14, 60:18, 62:18, | addition [5] - 26:2, | 25:23 | AS [1] - 4:12 |
| 2024 [4] - 1:7, 2:1, | 64:12, 64:21 | 32:7, 40:24, 44:17, | amend [7] - 14:22, | asbestos [1] - 12:18 |
| 7:10, 69:15 | 67 [14] - 35:22, 42:25, | 49:6 | 24:11, 40:13, 58:24, | Assistant [1] - 1:20 |
| 2024-05-04 [1] - 64:25 | 43:9, 43:20, 46:20, | additional [4] - 17:22, | 64:1, 64:4, 65:25 | assume [2] - 16:7, |
| 2024-05-05 [1] - 23:7 | 51:25, 58:6, 58:22, | 24:23, 34:11, 62:10 | amended [2] - 67:15, | 30:14 |
| 2024-09-01 [2] - 9:4, | 60:24, 61:4, 61:6, | address [1] - 32:12 | 67:20 | assuming [1] - 21:19 |
| 10:11 2024-09-02 (4) 8:1 | 61:13, 64:10, 66:5 | adds [2] - 26:5, 26:7 | amending [1] - 63:18 | assumption [1] - |
| 2024-09-02 [1] - 8:1 | | adequate [1] - 47:24 | amendment [13] - | 33:15 |
| 2024-09-03 [1] - 8:1 | | | 20:6, 20:11, 37:1, | |

| \sim |
|--------|
| / |
| ~ |

| | | | - | _ |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| attention [3] - 9:14, | 5:25, 48:15 | 3:5, 9:10, 13:20, | center [3] - 10:2, 10:3, | 29:16, 43:6, 47:8 |
| 23:13, 39:13 | bit [4] - 18:2, 46:10, | 14:8, 14:11, 19:8, | 10:25 | chance [1] - 20:11 |
| attestations [1] - | 56:23, 57:9 | 20:19, 21:7, 21:10, | centering [1] - 59:1 | change [5] - 12:14, |
| 27:24 | blazing [2] - 4:12, 4:13 | 36:21, 38:11, 40:18, | CEO [5] - 3:5, 14:19, | 32:17, 45:18, 59:2, |
| Attorneys [1] - 4:23 | Blazing [1] - 4:14 | 46:9, 57:16, 57:25, | 20:23, 36:16, 40:21 | 65:12 |
| Audience [1] - 4:4 | block [1] - 10:16 | 58:11, 59:5, 63:11, | certain [4] - 28:16, | changed [1] - 11:20 |
| AUDIENCE [2] - 4:5, | board [23] - 2:15, 3:6, | 63:24, 65:6, 65:9 | 33:23, 46:13, 57:20 | changes [3] - 23:20, |
| 4:7 | 3:9, 3:10, 3:12, 3:15, | Bridge [1] - 5:15 | certainly [1] - 23:1 | 24:3, 65:15 |
| August [1] - 7:10 | 3:17, 3:23, 7:7, | bridge [1] - 5:16 | certainty [1] - 49:25 | characterization [1] - |
| authority [1] - 20:17 | 13:18, 14:12, 14:22, | bringing [3] - 17:25, | CERTIFICATE [1] - | 59:21 |
| Authority [2] - 2:6, | 17:25, 20:21, 24:10, | 23:17, 24:15 | 69:1 | check [2] - 38:20, 60:4 |
| 5:14 | 30:3, 34:20, 35:20, | Broad [3] - 9:5, 9:21, | certify [1] - 69:8 | check-downs [1] - |
| authorization [1] - | 36:22, 46:10, 48:7, | 17:3 | cetera [1] - 17:23 | 38:20 |
| 14:12 | 51:10, 66:21 | broad [1] - 21:3 | CFO [1] - 27:24 | checks [1] - 43:22 |
| authorized [1] - 69:8 | BOARD [93] - 1:3, | Brooks [1] - 4:14 | Chair [38] - 1:13, | cheerleaders [2] - |
| authorizing [2] - | 1:12, 3:6, 3:8, 3:12, | brought [3] - 24:22, | 13:11, 14:4, 14:19, | 4:21, 5:25 |
| 20:23, 40:21 | 3:14, 3:16, 7:8, 7:12, | 24:23, 37:19 | 15:3, 15:4, 15:16, | Chicago [3] - 38:24, |
| Ava [3] - 3:20, 3:22, | 7:13, 7:16, 7:20, | budget [4] - 10:12, | 15:22, 15:23, 16:10, | 39:3 |
| 6:25 | 7:22, 8:4, 8:7, 8:8, | 12:16, 52:7, 55:10 | 16:15, 16:25, 18:7, | Chief [1] - 1:17 |
| AVA [1] - 1:20 | 8:12, 8:15, 8:17, 8:19, 8:21, 8:23, | build [1] - 57:10 | 19:8, 19:23, 20:5, | chime [1] - 35:5 |
| available [1] - 62:10 | 0.19, 0.21, 0.23, 15:20, 16:7, 16:12, | building [31] - 10:2, 10:3, 10:4, 10:7, | 20:19, 21:21, 32:12, 34:2, 35:11, 36:21 | choice [1] - 44:18 |
| award [1] - 11:18 | 18:5, 18:25, 19:14, | 10:3, 10:4, 10:7, 10:9, 10:15, 10:18, | 34:2, 35:11, 36:21, 38:8, 39:12, 40:18, | Church [1] - 9:22 |
| awareness [1] - 35:19 aye [2] - 7:19, 7:20 | 20:5, 20:10, 21:16, | 10:19, 10:22, 10:25, | 41:10, 42:6, 45:10, | cinco [1] - 4:11 cited [1] - 45:24 |
| aye [2] - 7.19, 7.20 | 22:1, 22:3, 22:6, | 11:2, 11:3, 11:9, | 51:8, 52:2, 53:4, | CITRANO [49] - 1:14, |
| В | 22:8, 22:10, 22:12, | 11:12, 11:14, 11:18, | 54:4, 56:13, 58:11, | 3:6, 7:12, 8:7, 8:15, |
| | 22:14, 30:6, 30:11, | 11:19, 12:12, 12:15, | 59:14, 61:17, 63:12, | 15:20, 16:7, 16:12, |
| background [2] - | 33:14, 34:18, 34:21, | 14:2, 15:1, 16:9, | 64:20 | 18:5, 18:25, 19:14, |
| 10:23, 25:20 | 38:2, 41:10, 41:12, | 16:19, 17:3, 17:10, | chair [1] - 22:25 | 20:5, 20:10, 22:3, |
| balance [1] - 55:18 | 42:4, 42:11, 42:13, | 17:12, 17:15, 18:15, | CHAIRMAN [83] - 2:3, | 22:6, 30:6, 30:11, |
| balances [1] - 43:23 | 48:9, 48:19, 49:8, 50:11, 50:18, 51:4, | 47:13, 57:4, 57:11 | 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, | 33:14, 34:18, 38:2, |
| bank [3] - 32:14, 60:4, | 51:24, 52:4, 52:21, | buildings [5] - 10:17, | 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, | 41:10, 41:12, 42:4, |
| 60:11 | 53:11, 54:7, 54:12, | 11:1, 16:2, 16:8, 17:2 | 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, | 42:11, 42:13, 51:4, |
| banned [1] - 5:4 | 54:24, 55:23, 57:22, | built [1] - 47:13 | 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, | 51:24, 52:4, 52:21, |
| Bascom [1] - 4:11 | 58:5, 58:10, 60:8, | bunch [2] - 47:1, 47:4 | 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, | 53:11, 54:7, 54:12, 55:23, 57:22, 58:5, |
| based [2] - 20:19, 46:13 | 60:14, 61:3, 63:4, | burden [1] - 49:1 | 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, | 60:8, 60:14, 61:3, |
| basis [1] - 44:9 | 63:9, 63:22, 63:25, | button [2] - 4:6, 61:14 | 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, | 63:9, 63:22, 63:25, |
| became [2] - 12:9, | 64:4, 64:17, 64:18, | buying [1] - 44:19 | 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, | 64:4, 64:18, 65:2, |
| 29:17 | 65:2, 65:23, 66:9, | , | 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, | 65:23, 66:9, 66:25, |
| becomes [1] - 53:22 | 66:11, 66:22, 66:25, | С | 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, | 67:18, 67:22 |
| begin [2] - 4:22, 23:19 | 67:2, 67:4, 67:6, | | 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, | Citrano [20] - 3:6, |
| beginning [2] - 24:3, | 67:8, 67:17, 67:18, 67:22, 67:24, 68:1 | calculation [1] - 53:1 | 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, | 8:13, 15:19, 17:1, |
| 52:19 | 67:22, 67:24, 68:1, 68:3, 68:5 | cannot [1] - 51:15 | 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, | 18:8, 19:9, 22:5, |
| begins [1] - 23:25 | Board [9] - 1:13, 1:14, | cap [1] - 60:9 | 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, | 32:13, 34:3, 38:8, |
| begs [1] - 14:23 | 1:14, 1:15, 1:15, | capital [10] - 25:1, 25:13, 29:11, 36:2, | 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, | 51:9, 52:3, 53:5, |
| behalf [1] - 30:20 | 54:4, 59:17, 63:12, | 43:7, 56:16, 57:18, | 40.8, 40.7, 48.5, 51:3, 59:2, 59:12, | 54:5, 56:14, 59:17, 63:13, 64:21, 66:23, |
| behind [2] - 28:1, | 65:15 | 57:20, 62:8, 64:13 | 61:12, 63:2, 63:5, | 67:21 |
| 31:13 | board's [1] - 58:23 | capped [1] - 41:22 | 64:3, 64:14, 65:1, | Citrano's [1] - 62:7 |
| below [2] - 10:1, 57:19 | boat [1] - 6:9 | capture [1] - 44:7 | 65:3, 65:8, 65:21, | City [19] - 11:15, |
| benefitting [1] - 44:11 best [4] - 23:1, 42:22, | borrower [1] - 41:14 | captured [1] - 56:15 | 66:10, 66:12, 66:18, | 17:23, 22:20, 23:4, |
| 44:2, 47:25 | borrowing [2] - 58:7, | care [2] - 37:25, 41:3 | 66:23, 67:1, 67:3, | 24:13, 31:12, 38:5, |
| better [3] - 13:12, | 59:25 | carry [2] - 14:18, | 67:5, 67:7, 67:9, | 38:6, 39:2, 41:19, |
| 15:15, 55:1 | bottom [1] - 45:18 | 27:12 | 67:19, 67:23, 67:25, | 47:14, 55:9, 56:3, |
| between [3] - 26:13, | bowing [2] - 12:12, | case [8] - 23:9, 23:10, | 68:2, 68:4, 68:6, 68:13 | 57:23, 58:16, 59:4, |
| 47:2, 47:4 | 13:15 Boyer [5] - 3:5, 13:19, | 23:16, 37:23, 49:14, | 68:13 Chairman [1] - 13:20 | 59:6, 59:10 |
| big [3] - 47:8, 56:20, | 46:7, 47:3, 63:5 | 49:15, 51:21 | challenges [1] - 11:13 | CITY [1] - 1:1 |
| 57:1 | BOYER [22] - 1:17, | catch [1] - 31:18 causing [1] - 13:10 | challenging [4] - 11:4, | City's [2] - 24:17, 38:15 |
| biggest [3] - 4:20, | | | , | 30.10 |
| | | | | |

| clarify [4] - 42:6, | 26:14, 26:23, 27:7, | 25:17, 47:5, 55:13 | days [10] - 31:16, | development [4] - 3:4, |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 54:25, 58:12, 59:15 | 27:10, 27:12, 27:17, | conversations [2] - | 31:19, 32:21, 32:22, | 56:18, 56:19, 61:21 |
| clarifying [2] - 25:21, | 27:10, 27:12, 27:17, 27:21, 29:8, 29:14, | 13:14, 24:23 | 32:24, 35:14, 35:17, | develops [1] - 6:8 |
| 66:13 | 30:7, 46:5, 46:16, | converse [1] - 55:12 | 41:24, 41:25 | DIA [19] - 1:17, 1:18, |
| clean [1] - 13:8 | 46:24, 48:23, 49:1, | correct [9] - 3:23, | deadline [1] - 26:18 | 1:18, 1:19, 2:25, 3:2, |
| cleaned [1] - 22:17 | 49:21, 50:15, 51:11, | 18:6, 18:8, 30:9, | deal [13] - 6:11, 43:5, | 3:4, 3:20, 4:22, 4:23, |
| clear [3] - 10:21, | 51:15, 64:11, 65:17 | 42:10, 46:12, 48:16, | 45:9, 47:12, 49:5, | 10:8, 10:9, 12:1, |
| 19:15, 62:3 | component [1] - 27:11 | 52:3, 54:10 | 49:17, 52:23, 53:2, | 31:16, 40:15, 43:23, |
| | concept [12] - 31:21, | cost [8] - 53:16, 53:20, | 55:17, 56:6, 58:9, | 47:15, 59:8, 60:25 |
| close [1] - 18:13 | 32:9, 37:16, 39:16, | 54:9, 56:18, 56:19, | 61:18, 62:17 | DIA's [1] - 59:11 |
| closing [1] - 27:23 Club [2] - 5:11, 9:3 | 39:20, 39:23, 40:4, | 56:22, 56:25, 58:2 | debt [6] - 25:1, 25:12, | Diane [5] - 1:9, 12:7, |
| | 40:6, 50:24, 55:3, | costs [26] - 21:17, | 43:13, 48:18, 50:7, | 21:8, 69:7, 69:18 |
| CO [1] - 27:1 | 55:20, 65:4 | 24:19, 29:11, 48:24, | 62:11 | Diebenow [13] - |
| Code [1] - 19:25 | concepts [1] - 63:23 | 48:25, 49:9, 51:16, | decades [1] - 15:2 | 28:23, 30:1, 30:19, |
| coded [3] - 43:3, | concern [2] - 60:12, | 51:18, 52:6, 52:7, | decided [1] - 29:15 | 34:22, 35:12, 36:24, |
| 43:10, 43:20 | 60:14 | 52:16, 52:25, 55:10, | deem [1] - 41:23 | 39:17, 40:11, 45:24, |
| Cohen [2] - 38:13, | concerns [1] - 39:19 | 56:10, 57:2, 57:8, | deemed [1] - 25:11 | 48:6, 48:16, 58:17, |
| 38:14 | conclude [1] - 7:3 | 58:4, 58:7, 60:15, | deep [1] - 23:13 | 68:11 |
| coincident [1] - 39:1 | concludes [1] - 68:13 | 61:21, 61:22, 61:23, | defer [2] - 20:21, | DIEBENOW [23] - |
| collapsed [1] - 10:20 | condition [6] - 10:3, | 62:1, 62:2, 62:20 | 36:16 | 30:10, 30:18, 34:24, |
| collecting [1] - 33:18 | 10:7, 10:10, 16:19, | Council [7] - 24:13, | defines [1] - 26:5 | 37:6, 38:4, 40:3, |
| color [1] - 46:11 | 60:23, 60:24 | 37:1, 37:4, 58:17, | | 41:17, 42:10, 42:17, |
| COM [1] - 4:12 | conditions [2] - 17:21, | 59:4, 59:6, 59:10 | definitely [1] - 31:3 definitive [1] - 50:12 | 46:25, 48:17, 49:3, |
| combined [1] - 51:19 | 29:9 | counsel [2] - 38:15, | | 49:13, 50:17, 50:20, |
| comfortable [5] - | confirmed [1] - 38:6 | 38:22 | demolish [2] - 14:1, 21:1 | 52:9, 54:10, 55:3, |
| 21:12, 31:6, 38:23, | conflict [1] - 7:6 | Counsel [5] - 1:19, | | 59:14, 60:12, 60:22, |
| 51:1, 58:5 | connects [1] - 5:12 | 3:19, 39:11, 40:1, | demolition [1] - 10:18 | 61:11, 68:12 |
| coming [3] - 2:21, | consecutive [1] - | 40:16 | demonstrate [1] - | Diebenow's [3] - 36:4, |
| 13:7, 34:25 | 31:14 | COUNTY [1] - 69:4 | 27:24 | 45:15, 62:6 |
| commencement [4] - | Consent [2] - 7:25, 8:3 | couple [1] - 13:3 | department [2] - | difference [1] - 59:7 |
| 26:3, 26:6, 26:13, | consent [2] - 8:6, 8:25 | court [1] - 5:1 | 32:19, 33:9 | different [7] - 24:1, |
| 26:20 | consideration [3] - | courthouse [1] - 9:23 | designated [4] - 14:6, | 29:11, 47:22, 51:11, |
| commencing [1] - 1:7 | 27:15, 29:5, 29:21 | covenants [2] - 27:19, | 14:9, 14:24, 15:5 | 52:5, 57:5, 57:14 |
| commensurate [1] - | considerations [2] - | 27:20 | designation [2] - | difficult [6] - 29:16, |
| 58:1 | 44:6, 45:21 | covers [1] - 29:23 | 19:24, 20:15 | 43:7, 44:7, 50:4, |
| comment [3] - 4:3, | construction [22] - | crafted [2] - 25:25, | detail [4] - 23:14, | 50:5, 50:6 |
| 7:3, 36:17 | 21:18, 24:19, 25:6, | 66:24 | 25:15, 26:22, 33:7 | dimes [1] - 12:22 |
| comments [4] - 4:1, | 25:11, 26:4, 26:6, | crafting [1] - 63:7 | determine [1] - 47:23 determined [3] - 38:3, | direct [5] - 34:3, |
| 7:14, 8:11, 66:20 | 26:11, 26:16, 26:17, | create [1] - 49:24 | , | 56:17, 56:19, 56:22, |
| commercial [1] - 4:19 | 30:14, 32:19, 33:9, | created [2] - 11:12, | 38:5, 45:23 | 56:25 |
| Commission [1] - | 30:14, 32:19, 33:9, 33:10, 33:22, 41:14, | 56:12 | determines [1] - 47:24 | directly [2] - 11:3, |
| 11:23 | 42:7, 47:22, 61:25, | Creek [1] - 5:10 | detour [1] - 31:9 | 46:1 |
| commitment [1] - 34:9 | 42.7, 47.22, 01.23, 62:2, 62:20, 65:13 | Crescimbeni [1] - | DEVAULT [2] - 1:19, | Director [1] - 1:18 |
| committee [2] - 59:4, | contacted [1] - 16:17 | 33:2 | 2:25 | director [1] - 3:3 |
| 59:8 | contemplate [1] - | 55.2 criteria [2] - 42:23, | DeVault [2] - 2:24, | disbursed [1] - 60:11 |
| committees [1] - | 33:11 | 42:24 | 2:25 | disbursement [1] - |
| 24:13 | contemplated [2] - | 42.24 crystal [1] - 62:2 | developer [21] - 11:18, | 66:2 |
| commodities [1] - | 46:13, 65:13 | current [1] - 18:4 | 27:1, 27:16, 28:9, | disclosures [1] - 7:7 |
| 52:12 | contemplating [1] - | cycle [2] - 25:8, 42:1 | 28:12, 29:7, 34:13, | discovered [1] - 43:4 |
| COMMUNITY [1] - 1:2 | 25:7 | cycle/process [1] - | 35:21, 38:18, 39:14, | discretion [1] - 58:23 |
| Community [3] - 7:4, | context [2] - 9:17, | 35:18 | 42:20, 43:8, 45:23, 47:11, 53:8, 53:21, | discussed [2] - 9:24, |
| 7:10, 68:14 | 10:6 | 55.10 | 47:11, 53:8, 53:21, 56:4, 59:23, 60:5, | 53:7 |
| comparison [1] - 58:2 | continue [1] - 50:23 | D | 56.4, 59.23, 60.5, 64:9 | discussing [1] - 43:25 |
| competition [2] - | contractors [1] - | U | 64:9 developer's [9] - | discussion [4] - |
| 44:19, 44:22 | 44:14 | date [9] - 26:4, 26:8, | 27:17, 37:7, 39:1, | 20:20, 39:14, 46:11, |
| complete [2] - 44:11, | contribution [2] - | 26:14, 26:18, 26:19, | | 58:25 |
| 69:10 | 24:18, 30:7 | 26:20, 27:10, 27:13, | 46:15, 46:19, 48:21, | disposing [1] - 16:13 |
| completed [2] - 27:6, | control [2] - 17:6, | 35:17 | 51:7, 60:2, 61:20 developers [1] - 57:6 | disposition [5] - 11:6, |
| 34:15 | 18:24 | DATED [1] - 69:15 | Development [2] - | 12:1, 17:24, 18:1, |
| completely [1] - 37:7 | conversation [3] - | dates [1] - 25:21 | 1:18, 11:23 | 19:4 |
| completion [21] - | | | 1.10, 11.20 | |
| ~ . | | | | |

| Disposition | _ | 20.5 25.4 20.40 | foll (4) E7:40 | feature (a) |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Disposition [2] - 11:21, 12:5 | E | 32:5, 35:1, 36:13, 37:9, 38:3, 38:16, | fall [1] - 57:19 | focus [2] - 23:13, 54:20 |
| | | 38:25, 39:3, 41:15, | familiar [1] - 56:20 | |
| dispositions [2] - 11:17, 17:20 | easements [1] - 21:18 | 41:18, 41:20 | far [3] - 32:6, 36:14, 62:3 | folks [3] - 13:10, 44:13, 55:6 |
| | economic [2] - 17:20, | | | , |
| disputed [1] - 33:1 | 29:9 | escrowed [1] - 33:21 | favor [25] - 7:19, 8:15, | follow [1] - 24:14 |
| district [1] - 14:7 | Economic [1] - 11:23 | estate [1] - 3:4 | 8:17, 8:19, 8:21, | followed [1] - 12:10 |
| document [1] - 33:12 | edification [1] - 25:20 | estimates [1] - 21:16 | 8:23, 8:24, 22:6, | following [1] - 35:20 |
| documentary [1] - 4:19 | edit [1] - 31:25 | et [1] - 17:23 | 22:8, 22:10, 22:12, 22:14, 22:15, 66:25, | foregoing [2] - 68:16, |
| | edits [2] - 7:15, 35:10 | evaluated [2] - 42:23, 45:2 | 67:2, 67:4, 67:6, | 69:9 |
| documentation [1] - 36:19 | Edwards [2] - 38:13, | event [1] - 66:6 | 67:8, 67:9, 67:22, | Form [1] - 7:6 |
| | 38:14 | | 67:24, 68:1, 68:3, | form [1] - 63:15 forma [5] - 42:21, |
| documents [3] - 36:25, 40:23, 43:3 | effect [1] - 62:15 | eventuality [1] - 65:17 every-six-month [1] - | 68:5, 68:6 | 42:25, 52:7, 52:20 |
| dollar [2] - 58:2 | effective [1] - 26:18 | 25:8 | Federal [1] - 48:12 | forward [9] - 6:12, |
| dollar-for-dollar [1] - | effectively [1] - 62:24 | exact [2] - 35:2, 40:5 | FETNER [7] - 1:13, | 12:3, 17:24, 22:17, |
| 58:2 | effectuate [2] - 10:11, | exactly [4] - 15:17, | 3:12, 8:19, 21:16, | 35:8, 37:19, 40:13, |
| dollars [1] - 12:19 | 20:24 | 30:21, 39:7, 54:24 | 22:10, 67:4, 68:1 | 48:14, 66:15 |
| done [6] - 18:9, 19:9, | efficiency [1] - 38:20 | example [1] - 59:18 | Fetner [7] - 3:12, 5:19, | four [6] - 24:1, 24:2, |
| | effort [3] - 16:23, | | 8:18, 21:15, 22:9, | 24:10, 24:11, 28:3, |
| 20:13, 33:17, 34:11, 47:12 | 42:22, 50:25 | exceed [3] - 40:25, 55:10, 63:16 | 67:3, 67:25 | 58:14 |
| door [1] - 11:11 | efforts [1] - 33:25 | exceeding [1] - 64:12 | fifth [3] - 24:7, 28:5, | fourth [1] - 31:19 |
| | egress [5] - 11:7, | • • • | 58:18 | FPR [1] - 1:9 |
| doors [2] - 18:11, 18:14 | 17:9, 18:13, 18:23, 19:11 | except [2] - 35:13, 52:7 | figure [1] - 16:3 | frame [1] - 26:9 |
| Dorothy's [1] - 9:2 | either [4] - 16:14, | exception [1] - 35:14 | filed [4] - 24:5, 24:8, | framing [1] - 10:16 |
| doubt [1] - 47:2 | ., | Exchange [1] - 5:11 | 24:12, 58:16 | frank [2] - 30:22, 45:6 |
| dovetail [1] - 33:25 | 33:24, 48:7, 55:4 end [13] - 25:4, 31:15, | execute [1] - 11:19 | finalize [1] - 27:10 | frankly [2] - 43:18, |
| down [16] - 4:9, 5:2, | 49:21, 53:17, 54:13, | Executive [1] - 1:17 | finally [1] - 27:14 | 43:24 |
| 12:7, 12:15, 27:8, | 49.21, 53.17, 54.13, 56:6, 56:9, 59:18, | Exhibit [1] - 40:24 | financed [1] - 48:16 | free [1] - 55:17 |
| 36:15, 43:11, 43:21, | 60:5, 60:6, 60:17, | expand [1] - 43:17 | financial [1] - 55:6 | front [10] - 2:22, 9:19, |
| 44:21, 44:23, 46:20, | 62:9, 62:11 | expect [2] - 32:18, | fine [6] - 34:1, 37:8, | 10:15, 11:11, 14:6, |
| 48:24, 49:10, 50:9, | ended [2] - 37:3, | 58:8 | 40:3, 61:4, 63:17, | 15:14, 18:11, 29:3, |
| 58:4 | 46:22 | expectations [2] - | 66:17 | 30:9, 66:4 |
| downs [1] - 38:20 | engineer [2] - 16:1, | 33:8, 33:13 | finish [1] - 37:22 | fronting [1] - 31:11 |
| downside [2] - 44:4, | 16:5 | expended [2] - 35:25 | fire [3] - 12:8, 18:10, | full [1] - 35:21 |
| 44:5 | engineering [1] - | expense [3] - 16:23, | 18:13 | Fuller [1] - 5:15 |
| Downtown [4] - 2:6, | 56:23 | 52:8, 59:25 | firm [2] - 17:4, 38:10 | fully [2] - 17:4, 35:24 |
| 4:22, 4:23, 9:2 | enter [1] - 32:23 | experience [1] - 24:24 | first [29] - 9:18, 19:13, | fund [4] - 32:22, 34:9, |
| downtown [5] - 3:4, | entering [1] - 33:11 | explain [1] - 22:23 | 24:16, 29:12, 31:19, | 50:4, 53:14 |
| 4:22, 5:8, 5:13, 5:14 | entertain [3] - 8:6, | explanation [2] - | 31:23, 32:2, 32:9, | funded [4] - 25:3, |
| downward [2] - 48:20, | 21:13, 63:6 | 22:16, 67:11 | 34:25, 35:3, 35:15, | 34:6, 34:8, 54:15 |
| 48:21 | entire [1] - 59:5 | explicit [1] - 20:25 | 36:8, 37:23, 39:21, | funding [11] - 17:22, |
| Dr [2] - 17:11, 18:19 | entity [1] - 23:5 | expressing [1] - 17:15 | 41:16, 41:18, 41:21, | 25:3, 30:8, 30:15, |
| draft [1] - 45:1 | equal [2] - 51:22, | extended [1] - 26:14 | 41:22, 41:24, 46:17, | 33:1, 33:15, 34:5, |
| drafted [2] - 25:25, | 62:22 | extension [1] - 10:12 | 61:21, 61:25, 62:5, | 34:12, 36:7, 49:11, |
| 39:22 | equity [40] - 28:9, | extent [1] - 36:4 | 63:9, 63:11, 63:13, | 62:10 |
| draw [15] - 9:14, | 28:12, 28:18, 28:19, | | 64:1, 66:1, 66:2 | funds [6] - 21:2, |
| 31:20, 31:22, 32:2, | 29:1, 29:18, 35:21, | F | fits [1] - 51:25 | 34:10, 34:14, 36:14, |
| 32:8, 33:18, 35:1, | 37:22, 40:11, 42:15, | ' | fix [1] - 37:10 | 42:7, 54:22 |
| 35:15, 40:14, 40:25, | 42:18, 43:1, 43:13, | facade [11] - 9:5, | fixed [2] - 32:3, 37:2 | |
| 41:13, 41:16, 42:9, | 43:16, 46:15, 46:19, | 10:13, 10:15, 10:21, | flank [1] - 16:8 | G |
| 53:21, 66:2 | 46:23, 47:17, 48:21, | 12:12, 12:14, 15:6, | flat [1] - 46:5 | |
| dreaded [1] - 24:17 | 49:4, 51:7, 51:13, | 15:10, 15:15, 19:2, | flattening [1] - 52:15 | garage [1] - 27:2 |
| Drive [1] - 30:19 | 51:14, 51:20, 51:23, | 21:2 | flexibility [3] - 43:16, | Gateway [1] - 23:10 |
| dumps [1] - 5:11 | 53:22, 58:22, 59:22, | facilities [1] - 5:5 | 47:12, 48:2 | GCs [1] - 52:14 |
| dumpster [1] - 12:20 | 60:1, 60:2, 60:17, | fact [1] - 47:18 | flippant [2] - 57:9 | Gefen [1] - 6:2 |
| Dunbar [1] - 17:11 | 60:19, 61:20, 62:12, | facts [1] - 29:22 | floor [4] - 19:21, | General [5] - 1:19, |
| Dunbar's [1] - 18:19 | 62:23, 64:9, 64:13, | failure [4] - 2:19, | 36:25, 59:11, 64:15 | 3:19, 39:11, 39:25, |
| duplicate [1] - 33:19 | 64:22, 66:5 | 61:13, 61:15, 61:16 | FLORIDA [1] - 69:3 | 40:16 |
| during [1] - 65:12 | escrow [15] - 25:4, | fair [3] - 17:18, 48:22, | Florida [5] - 1:9, 1:10, | general [1] - 56:24 |
| DUVAL [1] - 69:4 | 25:6, 30:8, 31:18, | 49:2 | 55:7, 69:7, 69:18 | generally [2] - 30:25, |
| _ | - 1 | | • I | |

| 50:8 | Heavener [5] - 3:14, | incentives [5] - 28:17, | 2:13, 2:23 | Kelley [10] - 3:3, |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| get-go [1] - 54:25 | 8:20, 22:11, 67:5, | 51:19, 51:22, 62:22, | investment [5] - | 22:22, 30:2, 35:4, |
| given [3] - 17:20, | 68:2 | 62:23 | 47:20, 50:2, 56:16, | 42:2, 43:25, 46:11, |
| 26:12, 45:19 | HEAVENER [7] - 1:15, | include [6] - 21:17, | 57:18, 57:20 | 52:22, 57:17, 61:12 |
| goal [1] - 47:10 | 3:14, 8:21, 22:12, | 39:7, 40:14, 58:24, | Investment [2] - 2:6, | Kelley's [1] - 46:12 |
| Grant [3] - 57:19, | 64:17, 67:6, 68:3 | 61:21, 63:18 | 5:14 | kind [16] - 10:15, |
| 57:24, 62:25 | held [1] - 1:6 | included [1] - 28:19 | investor [2] - 49:4, | 15:14, 16:19, 16:21, |
| grant [17] - 10:12, | help [2] - 18:22, 63:7 | Includes [2] - 4:22, | 49:16 | 18:2, 19:3, 23:23, |
| 26:23, 27:7, 27:17, | high [10] - 6:16, 28:15, | 4:23 | involved [2] - 33:3, | 26:9, 36:7, 45:20, |
| 27:21, 29:8, 29:14, | 29:4, 46:21, 51:9, | includes [2] - 57:1, | 47:9 | 45:22, 46:18, 52:24, |
| 46:6, 46:16, 46:24, | 61:1, 64:23, 64:24, | 62:25 | ironic [1] - 2:20 | 54:21, 58:12, 63:6 |
| 48:23, 49:1, 49:21, | 65:19, 66:6 | including [2] - 11:17, | Island [1] - 5:12 | KRECHOWSKI [1] - |
| 51:12, 51:15, 64:11, | high-rise [9] - 28:15, | 14:15 | issue [9] - 12:1, 12:9, | 1:13 |
| 65:17 | 29:4, 46:21, 51:9, | increased [1] - 55:10 | 18:6, 34:24, 35:6, | Krechowski [1] - 3:10 |
| grants [1] - 30:7 | 61:1, 64:23, 64:24, | Independent [1] - | 37:22, 39:8, 39:18, | |
| great [10] - 10:3, | 65:19, 66:6 | 30:19 | 43:24 | L |
| 15:23, 21:21, 33:7, | higher [2] - 50:3, 51:6 | information [2] - | issued [3] - 11:17, | Ŀ |
| 48:11, 49:4, 49:17, | highlight [1] - 35:6 | 32:23, 35:9 | 11:25, 12:5 | labor [1] - 52:13 |
| 52:9, 56:5 | highly [1] - 37:1 | informed [2] - 12:11, | item [3] - 7:25, 22:19, | lack [2] - 12:8, 15:15 |
| greater [2] - 26:21, | HILL [7] - 1:20, 3:20, | 38:17 | 37:20 | land [1] - 61:22 |
| 60:19 | 3:24, 4:2, 4:6, 6:21, | ingress [1] - 11:7 | itself [1] - 28:8 | landed [1] - 26:17 |
| greenberg [1] - 38:11 | 5.24, 4.2, 4.0, 0.21, 7:1 | ingress/egress [1] - | noch [1] - 20.0 | landmark [1] - 14:9 |
| Greenberg [1] - 38:12 | Hill [1] - 3:20 | 18:6 | J | language [10] - 19:18, |
| group [1] - 7:18 | historic [2] - 10:21, | inhibit [1] - 36:6 | J | 21:3, 40:2, 40:5, |
| guarantee [7] - 26:23, | 14:7 | initial [8] - 31:22, 32:8, | JACKSONVILLE [1] - | 44:5, 46:3, 50:12, |
| 26:25, 27:12, 27:17, | Historic [1] - 19:16 | 40:14, 40:25, 41:13, | 1:1 | 58:18, 61:7, 63:19 |
| 27:22, 27:25, 55:8 | hold [3] - 13:9, 65:3 | 40.14, 40.23, 41.13, 58:18, 63:14, 64:5 | Jacksonville [6] - 1:7, | Large [1] - 1:10 |
| guardrails [4] - 39:16, | home [1] - 44:19 | injected [3] - 35:25, | 1:9, 5:4, 5:18, 11:23, | last [3] - 4:24, 22:23, |
| 47:13, 48:23, 57:14 | hopeful [1] - 19:3 | 61:20, 62:5 | 19:16 | 28:6 |
| guess [6] - 14:23, | horizontal [3] - 26:7, | injecting [1] - 49:18 | JEDC [1] - 11:22 | launch [1] - 6:4 |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, | 26:20, 61:25 | injection [1] - 35:21 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 | Laura [1] - 1:8 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, 31:4 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, 31:4 inspector [1] - 30:16 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, 31:4 inspector [1] - 30:16 instead [3] - 35:14, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, 31:4 inspector [1] - 30:16 instead [3] - 35:14, 41:21, 43:19 | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspections [2] - 31:2, 31:4 inspector [1] - 30:16 instead [3] - 35:14, 41:21, 43:19 intended [1] - 29:19 | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 \\ JHP [1] - 20:16 \\ JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 \\ JIM [1] - 1:14 \\ Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, \\ 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, \\ 65:22 \\ job [1] - 54:13 \\ JOHN [1] - 1:19 \\ John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, \\ 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, \\ 39:10, 42:5 \\ Johns [1] - 6:7 \\ join [1] - 2:8 \\ JWB [1] - 17:6 \\ \end{cases}$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 Halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspectors [2] - 31:2, 31:4 inspector [1] - 30:16 instead [3] - 35:14, 41:21, 43:19 intended [1] - 29:19 intent [4] - 20:20, | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, 43:20 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2,\\ 52:4, 57:15\\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21\\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18\\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3,\\ 15:20\\ \hline \\ \textbf{H}\\ \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21\\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20\\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23,\\ 20:6, 20:10\\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3,\\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18,\\ 52:6, 67:14\\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3,\\ 43:20\\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5\\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 | injection [1] - 35:21 inside [2] - 10:18, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - 34:7, 34:16 inspecting [1] - 30:17 inspection [3] - 31:3, 32:16, 33:17 inspector [1] - 30:16 instead [3] - 35:14, 41:21, 43:19 intended [1] - 29:19 intent [4] - 20:20, 20:24, 20:25, 54:18 intention [1] - 59:17 | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 \\ JHP [1] - 20:16 \\ JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 \\ JIM [1] - 1:14 \\ Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, \\ 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, \\ 65:22 \\ job [1] - 54:13 \\ JOHN [1] - 1:19 \\ John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, \\ 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, \\ 39:10, 42:5 \\ Johns [1] - 6:7 \\ join [1] - 2:8 \\ JWB [1] - 17:6 \\ \end{cases}$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 32:14 \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvement [2] - | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K kayak [1] - 6:5 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, 43:20 hash [1] - 40:5 hat [1] - 32:14 head [5] - 21:6, 21:7, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvement [2] - 26:8, 29:8 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 7:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 32:14 \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvement [2] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 Kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, 43:20 hash [1] - 40:5 hat [1] - 32:14 head [5] - 21:6, 21:7, 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvement [2] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, | $eq:spectral_$ | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 Kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 KELLEY [21] - 1:18, \\Kayak [1] - 1:18, Kayaking [1]$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, 43:20 hash [1] - 40:5 hat [1] - 32:14 head [5] - 21:6, 21:7, 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 hear [6] - 4:5, 35:4, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvement [2] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 Kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \textbf{H} \\ \hline \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 32:14 \\ \textbf{head} [5] - 21:6, 21:7, \\ 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 \\ \textbf{hear} [6] - 4:5, 35:4, \\ 40:11, 66:10, 67:15, \\ 68:8 \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 inaudible [1] - 58:10 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 Kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 KELLEY [21] - 1:18, 3:3, 16:25, 18:7, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, 60:6, 60:15 |
| 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, 52:4, 57:15 guidelines [1] - 46:21 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [3] - 3:2, 13:3, 15:20 H halfway [1] - 45:21 hand [2] - 29:20 happy [3] - 12:23, 20:6, 20:10 hard [7] - 39:15, 43:3, 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, 52:6, 67:14 hard-coded [2] - 43:3, 43:20 hash [1] - 40:5 hat [1] - 32:14 head [5] - 21:6, 21:7, 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 hear [6] - 4:5, 35:4, 40:11, 66:10, 67:15, | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvements [5] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 inaudible [1] - 58:10 incentive [12] - 23:20, | injection [1] - $35:21$ inside [2] - $10:18$, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - $34:7$, 34:16 inspecting [1] - $30:17$ inspection [3] - $31:3$, 32:16, $33:17inspections [2] - 31:2,31:4inspector [1] - 30:16instead [3] - 35:14,41:21$, $43:19intended [1] - 29:19intent [4] - 20:20,20:24$, $20:25$, $54:18intention [1] - 59:17interest [12] - 17:13,17:15$, $17:18$, $18:4$, 18:20, $29:10$, $44:10$, 44:20, $45:17$, $46:14$, 48:24, $52:7interest-related [1] -48:24$ | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K \\ kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 \\KELLEY [21] - 1:18, 3:3, 16:25, 18:7, 22:25, 32:12, 34:2, \\$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, 60:6, 60:15 level [4] - 29:19, |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \textbf{H} \\ \hline \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 32:14 \\ \textbf{head} [5] - 21:6, 21:7, \\ 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 \\ \textbf{hear} [6] - 4:5, 35:4, \\ 40:11, 66:10, 67:15, \\ 68:8 \\ \textbf{heard} [3] - 46:17, \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvements [5] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 inaudible [1] - 58:10 incentive [12] - 23:20, 47:21, 51:5, 53:1, | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K \\ kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 \\KELLEY [21] - 1:18, 3:3, 16:25, 18:7, 22:25, 32:12, 34:2, 35:11, 38:7, 38:12, \\$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, 60:6, 60:15 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \textbf{H} \\ \hline \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [3] - 21:6, 21:7, \\ 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 \\ \textbf{hear} [6] - 21:6, 25:4, \\ 40:11, 66:10, 67:15, \\ 68:8 \\ \textbf{heard} [3] - 46:17, \\ 47:3, 59:19 \\ \textbf{hearing} [4] - 8:13, \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvements [5] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 inaudible [1] - 58:10 incentive [12] - 23:20, 47:21, 51:5, 53:1, 54:3, 56:12, 60:18, | injection [1] - $35:21$ inside [2] - $10:18$, 10:19 insignificant [1] - 47:17 inspected [2] - $34:7$, 34:16 inspecting [1] - $30:17$ inspection [3] - $31:3$, 32:16, $33:17inspections [2] - 31:2,31:4inspector [1] - 30:16instead [3] - 35:14,41:21$, $43:19intended [1] - 29:19intent [4] - 20:20,20:24$, $20:25$, $54:18intention [1] - 59:17interest [12] - 17:13,17:15$, $17:18$, $18:4$, 18:20, $29:10$, $44:10$, 44:20, $45:17$, $46:14$, 48:24 interest-related [1] - 48:24 interesting [2] - $11:2$, 54:6 | $Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 K \\ kayak [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:15 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 \\KELLEY [21] - 1:18, 3:3, 16:25, 18:7, 22:25, 32:12, 34:2, 35:11, 38:7, 38:12, 38:14, 45:10, 51:8, \\$ | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, 60:6, 60:15 level [4] - 29:19, 43:15, 49:18, 56:4 levels [1] - 57:21 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 39:6, 40:12, 44:2, \\ 52:4, 57:15 \\ \textbf{guidelines} [1] - 46:21 \\ \textbf{GUY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{Guy} [3] - 3:2, 13:3, \\ 15:20 \\ \hline \textbf{H} \\ \hline \textbf{halfway} [1] - 45:21 \\ \textbf{hand} [2] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{happy} [3] - 12:23, \\ 20:6, 20:10 \\ \textbf{hard} [7] - 39:15, 43:3, \\ 43:20, 51:16, 51:18, \\ 52:6, 67:14 \\ \textbf{hard-coded} [2] - 43:3, \\ 43:20 \\ \textbf{hash} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [1] - 40:5 \\ \textbf{hat} [3] - 21:6, 21:7, \\ 21:9, 21:10, 30:10 \\ \textbf{hear} [6] - 41:5, 35:4, \\ 40:11, 66:10, 67:15, \\ 68:8 \\ \textbf{heard} [3] - 46:17, \\ 47:3, 59:19 \\ \end{array}$ | 26:20, 61:25 hour [1] - 45:22 hours [2] - 6:4, 6:5 hundred [3] - 25:3, 30:11, 33:23 I idea [2] - 14:20, 36:20 ideally [1] - 31:18 identified [1] - 38:2 illustration [2] - 43:2, 55:16 images [1] - 17:2 imagine [2] - 16:16 immediately [1] - 15:13 important [2] - 10:16, 15:6 improvements [5] - 26:8, 29:8 improvements [5] - 26:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6 inaudible [1] - 58:10 incentive [12] - 23:20, 47:21, 51:5, 53:1, | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Jeff [1] - 28:23 JHP [1] - 20:16 JHPC [2] - 14:3, 14:15 JIM [1] - 1:14 Jim [6] - 3:6, 25:18, 30:5, 51:3, 55:2, 65:22 job [1] - 54:13 JOHN [1] - 1:19 John [7] - 3:18, 4:3, 4:10, 31:24, 38:9, 39:10, 42:5 Johns [1] - 6:7 join [1] - 2:8 JWB [1] - 17:6 Kayaking [1] - 6:5 kayaking [1] - 6:5 keep [3] - 6:7, 13:6, 40:9 KELLEY [21] - 1:18, 3:3, 16:25, 18:7, 22:25, 32:12, 34:2, 35:11, 38:7, 38:12, 38:14, 45:10, 51:8, 52:2, 53:4, 54:4, | Laura [1] - 1:8 law [1] - 17:4 Law [1] - 5:5 lawyer [1] - 21:11 leads [1] - 16:21 least [3] - 6:3, 39:5, 59:4 leeway [1] - 20:22 left [1] - 15:13 legislation [2] - 58:16, 59:5 lender [22] - 24:20, 25:6, 25:10, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 31:11, 31:14, 32:5, 33:10, 33:12, 33:22, 33:25, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, 37:9, 42:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:21, 53:14 lenders [3] - 24:25, 30:24, 38:17 lending [1] - 60:6 less [7] - 24:25, 27:14, 37:12, 53:19, 59:25, 60:6, 60:15 level [4] - 29:19, 43:15, 49:18, 56:4 |

| light [1] - 23:15 | 60:1, 62:7 | 9:24 | 43:18, 49:16, 50:5, | 36:21, 38:11, 40:18, |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| likely [1] - 17:22 | meaningful [1] - 27:21 | memorialized [1] - | 54:17, 56:11 | 46:9, 57:16, 57:25, |
| limitation [1] - 36:5 | meat [1] - 9:18 | 61:19 | monitoring [1] - 31:4 | 58:11, 59:5, 63:11, |
| limited [1] - 37:2 | mechanism [1] - | memory [2] - 51:5, | month [11] - 25:8, | 63:24, 65:6, 65:9 |
| limiters [1] - 51:11 | 52:23 | 54:8 | 25:14, 31:15, 31:19, | Multipurpose [1] - 1:8 |
| line [4] - 11:1, 15:14, | meet [3] - 43:11, | mentioned [1] - 42:2 | 31:23, 32:2, 32:9, | |
| 45:18, 54:16 | 43:14, 49:11 | met [2] - 42:24, 45:20 | 35:1, 35:3, 35:15, | Ν |
| linear [2] - 47:5, 48:4 | meeting [6] - 2:5, 7:5, | methodology [7] - | 41:25 | |
| liquidity [2] - 27:19, | 7:11, 7:23, 53:9, | 28:15, 29:5, 30:21, | months [18] - 24:20, | name [3] - 4:10, 23:5, |
| 28:1 | 68:15 | 51:9, 64:23, 64:24, | 25:1, 25:2, 25:13, | 38:10 |
| listening [1] - 6:23 | MEETING [1] - 1:3 | 65:19 | 26:8, 26:18, 26:19, | narrow [1] - 11:12 |
| live [1] - 44:17 | meetings [1] - 59:8 | metric [1] - 47:19 | 30:13, 31:10, 31:12, | nature [1] - 23:22 |
| loan [6] - 31:6, 32:19, | meets [3] - 43:22, | metrics [2] - 62:15, | 31:13, 31:15, 32:7, | navigate [1] - 42:16 |
| 33:9, 48:25, 53:21, | 48:21, 61:5 | 64:23 | 37:10 | necessary [3] - 14:15, |
| 54:22 | Mel [1] - 4:14 | Micah [1] - 3:14 | mortgage [1] - 44:21 | 19:19, 20:24 |
| locally [3] - 14:6, | MELINDA [1] - 1:15 | MICAH [1] - 1:15 | most [1] - 52:19 | need [12] - 13:16, |
| 14:24, 15:5 | Melinda [1] - 3:16 | Microphone [1] - 2:19 | motion [16] - 8:6, 8:9, | 21:19, 26:15, 33:19, |
| location [1] - 9:20 | Member [9] - 1:13, | microphone [4] - | 19:20, 21:13, 22:2, | 35:8, 39:7, 39:24, |
| lockstep [1] - 34:14 | 1:14, 1:14, 1:15, | 20:8, 61:13, 61:15, | 22:4, 40:10, 63:6, | 40:1, 49:10, 50:13, |
| logical [2] - 16:22, | 1:15, 54:5, 59:17, | 61:16 | 64:14, 66:13, 66:14, | 55:5, 63:7 |
| 19:4 | 63:12, 65:15 | microphones [1] - | 66:16, 66:18, 66:24, | needed [3] - 14:12, |
| look [9] - 15:9, 16:6, | MEMBER [84] - 3:6, | 2:16 | 67:16, 67:19 | 20:18, 26:15 needs [1] - 32:1 |
| 20:2, 22:16, 25:16, | 3:8, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, | might [5] - 21:19, | move [9] - 7:3, 7:12, | |
| 26:10, 28:5, 44:8, | 4:5, 4:7, 7:12, 7:13, | 36:7, 36:8, 36:19, | 8:7, 22:1, 40:13, | negotiate [1] - 36:9 negotiated [3] - 25:13, |
| 47:7 | 8:7, 8:8, 8:15, 8:17, | 64:22 | 48:13, 49:19, 66:14, 67:17 | 0 / |
| looking [6] - 5:9, | 8:19, 8:21, 8:23, | million [38] - 27:8, | moved [2] - 12:3, | 29:12, 32:15 negotiating [2] - |
| 18:10, 28:21, 28:22, | 15:20, 16:7, 16:12, | 27:12, 28:13, 28:18, | 17:23 | 23:11, 24:21 |
| 33:4, 40:18 | 18:5, 18:25, 19:14, 20:5, 20:10, 21:16, | 29:2, 36:2, 41:19, 42:25, 43:9, 43:11, | movement [1] - 13:7 | neighbors [1] - 21:19 |
| Lori [3] - 3:5, 5:19 | 20:3, 20:10, 21:10, 22:1, 22:3, 22:6, | 43:20, 46:5, 46:18, | moves [1] - 48:13 | net [5] - 27:19, 28:2, |
| LORI [1] - 1:17 | 22:8, 22:10, 22:12, | 47:18, 49:11, 50:15, | movie [2] - 4:13, 4:18 | 32:25, 33:1, 57:23 |
| lower [4] - 51:6, 52:25, 53:1, 56:10 | 22:14, 30:6, 30:11, | 51:13, 51:17, 51:21, | moving [2] - 9:4, | never [4] - 11:20, 12:3, |
| luxury [1] - 44:20 | 33:14, 34:18, 38:2, | 53:12, 53:13, 53:19, | 48:14 | 12:9, 45:4 |
| luxuly [1] - 44.20 | 41:10, 41:12, 42:4, | 54:8, 54:13, 54:15, | MR [64] - 2:25, 3:2, | new [3] - 5:3, 37:17, |
| М | 42:11, 42:13, 48:9, | 56:9, 59:19, 59:22, | 3:3, 3:18, 6:22, 9:13, | 40:7 |
| IVI | 48:19, 49:8, 50:11, | 59:25, 60:2, 60:5, | 13:11, 14:4, 14:10, | news [2] - 48:11 |
| ma'am [1] - 14:10 | 50:18, 51:4, 51:24, | 60:18, 60:25, 62:3, | 14:19, 15:3, 15:16, | next [6] - 5:10, 10:17, |
| maintain [3] - 10:21, | 52:4, 52:21, 53:11, | 62:16, 64:10, 66:5 | 15:22, 16:10, 16:15, | 16:2, 25:4, 42:8, |
| 15:7, 15:10 | 54:7, 54:12, 54:24, | mind [1] - 40:10 | 16:25, 18:7, 19:23, | 49:5 |
| maintained [1] - 65:20 | 55:23, 57:22, 58:5, | mindful [1] - 2:22 | 21:6, 21:21, 22:25, | nicer [1] - 57:10 |
| maintaining [1] - | 58:10, 60:8, 60:14, | minimum [7] - 20:2, | 30:10, 30:18, 32:12, | nickels [1] - 12:22 |
| 64:22 | 61:3, 63:9, 63:22, | 56:16, 56:17, 56:18, | 34:2, 34:24, 35:11, | nit [1] - 31:25 |
| Manager [2] - 1:18, | 63:25, 64:4, 64:17, | 57:18, 57:20, 58:22 | 37:6, 38:4, 38:7, | nominal [1] - 39:18 |
| 1:19 | 64:18, 65:2, 65:23, | minutes [2] - 7:11, | 38:12, 38:13, 38:14, | none [4] - 7:9, 8:5, |
| manager [1] - 3:1 | 66:9, 66:11, 66:25, | 7:23 | 39:10, 40:3, 41:17, | 8:13, 23:19 |
| map [3] - 9:20, 9:25, | 67:2, 67:4, 67:6, | misheard [1] - 59:20 | 42:5, 42:10, 42:17, | Nooney [3] - 4:3, 4:11, |
| 10:2 | 67:8, 67:17, 67:18, 67:22, 67:24, 69:1 | miss [1] - 5:19 | 45:10, 46:25, 48:17, | 6:24 |
| marina [1] - 6:7 | 67:22, 67:24, 68:1, | missed [1] - 32:1 | 49:3, 49:13, 50:17, | NOONEY [1] - 6:22 |
| marshal [2] - 12:8, | 68:3, 68:5 | model [2] - 45:14, | 50:20, 51:8, 52:2, 52:9, 53:4, 54:4, | north [1] - 17:3 |
| 18:10 | member [7] - 3:7, 3:9, 3:11, 3:13, 3:15, | 46:13 | 52.9, 53.4, 54.4, 54:10, 55:3, 56:13, | North [2] - 1:8, 9:5 |
| material [3] - 33:4, | 3:17, 4:4 | models [1] - 45:19 | 59:14, 60:12, 60:22, | Notary [1] - 1:10 |
| 33:5, 34:6 | MEMBERS [10] - 1:12, | modest [1] - 32:3 | 61:11, 61:17, 64:20, | note [1] - 31:24 |
| math [1] - 53:18 | 7:8, 7:16, 7:20, 7:22, | modification [3] - | 65:11, 66:7, 66:16, | notes [1] - 69:11 |
| matter [2] - 9:18, 61:8 | 8:4, 8:12, 34:21, | 22:21, 23:3, 58:20 | 68:12 | nothing [2] - 23:22, |
| Matthew's [1] - 5:16 | 63:4, 66:22 | modifications [2] - | MS [27] - 3:5, 3:20, | 46:3 |
| mean [15] - 6:15, | members [2] - 2:15, | 23:16, 58:15 | 3:24, 4:2, 4:6, 6:21, | Notice [2] - 11:21, |
| 14:20, 15:6, 19:1, | 34:20 | modified [1] - 35:23 modify [1] - 40:21 | 7:1, 9:10, 13:20, | 12:5 |
| 33:2, 50:8, 50:22, 50:23, 52:11, 55:23 | memo [2] - 9:6, 10:24 | money [9] - 13:25, | 14:8, 14:11, 19:8, | notices [1] - 17:24 notifications [1] - |
| 50:23, 52:11, 55:23, 55:24, 56:1, 57:8, | memorandum [1] - | 14:17, 33:21, 35:24, | 20:19, 21:7, 21:10, | 23:17 |
| 00.2 1 , 00.1, 0 <i>1</i> .0, | | , 00.21, 00.24, | | 20.17 |

| number [15] - 35:22, | original [12] - 26:4, | part [7] - 14:7, 15:25, | plan [2] - 6:14, 56:7 | 35:16, 37:9, 42:16 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | _ | - | - | |
| 37:5, 37:11, 41:23, | 28:7, 28:11, 31:21, | 19:22, 45:9, 48:15, | plans [2] - 6:14, 17:12 | Professional [2] - |
| 43:1, 43:2, 43:9, | 32:1, 32:10, 35:7, | 49:20, 58:24 | Pledge [2] - 2:9, 2:10 | 69:7, 69:18 |
| 43:20, 43:21, 46:18, | 37:18, 42:25, 52:23, | particular [2] - 19:10, | plus [1] - 53:18 | program [2] - 43:12, |
| 56:19, 56:20, 56:21, | 53:5, 56:14 | 45:9 | pocket [1] - 53:25 | 46:21 |
| 57:1 | originally [2] - 25:7, | parties [1] - 17:14 | podium [1] - 4:4 | prohibitive [1] - 25:12 |
| numbers [3] - 28:21, | 29:19 | party [5] - 15:21, | point [12] - 16:13, | Project [1] - 1:19 |
| 52:18, 57:5 | ourselves [2] - 45:4, | 30:16, 31:3, 33:11, | 24:6, 26:21, 36:17, | project [20] - 2:25, |
| numerous [1] - 17:14 | 46:2 | 57:2 | 44:9, 45:4, 45:15, | 14:18, 23:6, 36:2, |
| | outcome [1] - 48:1 | pass [1] - 7:24 | 46:6, 50:16, 54:6, | 44:11, 44:18, 44:22, |
| 0 | outlined [1] - 24:3 | passu [4] - 24:18, | 62:6, 62:7 | 47:8, 47:9, 48:15, |
| ololook m 2:7 | outlining [1] - 24:1 | 25:9, 34:5, 34:10 | points [3] - 45:16, | 49:16, 50:4, 50:5, |
| o'clock [1] - 2:7 | outside [4] - 26:14, | past [2] - 19:9, 23:10 | 48:10, 58:7 | 50:6, 53:17, 54:18, |
| object [1] - 39:23 | 27:10, 38:15, 38:22 | Pat [1] - 3:10 | policies [1] - 60:25 | 55:20, 59:18, 60:17, |
| objection [1] - 36:11 | over-lawyer [1] - | path [3] - 24:14, | policy [3] - 47:15, | 62:6 |
| obtain [1] - 20:17 | 21:11 | 36:15, 48:14 | 47:23, 61:1 | properties [1] - 18:3 |
| obviously [2] - 17:17, | overall [4] - 47:20, | PATRICK [1] - 1:13 | portion [5] - 7:4, | property [13] - 9:20, |
| 55:15 | 47:21, 47:22, 63:1 | payment [2] - 31:14, | 31:18, 54:23, 57:24, | 11:10, 13:6, 13:9, |
| occurs [1] - 35:20 | own [1] - 13:6 | 39:21 | 68:14 | 15:7, 15:12, 16:24, |
| ocho [1] - 4:11 | owned [3] - 16:9, | people [2] - 16:20, | possible [2] - 45:2, | 17:7, 17:19, 17:20, |
| OF [4] - 1:1, 69:1, | 16:11, 17:10 | 44:17 | 48:1 | 18:21, 19:5, 19:10 |
| 69:3, 69:4 | owner [2] - 19:5, | per [1] - 57:4 | postulated [1] - 65:16 | proposal [1] - 12:13 |
| off-chance [1] - 20:11 | 19:10 | percent [18] - 25:3, | potential [5] - 21:17, | proposals [2] - 45:3 |
| offer [2] - 30:2, 36:4 | owners [3] - 15:7, | 30:11, 33:23, 34:8, | 58:3, 58:21, 60:23, | propose [1] - 64:1 |
| offering [1] - 55:14 | 15:12, 15:21 | 34:9, 45:17, 51:6, | 65:11 | proposed [3] - 11:21, |
| Office [5] - 1:19, 3:18, | ownership [2] - 11:20, | 51:12, 51:14, 51:16, | Pottsburg [1] - 5:10 | 45:20, 62:14 |
| 39:10, 39:25, 40:15 | 17:5 | 51:17, 51:20, 51:22, | POWERS [7] - 1:15, | protect [3] - 47:14, |
| Officer [1] - 1:17 | | 60:18, 62:18, 62:23, | 3:16, 8:8, 8:23, | 47:15 |
| often [2] - 23:9, 57:6 | Р | 64:12, 64:21 | 22:14, 67:8, 68:5 | protected [1] - 31:7 |
| OGC [4] - 41:6, 63:15, | | percentage [3] - | Powers [1] - 3:16 | protection [2] - 44:5, |
| 64:6, 66:3 | p.m [3] - 1:7, 2:1, | 47:19, 47:20, 47:21 | powers [4] - 8:22, | 48:2 |
| old [1] - 11:22 | 68:17 | perfectly [1] - 38:23 | 22:13, 67:7, 68:4 | protest [2] - 32:23, |
| older [1] - 20:1 | packet [1] - 32:20 | performance [1] - | predevelopment [1] - | 32:25 |
| once [3] - 12:17, | Paddles [1] - 4:15 | 25:22 | 61:23 | prove [1] - 37:8 |
| 26:25, 27:1 | page [1] - 10:5 | period [2] - 24:17, | preface [1] - 24:2 | provide [4] - 17:8, |
| one [26] - 4:2, 4:20, | paid [3] - 25:10, 41:24, | 65:13 | PRESENT [2] - 1:12, | 43:16, 44:13, 48:2 |
| 5:3, 5:24, 6:6, 14:13, | 60:16 | permit [1] - 21:5 | 1:16 | provides [1] - 18:14 |
| 14:15, 18:13, 19:12, | palatable [1] - 16:24 | permits [1] - 14:14 | present [1] - 61:4 | providing [2] - 21:2, |
| 24:16, 25:21, 28:5, | paper [1] - 9:15 | permitting [1] - 63:14 | presentation [1] - | 26:21 |
| 31:8, 33:23, 34:24, | paragraph [1] - 28:6 | person [2] - 16:9, | 28:10 | provision [2] - 52:23, |
| 35:9, 37:20, 37:21, | paragraphs [2] - | 16:11 | Preservation [1] - | 52:24 |
| 37:23, 40:7, 48:13, | 14:13, 14:16 | personally [3] - 36:10, | 19:17 | Prudential [1] - 9:3 |
| 51:11, 59:15, 62:14, | parameters [5] - 52:1, | 61:3, 62:14 | preserve [2] - 10:14, | Public [1] - 1:10 |
| 63:22, 64:1 | 54:19, 61:10, 64:12, | perspective [3] - | 21:1 | public [5] - 2:18, 2:21, |
| One [1] - 30:19 | 66:7 | 13:15, 21:5, 37:7 | press [1] - 4:6 | 4:1, 4:2, 7:3 |
| ones [1] - 15:13 | parcel [1] - 19:12 | Philanthropic [1] - | presume [1] - 14:1 | Public/Main [1] - 1:7 |
| online [1] - 3:23 | pari [4] - 24:18, 25:9, | 4:10 | pretty [4] - 29:23, | pull [1] - 8:2 |
| open [2] - 6:23, 37:3 | 34:5, 34:10 | phonetic) [1] - 17:11 | 33:7, 41:3, 45:13 | pump [1] - 14:25 |
| open-ended [1] - 37:3 | Park [1] - 6:2 | picked [3] - 38:5, 38:6, | previously [3] - 23:4, | purpose [2] - 23:5, |
| Operations [1] - 1:18 | parking [4] - 5:1, 5:2, | 43:3 | 23:21, 29:6 | 24:15 |
| opinion [1] - 50:6 | 6:3, 6:9 | picture [3] - 9:18, | price [1] - 50:1 | pursue [3] - 10:13, |
| opportunity [2] - 36:6, | Parola [7] - 3:2, 9:8, | 10:1, 10:6 | pro [6] - 42:21, 42:25, | 14:13, 19:6 |
| | 9:11, 13:1, 14:1, | pictures [1] - 9:16 | 52:7, 52:20, 54:23 | push [1] - 16:19 |
| 41:7 | | - | problem [1] - 18:18 | pushing [1] - 61:14 |
| 41:7 opposed [3] - 7:21, | 17:8, 18:9 | piece [2] - 40:12, | | |
| | 17:8, 18:9 PAROLA [14] - 1:18, | piece [2] - 40:12, 44:16 | • | |
| opposed [3] - 7:21, | | 44:16 | problems [1] - 13:10 | put [14] - 9:14, 29:21, |
| opposed [3] - 7:21, 37:3, 64:21 | PAROLA [14] - 1:18, | • • • • • | problems [1] - 13:10 procedure [1] - 39:6 | put [14] - 9:14, 29:21, 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, |
| opposed [3] - 7:21, 37:3, 64:21 opposition [1] - 59:11 | PAROLA [14] - 1:18, 3:2, 9:13, 13:11, | 44:16 pieces [1] - 9:15 place [6] - 30:15, | problems [1] - 13:10 procedure [1] - 39:6 proceedings [2] - | put [14] - 9:14, 29:21, |
| opposed [3] - 7:21, 37:3, 64:21 opposition [1] - 59:11 order [3] - 2:5, 39:8, | PAROLA [14] - 1:18, 3:2, 9:13, 13:11, 14:4, 14:10, 14:19, | 44:16 pieces [1] - 9:15 place [6] - 30:15, 33:16, 33:22, 34:6, | problems [1] - 13:10 procedure [1] - 39:6 proceedings [2] - 68:16, 69:9 | put [14] - 9:14, 29:21, 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 44:3, 45:13, 48:23, 49:16, 53:12, 53:13, |
| opposed [3] - 7:21, 37:3, 64:21 opposition [1] - 59:11 order [3] - 2:5, 39:8, 43:16 | PAROLA [14] - 1:18, 3:2, 9:13, 13:11, 14:4, 14:10, 14:19, 15:3, 15:16, 15:22, | 44:16 pieces [1] - 9:15 place [6] - 30:15, | problems [1] - 13:10 procedure [1] - 39:6 proceedings [2] - | put [14] - 9:14, 29:21, 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 44:3, 45:13, 48:23, |

| putting [4] - 18:13, | 39:2 | remind [1] - 38:10 | results [1] - 60:16 | 44:1, 66:12 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 32:14, 63:19, 63:20 | recommendations [2] | Renaissance [1] - | return [5] - 50:3, | scenario [3] - 53:25, |
| 02111,00110,00120 | - 36:17, 38:16 | 11:15 | 55:25, 59:22, 60:1, | 54:21, 56:8 |
| Q | recommended [1] - | renting [1] - 44:20 | 60:16 | schedule [1] - 25:22 |
| ~ | 38:24 | rents [1] - 44:22 | REV [3] - 57:19, 57:24, | School [1] - 5:5 |
| quantifiable [1] - 37:5 | record [1] - 69:10 | repeat [2] - 10:24, | 62:25 | Scott [2] - 3:8, 54:14 |
| quarterly [1] - 27:23 | records [1] - 11:24 | 13:3 | review [3] - 31:16, | SCOTT [1] - 1:14 |
| questions [9] - 7:14, | Redevelopment [3] - | report [7] - 23:25, | 32:22, 39:25 | Scott's [1] - 52:5 |
| 8:11, 12:24, 13:3, | 7:4, 7:11, 68:14 | 24:4, 28:7, 28:10, | reward [1] - 55:19 | se [1] - 57:4 |
| 13:17, 30:4, 34:19, | redevelopment [12] - | 65:5, 65:6, 69:9 | rewards [1] - 56:6 | searched [1] - 11:22 |
| 48:7, 66:20 | 11:5, 17:13, 23:12, | REPORTER [1] - 69:1 | RFP [2] - 12:4, 16:14 | second [18] - 7:13, |
| quite [2] - 44:1, 47:18 | 24:5, 26:1, 28:4, | Reporter [2] - 69:8, | ridiculous [1] - 55:16 | 8:8, 8:10, 10:5, |
| | 28:19, 40:22, 46:4, | 69:18 | right-of-way [3] - | 18:16, 22:3, 22:4, |
| R | 53:10, 56:15, 61:19 | reports [2] - 32:16, | 11:8, 12:13, 13:16 | 25:21, 64:8, 64:16, |
| | REDEVELOPMENT | 33:17 | rise [10] - 2:8, 28:15, | 64:17, 66:10, 66:11, |
| raise [3] - 35:19, | [1] - 1:2 | request [12] - 4:2, | 29:4, 46:21, 51:9, | 66:13, 66:14, 66:19, |
| 43:18, 50:5 | reduce [6] - 46:23, | 17:21, 24:7, 29:1, | 61:1, 64:23, 64:24, | 67:18, 67:19 |
| raised [1] - 32:13 | 46:24, 48:20, 48:25, | 29:18, 41:16, 41:18, | 65:19, 66:6 | secondary [5] - 11:7, |
| raising [1] - 35:8 | 58:7, 66:4 | 41:21, 41:22, 46:23, | risk [5] - 47:9, 49:12, | 12:8, 17:9, 18:14, |
| ramp [1] - 6:9 | reduced [4] - 29:1, | 51:24, 60:24 | 55:17, 55:19, 56:5 | 18:23 |
| rata [1] - 54:23 | 62:9, 62:20, 64:10 | requested [1] - 43:19 | risk-free [1] - 55:17 | secondly [1] - 66:4 |
| rate [2] - 44:14, 45:18 | reducing [5] - 24:16, | requesting [1] - 36:12 | river [1] - 5:2 | Section [4] - 20:22, |
| rates [7] - 44:10, | 51:13, 51:17, 51:21, | requests [1] - 27:15 | River [5] - 5:11, 6:7, | 40:20, 63:18, 63:20 |
| 44:20, 44:21, 46:14, | 54:17 | require [3] - 14:3, | 11:15, 22:20, 23:4 | see [10] - 3:22, 9:25, |
| 49:9, 49:19, 50:8 | reduction [8] - 29:7, | 20:12, 50:2 | Riverwalk [3] - 27:5, | 10:1, 10:16, 11:24, |
| rather [1] - 19:7 | 29:13, 29:18, 44:9, | required [9] - 19:17, | 27:6, 27:11 | 14:20, 26:16, 32:18, |
| RD [2] - 22:20, 23:4 | 46:15, 57:19, 58:4, | 20:16, 31:5, 32:22, | Road [1] - 4:12 | 57:3, 68:8 |
| RDA [5] - 32:11, | 58:21 | 42:19, 43:12, 43:13, | Robbins [2] - 45:24, | seeing [2] - 7:9, 8:5 |
| 36:23, 37:19, 39:22, 58:15 | reductions [1] - 46:14 | 51:5, 62:16 | 55:4 | seek [2] - 19:19, 20:17 |
| reach [1] - 33:6 | refresh [1] - 51:4 | requirement [4] - | robust [1] - 45:13 | seeking [1] - 19:24 |
| reached [1] - 44:2 | regular [1] - 49:6 | 28:9, 56:16, 64:9, | ROI [2] - 23:22, 43:14 | seem [1] - 19:4 |
| reaching [1] - 44.2 | rehabilitated [1] - 17:4 | 66:5 | Room [1] - 1:8 | sees [2] - 36:18, 44:14 |
| reading [2] - 13:24, | reimbursed [2] - 53:20, 54:23 | requirements [6] - 28:16, 29:4, 48:22, | routed [1] - 41:13 | seis [1] - 4:11 |
| 59:3 | reimbursement [1] - | 49:11, 61:5, 65:19 | Rubin [1] - 4:16 | select [1] - 40:1 |
| ready [1] - 5:22 | 53:23 | requires [1] - 23:15 | running [1] - 31:12 | selected [1] - 39:2 |
| real [2] - 3:4, 5:22 | Related [7] - 22:20, | requiring [1] - 30:25 | 0 | senior [8] - 24:19, |
| reality [1] - 59:24 | 23:6, 23:18, 24:21, | Reserve [1] - 48:12 | S | 25:6, 25:10, 33:10, 34:5, 34:12, 36:12, |
| realized [1] - 43:8 | 40:23, 54:16, 56:2 | reserves [1] - 57:2 | saddle [1] - 4:12 | 53:13 |
| really [22] - 4:20, 5:24, | related [2] - 48:24, | residential [1] - 27:2 | Saddles [1] - 4:13 | sense [3] - 19:14, |
| 6:5, 12:9, 13:8, 15:4, | 61:1 | Resiliency [1] - 4:11 | safe [1] - 18:14 | 45:6, 45:17 |
| 17:6, 17:16, 23:14, | relating [1] - 26:22 | Resilient [1] - 5:18 | safety [1] - 57:23 | sent [1] - 31:23 |
| 23:21, 25:5, 27:18, | relationship [2] - | resize [1] - 65:18 | satisfaction [1] - | separate [1] - 15:24 |
| 44:7, 45:5, 45:22, | 28:17, 33:8 | Resolution [7] - 9:4, | 26:22 | separately [1] - 24:9 |
| 47:8, 50:25, 51:10, | relationships [1] - | 22:19, 23:2, 23:7, | satisfied [1] - 31:17 | September [4] - 1:6, |
| 55:18, 56:21, 65:11 | 24:24 | 64:5, 66:1, 66:8 | satisfies [2] - 29:4, | 2:1, 2:7, 69:15 |
| rear [2] - 14:2, 18:15 | relative [1] - 30:6 | resolution [19] - 9:7, | 39:18 | seriousness [1] - 10:8 |
| reason [2] - 8:2, 25:18 | relatively [1] - 39:18 | 10:11, 14:22, 29:23, | satisfy [1] - 18:16 | serve [2] - 38:25, 39:3 |
| reasons [2] - 12:2, | release [5] - 25:5, | 33:6, 40:14, 40:19, | save [1] - 57:8 | set [2] - 54:19, 61:10 |
| 45:24 | 26:22, 30:12, 31:17, | 44:2, 58:14, 58:25, | saved [1] - 29:10 | several [4] - 11:6, |
| recap [1] - 59:13 | 36:13 | 59:9, 63:18, 63:21, | savings [7] - 44:16, | 11:16, 43:15, 45:3 |
| recapped [1] - 63:6 | released [3] - 26:25, | 64:2, 65:5, 65:7, | 53:16, 53:20, 58:2, | shaking [1] - 21:7 |
| received [2] - 10:9, | 27:8, 34:11 | 65:10, 67:15, 67:20 | 58:3, 58:9, 59:19 | share [5] - 15:14, |
| 27:1 | releases [4] - 25:8, | resolved [2] - 26:24, | SAWYER [7] - 1:19, | 15:20, 15:24, 25:19, |
| recent [1] - 52:20 | 32:16, 32:17, 33:18 | 32:25 | 3:18, 21:6, 38:13, | 55:9 |
| recently [2] - 12:11, | rely [1] - 33:20 | response [9] - 7:8, | 39:10, 42:5, 66:16 | sheet [10] - 25:24, |
| 17:11 | remain [2] - 34:10, | 7:16, 7:22, 8:4, 8:12, | Sawyer [12] - 3:18, | 28:8, 31:22, 32:10, |
| recitation (4) 2.10 | 62:17 | 34:21, 55:7, 63:4, | 13:22, 20:21, 35:5, | 35:7, 37:18, 40:24, |
| recitation [1] - 2:10 | | | | |
| recommend [1] - 37:2 | remaining [2] - 27:4, | 66:22 | 36:18, 38:9, 39:4, | 53:10, 63:20 |
| | | | | |

| short [1] - 44:24 | speaking [1] - 35:12 | subject [8] - 39:24, | 66:23, 67:1, 67:3, | transcript [1] - 69:10 |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| shortly [1] - 6:15 | speaks [2] - 26:6, 46:4 | 40:14, 59:3, 59:6, | 67:5, 67:7, 67:9, | transfer [2] - 10:12, |
| Shots [1] - 6:18 | speculating [1] - | 63:14, 64:6, 64:11, | 67:19, 67:23, 67:25, | 12:16 |
| shows [1] - 32:4 | 55:15 | 66:3 | 68:2, 68:4, 68:6, | translates [1] - 44:10 |
| sic [2] - 9:15, 61:6 | sponsor [1] - 5:9 | successful [1] - 51:2 | 68:13 | traveling [1] - 24:13 |
| side [3] - 9:22, 16:4, | spots [2] - 6:7, 6:10 | suggest [3] - 23:3, | themselves [1] - 31:6 | tres [1] - 4:11 |
| 17:7 | St [1] - 6:7 | 36:20, 64:22 | theory [1] - 54:2 | trespass [1] - 5:21 |
| Sidney [1] - 6:2 | stab [1] - 63:8 | suggesting [5] - | thereby [1] - 54:16 | tri [1] - 33:11 |
| significant [2] - 47:18, | stabilization [3] - 9:5, | 14:16, 20:14, 20:15, | they've [1] - 9:16 | tri-party [1] - 33:11 |
| 48:17 | 10:14, 14:14 | 34:17, 65:14 | thinking [1] - 56:8 | tried [1] - 45:2 |
| similar [2] - 17:19, | stabilize [2] - 12:14, | Suite [1] - 30:19 | third [6] - 25:14, | tries [1] - 44:15 |
| 57:6 | 19:2 | super [2] - 6:16, 23:13 | 26:21, 30:16, 31:3, | tripped [2] - 54:2 |
| simple [2] - 41:3, 44:8 | stack [1] - 43:7 | supplies [1] - 44:13 | 31:15, 57:2 | tripping [1] - 54:18 |
| simply [2] - 36:22, | staff [13] - 3:2, 3:20, | supports [1] - 59:9 | third-party [3] - 30:16, | Tropia [3] - 1:9, 69:7, |
| 59:7 | 10:13, 20:1, 23:25, | surprise [1] - 36:7 | 31:3, 57:2 | 69:18 |
| single [1] - 23:5 | 24:4, 28:7, 28:10, | surveyed [1] - 30:24 | thoughts [1] - 16:13 | true [5] - 25:1, 25:9, |
| single-purpose [1] - | 40:15, 48:8, 64:18, | system [1] - 32:5 | thousand [1] - 12:18 | 52:24, 54:1, 69:10 |
| 23:5 | 65:5, 65:6 | - | three [18] - 6:4, 6:7, | true-up [3] - 25:1, |
| Sip [1] - 6:18 | Stagger [1] - 6:19 | Т | 6:10, 11:1, 17:1, 18:3, 24:20, 25:2 | 52:24, 54:1 |
| sit [1] - 41:15 | stands [1] - 10:19 | table [2] - 29:17, 29:22 | 18:3, 24:20, 25:2, 30:13, 30:24, 31:10 | truing [1] - 24:17 |
| site [8] - 17:16, 18:10, | stapled [1] - 9:16 | tables [1] - 2:15 | 30:13, 30:24, 31:10, 31:12, 31:13, 31:14, | trust [1] - 25:16 |
| 18:19, 18:21, 18:23, | start [3] - 2:23, 19:3, 42:1 | tangible [1] - 28:2 | 32:7, 37:10, 49:5, | try [3] - 12:7, 50:25, |
| 33:4, 33:5, 34:7 sits [2] - 9:21, 41:17 | started [2] - 2:9, 36:3 | technical [1] - 23:24 | 51:10 | 65:22 |
| sitting [1] - 15:1 | STATE [1] - 69:3 | tenant [1] - 13:7 | threshold [1] - 55:11 | trying [2] - 10:24, 44:25 |
| situation [3] - 17:9, | State [1] - 1:10 | term [11] - 25:24, 28:7, | thresholds [1] - 61:9 | turn [1] - 10:5 |
| 19:1, 46:2 | stay [3] - 34:14, 46:20, | 28:8, 31:21, 32:10, | threw [1] - 37:11 | two [8] - 6:5, 10:17, |
| six [7] - 24:20, 25:1, | 62:15 | 35:7, 36:23, 37:18, | Thursday [1] - 6:17 | 12:18, 16:8, 18:11, |
| 25:8, 25:13, 30:13, | stenographic [1] - | 40:23, 53:10, 63:19 | ticket [1] - 5:1 | 41:25, 49:5, 57:5 |
| 31:10, 32:7 | 69:11 | terms [6] - 17:22, | tides [1] - 6:15 | typical [1] - 61:24 |
| | | | | |
| sixth [2] - 58:20, 59:2 | stenographically [1] - | 28:1, 28:17, 40:22, | tiny [1] - 31:8 | ••• |
| sixth [2] - 58:20, 59:2 slides [1] - 4:9 | stenographically [1] - 69:9 | 28:1, 28:17, 40:22, 48:1, 62:25 | tiny [1] - 31:8 title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 |
| | | | | typically [2] - 26:9, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 | 69:9 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, | typically [2] - 26:9, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 |
| | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 1:13 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:3 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:3 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 1:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 1:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 1:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 sounded [1] - 59:20 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1.8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, 16:5, 23:23, 36:19 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 town [1] - 56:2 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 up [26] - 2:14, 2:21, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:13 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 sounded [1] - 59:20 south [3] - 9:22, 11:3, 17:10 South [1] - 55:6 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, 16:5, 23:23, 36:19 structure [5] - 17:17, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, 51:3, 59:2, 59:12, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 town [1] - 56:2 trailers [1] - 6:10 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 up [26] - 2:14, 2:21, 2:22, 6:21, 6:23, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:3 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 sounded [1] - 59:20 south [3] - 9:22, 11:3, 17:10 South [1] - 55:6 Southbank [1] - 23:6 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, 16:5, 23:23, 36:19 structure [5] - 17:17, 23:21, 29:16, 45:13, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 town [1] - 56:2 trailers [1] - 6:10 train [1] - 16:22 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 up [26] - 2:14, 2:21, 2:22, 6:21, 6:23, 13:6, 13:8, 22:17, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:3 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 sounded [1] - 59:20 south [3] - 9:22, 11:3, 17:10 South [1] - 55:6 Southbank [1] - 23:6 space [1] - 14:2 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, 16:5, 23:23, 36:19 structure [5] - 17:17, 23:21, 29:16, 45:13, 60:20 | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, 51:3, 59:2, 59:12, 61:12, 63:2, 63:5, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 town [1] - 56:2 trailers [1] - 6:10 train [1] - 16:22 trajectory [3] - 48:14, | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 up [26] - 2:14, 2:21, 2:22, 6:21, 6:23, 13:6, 13:8, 22:17, 22:22, 24:17, 25:1, |
| slides [1] - 4:9 slow [1] - 12:7 small [2] - 56:21 smoothly [1] - 36:14 soft [2] - 52:6, 57:1 solution [1] - 62:13 solve [3] - 18:6, 18:12, 18:18 sometime [1] - 44:1 sometimes [1] - 23:15 somewhat [1] - 11:4 somewhere [2] - 50:25, 57:8 Sondra [2] - 3:12, 5:18 SONDRA [1] - 11:3 sooner [1] - 19:6 sorry [5] - 21:14, 26:19, 38:9, 61:25, 67:12 sort [2] - 12:9, 21:23 sound [1] - 40:16 sounded [1] - 59:20 south [3] - 9:22, 11:3, 17:10 South [1] - 55:6 Southbank [1] - 23:6 | 69:9 steps [2] - 47:2, 47:4 Steve [14] - 3:3, 13:13, 13:14, 16:16, 22:22, 29:25, 30:6, 30:18, 31:23, 41:12, 42:14, 45:8, 51:4, 52:22 STEVE [1] - 1:18 stewards [1] - 13:8 still [11] - 5:9, 27:11, 39:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:13, 43:14, 43:21, 46:20, 51:25, 52:6 stored [3] - 33:4, 33:5, 34:6 story [1] - 18:17 straight [2] - 9:8, 42:7 Street [4] - 1:8, 9:5, 9:21, 17:4 strikes [1] - 55:18 Stroll [1] - 6:18 strong [1] - 50:25 structural [4] - 16:1, 16:5, 23:23, 36:19 structure [5] - 17:17, 23:21, 29:16, 45:13, | 48:1, 62:25 test [3] - 27:22, 49:24, 62:18 tested [1] - 62:16 tests [3] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:23 THE [83] - 2:3, 2:11, 2:20, 3:10, 3:21, 3:25, 6:24, 7:2, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 7:23, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 13:1, 13:17, 14:23, 15:11, 15:18, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:14, 21:9, 21:11, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 22:15, 29:25, 34:19, 34:22, 37:25, 39:4, 40:9, 41:5, 41:11, 42:3, 42:12, 42:14, 45:8, 46:7, 48:5, 51:3, 59:2, 59:12, 61:12, 63:2, 63:5, 64:3, 64:14, 65:1, | title [3] - 23:3, 38:19, 38:20 Title [3] - 38:24, 38:25, 39:3 today [11] - 10:19, 22:23, 23:16, 35:9, 37:17, 39:7, 44:9, 48:10, 48:12, 55:5, 58:8 Todd [1] - 4:16 together [4] - 42:20, 42:21, 43:8, 45:13 tomorrow [1] - 6:16 ton [1] - 47:9 top [1] - 30:24 topic [2] - 39:8, 42:12 total [8] - 51:19, 51:22, 53:19, 62:22, 63:1, 64:9, 64:12 tough [1] - 65:24 towards [5] - 24:18, 25:10, 61:24, 62:11, 62:12 town [1] - 56:2 trailers [1] - 6:10 train [1] - 16:22 | typically [2] - 26:9, 38:18 U ultimately [2] - 18:17, 29:15 unanimous [1] - 8:25 unanimously [3] - 67:10, 67:13, 68:7 uncertainty [6] - 49:5, 49:7, 49:8, 49:17, 49:18, 57:12 under [3] - 17:5, 23:7, 61:10 understood [3] - 59:12, 63:2, 65:21 underwriting [1] - 57:12 unique [1] - 18:11 units [1] - 56:1 University [1] - 5:4 unknown [1] - 44:12 unused [1] - 54:22 up [26] - 2:14, 2:21, 2:22, 6:21, 6:23, 13:6, 13:8, 22:17, |

| 45:12, 46:22, 52:24, 54:1, 55:10, 60:6, | |
|--|--|
| 64:6, 66:2, 66:4 up-front [1] - 66:4 update [1] - 18:3 updated [2] - 9:6, 9:7 | 49:8, 50:11, 50:18, 54:24, 58:10, 66:11, 67:2, 67:17, 67:24 Wohlers [8] - 3:8, 8:16, 22:7, 53:15, 54:5, 65:16, 67:1, 67:23 |
| V | word [2] - 15:15, 24:18 |
| van [1] - 5:2 Vanessa [1] - 17:11 vantage [1] - 36:19 variables [1] - 44:25 variety [1] - 12:2 verbalized [1] - 53:9 vertical [5] - 26:7, 26:10, 26:16, 26:17, 62:2 violate [1] - 66:6 violated [2] - 60:20, 61:2 violation [2] - 56:11, | vording [2] - 55:1, 64:19 words [3] - 29:10, 31:11, 50:1 workable [1] - 45:7 works [1] - 37:9 worth [2] - 27:19, 28:2 worthsmithing [1] - 14:21 wrestle [1] - 33:2 write [1] - 60:4 written [1] - 25:23 |
| 61:9 | Y |
| vote [4] - 7:18, 8:14, 22:5, 66:24 voting [2] - 7:6, 39:9 | year [2] - 23:8, 25:4 years [4] - 14:5, 17:15, 20:1, 49:6 |
| W | yesterday [2] - 5:7, 39:13 |
| waiting [2] - 35:14, 41:21 waivers [1] - 32:17 | Z |
| walking [1] - 39:20 wall [3] - 15:21, 15:25, 18:13 walls [1] - 15:24 wants [3] - 36:12, | zero [1] - 11:1 |

| wince | ÷ | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | 3 |
| | | 1 | Mr. Carle, if you would stand up just so |
| | CITY OF JACKSONVILLE | 2 | all the board members who may not have had the |
| | DOWNTOWN INVESTMENT AUTHORITY | 3 | opportunity to meet you or work with you over |
| | BOARD MEETING | 4 | your long tenure as public parking officer at |
| | | 5 | least know who you are and get to say hello |
| | | 6 | here. |
| | Proceedings held on Wednesday, September 18, | 7 | MR. CARLE: (Complies.) |
| | 2024, commencing at 3:10 p.m., Jacksonville Public/Main | 8 | MS. BOYER: Mr. Parola, do you want to |
| | Library, Multipurpose Room, 303 North Laura Street, | 9 | read the resolution? |
| | Jacksonville, Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a | 10 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, I'm going |
| | Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large. | 11 | to fumble through the memorandum. I think you |
| | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: | 12 | should do the resolution. |
| | PATRICK KRECHOWSKI, Chair. | 13 | MS. BOYER: So I'd like to, at least for |
| | SONDRA FETNER, Board Member. SCOTT WOHLERS, Board Member. | 14 | the record, read the resolution. |
| | JIM CITRANO, Board Member. MICAH HEAVENER, Board Member. | 15 | "A resolution of the Downtown Investment |
| | MELINDA B. POWERS, Board Member. | 16 | Authority in recognition and appreciation of |
| | ALSO PRESENT: | 17 | Mr. Bob Carle for his many years of service, |
| | LORI BOYER, DIA, Chief Executive Officer. GUY PAROLA, DIA, Operations Manager. | 18 | dedication, and leadership to the City of |
| | STEVE KELLEY, DIA, Director of Development. ALLAN DEVAULT, DIA, Project Manager. JOHN SAWYER, Office of General Counsel. | 19 | Jacksonville, the Downtown Investment |
| | AVA HILL, Administrative Assistant. | 20 | Authority, and Downtown Jacksonville, and |
| | | 21 | providing for an effective date. |
| | | 22 | "Whereas, Mr. Carle began his career with |
| | | 23 | the City in November of 1989 in the Motor |
| | | 24 | Vehicle Inspection Division. |
| | | 25 | "And whereas, after ten years in the Motor |
| | Diang M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 | 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 2 | | |
| 1 | 2 P R O C F F D I N G S | 1 | 4 |
| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S | 1 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was |
| 1 | | 2 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office |
| 1 2 3 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. | 2 3 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. |
| 1 2 3 4 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown | 2 3 4 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, | 2 3 4 5 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the |
| 2 3 4 5 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, | 2 3 4 5 6 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. |
| 2 3 4 5 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 | P R O C E E D I N G S September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective |
| 23456789012345678901 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the |
| 23456789012345678901 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Or, Mr. Parola, would you like to do this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the DIA board. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Or, Mr. Parola, would you like to do this? I'm happy to allow it if you he has longer | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the DIA board. And Mr. Carle's retirement is Friday. |
| 23456789012345678901234 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Or, Mr. Parola, would you like to do this? I'm happy to allow it if you he has longer tenure, so it is certainly from both of us and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the DIA board. And Mr. Carle's retirement is Friday. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Or, Mr. Parola, would you like to do this? I'm happy to allow it if you he has longer tenure, so it is certainly from both of us and hopefully from the board. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the DIA board. And Mr. Carle's retirement is Friday. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. I will entertain a motion. |
| 23456789012345678901234 | PROCEEDINGS September 18, 2024 3:10 p.m. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll move into Downtown Investment Authority portion of the meeting, the first thing being the August 21st, 2024, board meeting minutes for approval. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Move to approve. BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, edits, comments? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. Next we have a resolution, 2024-09-04, the Bob Carle appreciation. I've got a separate document there. Is this to you, Ms. Boyer? MS. BOYER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Or, Mr. Parola, would you like to do this? I'm happy to allow it if you he has longer tenure, so it is certainly from both of us and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 4 Vehicle Inspection Division, Mr. Carle was promoted to parking manager within the Office of Public Parking. "And whereas, under Mayor Peyton, Mr. Carle was promoted to the chief of the Public Parking Division. "And whereas, in October of 2015, Mr. Carle became the City's parking officer. "And whereas, for 35 years, Mr. Carle has faithfully served the City of Jacksonville, and for the past eight years in particular served the Downtown Investment Authority and Downtown Jacksonville. "Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Downtown Investment Authority. "The Downtown Investment Authority expresses its sincere appreciation to Mr. Carle for his decades of faithful service and leadership." This resolution shall become effective upon the date it is signed by the chair of the DIA board. And Mr. Carle's retirement is Friday. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. |

| - | f Jacksonville town Investment Authority | | September 18, 202 Uncertified Condensed Cor |
|--|--|--|--|
| DOMU | town investment Authonity 5 | | Uncertified Condensed Cop 7 |
| 1 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Move to approve. | 1 | back from his wonderful trip to be here for |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: Second. | 2 | this, so I believe he can make it at the |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion and a | 3 | next one. |
| 4 | second. | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: That works for me. |
| 5 | Any comments from the board? | 5 | Anybody else have an issue there? |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) | 6 | BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: So I'll just echo the CEO | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll defer |
| 8 | and the staff's thanks and congratulations to | 8 | 2024-09-06. |
| 9 | you. I was a 17-year-old troublemaker in high | 9 | The next item is Old Business, reporting |
| 10 | school when you started, so making us all | 10 | on the costs and timing of some of the |
| 11 | feel a little bit old, so | 11 | requested studies on the riverfront parcels. |
| 12 | But thank you very much, Mr. Carle. | 12 | And I believe you have a memo from Mr. Parola. |
| 13 | If anybody else has anything they would | 13 | So, Guy, if you want to take this, please. |
| 14 | like to share | 14 | MR. PAROLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Thank you for your | 15 | So in response to a proposal for |
| 16 | service. | 16 | redevelopment of the East Landing parking |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Thank you very | 17 | lot and so everybody knows what we're |
| 18 | much. | 18 | talking about, there's an Attachment A that |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: It was a pleasure | 19 | shows the property. |
| 20 | working with you. | 20 | A DIA workshop was held on the 26th of |
| 21 | MR. CARLE: Thank you. | 21 | August. One of the requests from this workshop |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: Thank you. | 22 | was for further due diligence, which was to |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Thank you and enjoy | 23 | include market and what I'm calling a "land use |
| 24 | your retirement. | 24 | analysis," although I'm sure there's another |
| 25 | MS. CARLE: Thank you, ma'am. | 25 | term for it. |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 6 | | 8 |
| 1 | MS. BOYER: And, Mr. Chairman, if I can | 1 | So right now, just I'm going to |
| 2 | just add I shouldn't say this because we're | 2 | summarize the due diligence costs and time. |
| 3 | about to introduce his replacement as part of | 3 | Total cost is estimated at about \$700,000. It |
| 4 | the CEO who's sitting next to him here. | 4 | will take nine to twelve months to perform. |
| 5 | - | _ | I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I |
| · · | nowever, this is one of the more thankless | 5 | That includes the procurement time. |
| 6 | However, this is one of the more thankless roles in City government where there are a lot | 5 6 | That includes the procurement time. Adding on to that is the market and land |
| | roles in City government where there are a lot | - | Adding on to that is the market and land |
| 6 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of | 6 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what |
| 6 7 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we | 6 7 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and |
| 6 7 8 9 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize | 6 7 8 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. | 6 7 8 9 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. | 6 7 8 9 10 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Through the Chair | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that is full of stuff, right? It's all backfill; |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Through the Chair to the Chair, I'd like to request that we defer this item so that Mr. Silveira could be here. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that is full of stuff, right? It's all backfill; sub-surface utility engineering, what we call SUE reports. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Through the Chair to the Chair, I'd like to request that we defer | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that is full of stuff, right? It's all backfill; sub-surface utility engineering, what we call |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Through the Chair to the Chair, I'd like to request that we defer this item so that Mr. Silveira could be here. I found out that he was out of town, enjoying | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that is full of stuff, right? It's all backfill; sub-surface utility engineering, what we call SUE reports. And we're not just going to rely on sort of the as-builts and what we can discover from |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | roles in City government where there are a lot of complaints you receive and not a lot of thank yous, so at least in this one instance we wanted to provide the opportunity to recognize and appreciate all he's done for us. THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Carle. Appreciate you very much. MR. CARLE: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think do you want to speak to the next one or do you want me to mention Ms. Fetner. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: Through the Chair to the Chair, I'd like to request that we defer this item so that Mr. Silveira could be here. I found out that he was out of town, enjoying his retirement, and I didn't want him to rush | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Adding on to that is the market and land use costs and time, which, depending on what scenario you choose if one is chosen and I talk about that a little further add another 100- to \$250,000 on to it, so you're going to be in the range between 800- to \$950,000. To talk about the due diligence, just some of the items we'll be doing. Of course, we're going to look at the titles so that we can discover any recorded easements, incumbrances, et cetera, Phase I environmental, geotechnical and geotechnical is very important because you have to realize all of this is is essentially reclaimed land that is full of stuff, right? It's all backfill; sub-surface utility engineering, what we call SUE reports. And we're not just going to rely on sort |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | the paperwork. We're also going to do the physical or soft dig, utility locate information. That's very important because I can tell you just by way of example, on the Riverfront Plaza, to its west, we discovered a 54-inch stormwater pipe that well, wasn't discovered during the utilities survey. And we also discovered a sea wall and bulkhead. So there were actually two sea walls and bulkhead. We just didn't know about it. We're also going to get pricing for what we know needs to be or or can be relocated. The Main Street Bridge ramp removal. So we'll get a price on engineering and demolition, noting that in 2020 costs estimates for design and demolition was about 3.2 million. We know there is a JEA electrical duct bank that would need to be relocated, and we know there is 54-inch pile-supported sewer pipe that we've talked about that goes parallel to the bulkhead and sits maybe 100, 120 feet off the sea wall. Also, based on what we find through the SUE report and soft digging, if it can be Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | analysis of the property. The second scenario that we talked about and I would tell you to take a look at Attachment C, so the very the very last 11-by-17 sheet of paper attached to the memo (Microphone failure.) MR. PAROLA: Okay. So the I would take a look at Attachment C, and that's the second scenario which includes analysis of the property; the Riverfront Plaza development parcel; the Ford on Bay site, which is the former courthouse site, together with the former City Hall Annex property. As part of that, this board would need to give us a scope of services. That scope of services would define the focus area as well as, you know, what we really want to (inaudible). For the property only, we estimate at probably 50- to \$100,000, and it taking six months to complete. And that would include procurement. For multiple parcels, private development and a focus area, we anticipate \$250,000, and nine months, including procurement. Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300 |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1 2 3 | ¹⁰ relocated, we're going to understand the feasibility. We're also going to understand the engineering and relocation costs associated | 1 2 3 | ¹² So if we're talking about next steps for due diligence, in all likelihood we would try to bid it out as a single package and hope to |
| 4 | with that. | 4 | realize costs and maybe some time savings. |
| 5 | Again, just to sort of talk about an order | 5 | For the market and land use, again, we |
| 6 | of magnitude here and I would tell you to | 6 | would need to develop a scope of services, |
| 7 8 | take a look at Attachment B, which is a very cursory utility map. I don't expect you to | 7 8 | which I would imagine would involve Steve and Lori or maybe a board member or two. And then |
| 9 | digest it. It's really there to illustrate all | 8 9 | that scope of services, as we deal with any |
| 10 | the stuff that's in our ground that we know | 10 | sort of RFP, would need to be brought back to |
| 11 | about. | 11 | this board for a blessing on scope, minimum |
| 12 | We know that, again, we have that 54-inch | 12 | qualifications, et cetera. |
| 13 | JEA gravity sewer parallel to the bulkhead. We | 13 | I'm happy to answer any questions, but I |
| 14 | know there is an AT&T duct bank that runs | 14 | think Lori has a finer point to put on it. |
| 15 16 | parallel to the bulkhead that we believe is tied up in an easement. | 15 16 | MS. BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Parola. Okay. So for the board's purposes and |
| 17 | We know the Northbank Main Street | 17 | I'm going to defer to the chairman, whether he |
| 18 | Bridge ramps. We know there is a JEA electric | 18 | actually wants to get to some decision point |
| 19 | bank following the ramp path. | 19 | today or whether this is just to get you an |
| 20 | So those are just what we know that would | 20 | understanding, and we either call a special |
| 21 | need to be relocated. | 21 | meeting or we do something else to get to a |
| 22 23 | To add on to that and we'll talk about the market and, again, what I'm calling the | 22 23 | decision point. But, ultimately, there are two parts of |
| 23 24 | "land use analysis." | 23 24 | it. There is the site investigation work, the |
| 24 25 | The first scenario accounts only for | 24 25 | due diligence part. And what Mr. Parola is |
| | | | - . |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |

City of Jacksonville Downtown Investment Authority September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

11

| - | f Jacksonville town Investment Authority | | September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| | 13 | | 15 |
| 1 | telling you there is, from a time standpoint, | 1 | which, as part of an urban plan I would say |
| 2 | maybe that could be accomplished in six months, | 2 | in this case our CRA plan looks at the |
| 3 | six to eight months, which is faster than if | 3 | long-term goals of the plan to determine how |
| 4 | we're going the full land use analysis part. | 4 | one or more sites in a focused area should be |
| 5 | We do have money in our upcoming budget | 5 | used by organizing uses to achieve optimal |
| 6 | for Professional Services and in Unallocated, | 6 | external as well as on-site impact of each |
| 7 | and we have so we have funds that we could | 7 | parcel. |
| 8 | pay for this next year. | 8 | So what that is communicating is you are |
| 9 | I would suggest that doing some of these | 9 | looking at the use of a particular parcel for |
| 10 | efforts help prepare the property for future | 10 | the benefits to that parcel but also for the |
| 11 | disposition, regardless of whether it is Cross | 11 | benefits and impact to adjacent parcels on |
| 12 | Regions or some other developer. Ms. Fetner can attest, when we were | 12 13 | achieving your overall long-term goals as part |
| 13 14 | working on the Shipyards, this kind of thing, | 13 | of your plan. And so you're looking at multiple sites in the context of each other. |
| 14 | where we investigated our own property and knew | 14 | I believe that's what I heard you asking |
| 16 | what the issues were to that we had to | 16 | for, but I'm asking for this clarification |
| 17 | resolve before we sold it is typically the way | 17 | because it's a different consultant that you |
| 18 | we like to approach it. | 18 | would hire and a different time frame that it |
| 19 | So I don't want to discourage you from | 19 | would take. This would take a little longer |
| 20 | spending it. If you think this is a worthwhile | 20 | than just having an appraisal, several months |
| 21 | effort, I think it would be useful to whomever | 21 | longer than just having an appraisal. |
| 22 | buys it, for us they could take over the | 22 | So I did want to bring that up and try to |
| 23 | information that we have. On the other hand, | 23 | get the board's input on that just to be sure |
| 24 | it is a significant cost and time delay that I | 24 | that we were giving you the right estimates of |
| 25 | wanted to share. | 25 | time and cost. |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 14 | | 16 |
| 1 | I do really need some clarification and | 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. |
| 2 | direction from the board because I heard certain terms used in our workshop meeting, and | 2 | I think just from my perspective, I think a lot of this should be handled at the |
| 3 | I think they were maybe being used a little | 4 | committee level. You know, I do have a little |
| 5 | indiscriminately or inaccurately. So I have | 5 | bit of sticker shock, but we had a workshop |
| 6 | some definitions for you that I want you to | 6 | where our central conclusion was, there's too |
| 7 | understand. | 7 | much here we don't know about, so we need to go |
| 8 | So if what you want to ascertain is the | 8 | find that out, we need to get the answers. |
| 9 | highest and best use of the East Landing | 9 | I would I agree with the optimal use, |
| 10 | parcel, the courthouse parcel, any of those, | 10 | but I'm happy to hear others. |
| 11 | that is typically a term that is used by an | 11 | I would also want to know, not just that |
| 12 | appraiser and it is a term that is achieving | 12 | we can pay for it, but what is what are |
| 13 | the highest profit. | 13 | those funds being taken away from, what are |
| 14 | So definition-wise the definition of | 14 | they not being spent on. That can be discussed |
| 15 | that is a real estate valuation concept that | 15 | at the committee level, but I welcome other |
| 16 | refers to the most profitable use of a property | 16 | comments from the board. |
| 17 | that is legal, physically possible, and | 17 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair, I think |
| 18 | financially feasible. It is used to determine | 18 | I was the one that used the term "highest and |
| 19 | the highest market value for a property. | 19 | best use," and I was not using it in your first |
| 20 21 | That's highest and best use. That is quicker to obtain, less expensive to obtain, but I | 20 21 | definition. I was using it more for the optimal purpose, and that's that's the |
| 21 | don't think that's what I heard you asking for. | 21 | thoughts that I had in my head at the workshop. |
| 23 | So I just want to be clear that that | 22 | That's still what I believe today needs to be |
| 24 | what we really want. | 24 | done. |
| 25 | There's another term called "optimal use," | 25 | Separate from that, the East Landing site, |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| - | | • | - |

| - | Jacksonville | | September 18, 2024 |
|--|---|--|---|
| Down | own Investment Authority 17 | | Uncertified Condensed Copy 19 |
| 1 | before I in my opinion, before this body can | 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else? |
| 2 | make a decision, we need to know if the cost to | 2 | Sondra. |
| 3 | deal with the infrastructure that exists on | 3 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: For the Main Street |
| 4 | that site under ground is even feasible, | 4 | Bridge ramp removal, we're talking about the |
| 5 | economically speaking, to remove. | 5 | east side of the ramp, right? Not the side |
| 6 | So I would be in favor of doing that work | 6 | that is going into the park because that's |
| 7 | because we're going to have to do it anyway, | 7 | already been figured out? |
| 8 | correct? | 8 | MS. BOYER: Correct. |
| 9 | MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, yes. | 9 | The other so the primary ramp on the |
| 10 | My caveat is, if time were not an issue at | 10 | opposite side of Main Street is already |
| 11 | all, I would do the optimal use first because, | 11 | removed. There is what we're calling a "nub," |
| 12 | depending upon what size building the optimal | 12 | a small piece of it that is left that is |
| 13 | use suggested that you wanted to see there, you | 13 | already in design for removal. |
| 14 | might not ever get into the cost of the | 14 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: And then I guess one |
| 15 | relocation and some of the other things, so you | 15 | of my questions that just keeps rising to the |
| 16 | could avoid some of the additional study costs, | 16 | top is, what about our plan for Riverfront |
| 17 | but then that's just adding time here because | 17 | Plaza and Ford on Bay? |
| 18 | you're not doing them simultaneously. | 18 | I just I feel like we're getting ahead |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: My sense would be | 19 | of ourselves, and that those two properties |
| 20 | to do the optimal use report because what if, | 20 | are not figured out yet and they're just kind |
| 21 | as an example, the optimal use report said that | 21 | of hanging out there. I would feel more |
| 22 | that site should not be developed as a high density for cale conde building? What if | 22 23 | comfortable knowing what's going on there before we moved on to another site. |
| 23 24 | high-density, for-sale condo building? What if it said it should just be an extension of | 23 24 | And also, did we do similar due diligence |
| 24 | Riverfront Park? Because just because. I | 24 25 | for the Ford on Bay site? I just cannot |
| 23 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 23 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 18 | | 20 |
| 1 | 18 don't know. | 1 | 20 recall. |
| 1 2 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. | 1 2 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could |
| | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is | | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building |
| 2 3 4 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we | 2 3 4 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. |
| 2 3 4 5 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the | 2 3 4 5 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. | 2 3 4 5 6 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We have already done |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, is if one were going to remove it in order | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We have already done title work on that site. We already have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, is if one were going to remove it in order to facilitate development of that pad, we need | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We already done title work on that site. We already have done have utility information on that site. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, is if one were going to remove it in order to facilitate development of that pad, we need to plan for, in our long-range budgeting, how | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We have already done title work on that site. We already have done have utility information on that site. There was a Phase I done on that site at one |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, is if one were going to remove it in order to facilitate development of that pad, we need to plan for, in our long-range budgeting, how we would absorb the cost of that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We have already done title work on that site. We already have done have utility information on that site. There was a Phase I done on that site at one point. I mean, there is a base level of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | don't know. I think that should be the starting point. And then if the report says that that site is best suited for private development, then we have to go and determine the logistics and the cost of moving infrastructure. And I do have one question. Removing the Main Street Bridge ramp, is that to accommodate a building there or was that always planned? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, it has been long planned in order to accommodate a building there. It was not planned for traffic improvement purposes. It was always planned to facilitate redevelopment of this parcel. At one point, it was going to be a JTA project, and then it was deleted from the JTA project. So now no one DOT does not have it in their plan, we don't have it in our plan, so nobody has it budgeted. So to the point of the investigation here, is if one were going to remove it in order to facilitate development of that pad, we need to plan for, in our long-range budgeting, how | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | recall. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, if I could answer that. Whoever built the first building that we tore down would have done that. So just that we know there was foundation work, so we know we know that property pretty well. BOARD MEMBER FETNER: With the Landing, there were so many things that came out under it that we didn't know about. MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, yeah, I guess, maybe. I think the Landing got lucky and just missed a lot of stuff. I don't know that it was brilliant design. It just missed it. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Carter did some investigation. Did we get copies of that work? MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Citrano, I don't know that we have a full deliverable from Carter, but we definitely have information on that site. We have already done title work on that site. We already have done have utility information on that site. There was a Phase I done on that site at one |

| Down | town Investment Authority | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 21 | | 23 |
| 1 | information on that site. | 1 | you're looking at that's a focused area |
| 2 | And to your point about deciding what is | 2 | within and we can certainly create a map for |
| | , , , , | | , |
| 3 | happening with the other parcels, I think that | 3 | you, and you guys can weigh in on it at the |
| 4 | is the point of the optimal use study, if you | 4 | committee level and those kinds of things, but |
| 5 | want to call it that. The second that is more | 5 | the real question is, is that the direction you |
| 6 | of a land use/urban planning study than just a | 6 | want us to go, is that what you'd like us to |
| 7 | highest and best use appraisal study, that is | 7 | create for you and solicit costs on and vendors |
| 8 | looking at those multiple sites and suggesting | 8 | for? |
| _ | that, well, your high-rise | 9 | |
| 9 | | - | THE CHAIRMAN: Again, I think and I'm |
| 10 | From one perspective, if you look at them | 10 | happy to hear from the others, but I think |
| 11 | individually and based on the goal of | 11 | this could be flushed out at the committee |
| 12 | residential density downtown, you could say | 12 | level, but I caution us not to focus |
| 13 | that the highest and best use of every site is | 13 | hyper-focus on one parcel versus the other, or |
| 14 | residential, and that's very simple, and that | 14 | we're going to work on this one, so let's leave |
| 15 | was | 15 | that one. I mean, I I wouldn't push this |
| | | | |
| 16 | Frankly, when we did a highest and best | 16 | board to consider Ford on Bay, and to get |
| 17 | use on the courthouse site, that Ford on Bay | 17 | moving on Ford on Bay, and to |
| 18 | site, that was the recommendation. So what if | 18 | You know, I just asked Guy to get me the |
| 19 | what we are asking instead is not on a parcel, | 19 | right of first refusal the Hyatt, well, I |
| 20 | but we are saying, look at three or four | 20 | want to know what that says. I mean, these |
| 21 | parcels together and recommend collectively, in | 21 | parcels, we need to start moving on, and I |
| 22 | light of the goals of the plan, which use makes | 22 | think they're close enough together that a |
| | | | |
| 23 | sense where, that would be a different type of | 23 | study like this can really educate all of us on |
| 24 | initiative and would also help guide you on | 24 | what works, what fits, what's compatible to, |
| 25 | what you're doing on the other two parcels. | 25 | adjacency and things like that, so |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 22 | | 24 |
| 4 | 22 ROADD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or | 4 | 24 Rut that's my thought on it. I don't |
| 1 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or | 1 | But that's my thought on it. I don't |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three | 2 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have |
| | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it | | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three | 2 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have |
| 2 3 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it | 2 3 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. |
| 2 3 4 5 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going | 2 3 4 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer | 2 3 4 5 6 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have spoken about, the redevelopment potential of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it seems from that committee and from others that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have spoken about, the redevelopment potential of that site. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it seems from that committee and from others that there's a consensus that we need to focus on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have spoken about, the redevelopment potential of that site. So if you're asking I would try to keep | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it seems from that committee and from others that there's a consensus that we need to focus on the core. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have spoken about, the redevelopment potential of that site. So if you're asking I would try to keep this to a somewhat contiguous, modest area that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it seems from that committee and from others that there's a consensus that we need to focus on the core. Now, if you ask any one person what area |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BOARD MEMBER FETNER: So this plan or this recommendation would look at those three parcels as well as the existing parcels. So it will look at, in the global sense of, we're having a park here, a park there, what's going at the Four Seasons? Because that gets closer to the Ford on Bay. So it will look at the whole landscape and then come up with MS. BOYER: Through the Chair BOARD MEMBER FETNER: (inaudible) MS. BOYER: I think we would have to develop a scope. I would encourage you not to go as far the Four Seasons. I mean, the further afield you go in terms of analyzing because the request here is to look not just at the City-owned parcels in isolation, but also look at the privately owned parcels in their redevelopment potential. So, for example, directly across from the Wells Fargo building and the Cowford Chophouse is a two-story parking garage that people have spoken about, the redevelopment potential of that site. So if you're asking I would try to keep | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | But that's my thought on it. I don't know, Micah, Melinda, Scott if you have anything to say. BOARD MEMBER HEAVENER: The only thing that I would ask also is, if we're if we are going to focus on the core, how does this you know, from a do we have enough housing units, are they the right economic level that are going to support high-end restaurants and stuff like that. Like, that's I think we should consider that, just given the proximity to where it sounds like we're going to be focusing a lot of effort and how it can play into accelerating that. But I completely agree on the optimal scenario versus the highest and best use. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. BOARD MEMBER CITRANO: So I to Micah's point, especially given that there's been a special committee studying downtown and it seems from that committee and from others that there's a consensus that we need to focus on the core. |

| Down | town Investment Authority | 1 | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 25 | | 27 |
| 1 | is the core, you'd probably get different | 1 | on the core that we'll be able to provide you. |
| 2 | answers. And so I would suggest, if we do an | 2 | Happy to do that at a Strategic Implementation |
| 3 | optimal purpose study, or whatever you termed | 3 | Committee, if that's where the Chair thinks |
| 4 | it, that we we identify a certain area, | 4 | this should happen, or a board workshop, |
| 5 | whether it's Laura to Hogan, or whatever three | 5 | however you want to do that. Respectful of |
| 6 | or four or five blocks, you know and the | 6 | your time, but there is a lot to share. |
| 7 | study include the entire area as well as the | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I think SIC I |
| 8 | other City-owned the two other City-owned | 8 | think this is Guy's memo and the questions that |
| 9 | properties that we're talking about. So the | 9 | it's generated are perfect for SIC as well, and |
| 10 | courthouse and | 10 | I think Ford on Bay as well, a discussion |
| | | - | • |
| 11 | I think it's the right time to do it. | 11 | about, you know, moving forward on that. |
| 12 | There's enough people now looking at our | 12 | So, Melinda, did you have anything on |
| 13 | downtown and focusing on (microphone failure). | 13 | this? I saw you reaching. |
| 14 | That should be part of the report. | 14 | BOARD MEMBER POWERS: Yeah. I just wanted |
| 15 | MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Board | 15 | to say that I am in favor of the optimal use |
| 16 | Member Citrano, I'm certainly happy to have | 16 | approach. I think that makes the most sense |
| 17 | further discussions about that. You're jumping | 17 | for what it is that we want to do and the |
| 18 | ahead a little bit to my CEO report in that we | 18 | direction that we're going in. |
| 19 | have internally, as staff, been working in | 19 | I'm a bigger fan of, like, a Bay Street |
| 20 | response to specific Council member requests on | 20 | corridor focus, but I just wanted to throw that |
| 21 | definitions of a core program modeled for what | 21 | in there. |
| 22 | it would be. The requests that we have | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Scott. |
| 23 | received from Council members is a | 23 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Thank you. |
| 24 | residential-only program that is incentivizing | 24 | Real quick. We never answered, if we |
| 25 | residential. | 25 | spend the money on this, what are we taking it |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 26 | | 28 |
| 1 | 26 So, again, the concept that we're | 1 | |
| 1 | So, again, the concept that we're | 1 | 28 away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that |
| | | | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that |
| 2 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a | 2 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. |
| 2 3 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, | 2 3 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going |
| 2 3 4 5 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may | 2 3 4 5 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying | 2 3 4 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. | 2 3 4 5 6 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report for the special committee to take action. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. You know, finite bucket of dollars, and in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report for the special committee to take action. So if we can kind of bifurcate the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. You know, finite bucket of dollars, and in terms of whatever project that might be. And I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report for the special committee to take action. So if we can kind of bifurcate the discussions and certainly take into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. You know, finite bucket of dollars, and in terms of whatever project that might be. And I can certainly send out a memo to you and show |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report for the special committee to take action. So if we can kind of bifurcate the discussions and certainly take into consideration how we have a lot of data now | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. You know, finite bucket of dollars, and in terms of whatever project that might be. And I can certainly send out a memo to you and show where we're looking at and what we're looking |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | So, again, the concept that we're discussing is letting a professional urban planner, land use expert that is making a recommendation: This may be best for a hotel, this may be for just restaurants, or this may be best for residential and not saying residential only. So what we've done in order to satisfy the Council members' requests is we've looked at how many residential units you could fit within a certain area, what the densities would be, and they've developed a model that we were we would like to meet with you individually on as soon as possible because we're kind of vetting it for whether it works, whether it doesn't work, and what are the kinks in it. But our hope would be that we would be presenting that to the special committee, or someone would be, you know, in the next month or two months as they are pursing their work, not waiting until we finish this entire report for the special committee to take action. So if we can kind of bifurcate the discussions and certainly take into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | away from, and so I'm going to as the me being a numbers guy, I'm going to ask that question. MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, I'm going to have to get back to you on that specifically, but we have a certain allocation in the Northbank CRA and in our administrative budget next year for Professional Services. So this may or may not the allocations may or may not be enough to cover everything we need for this. So, number one, you might tap out your resources for Professional Services and preclude you from using those to design something else or do something else or respond later in the year. But more importantly, I mean, we do have the board has the ability to transfer funds internally, and so the question is really here, construction projects that get delayed because the funding you're accumulating for them, you're using for this. You know, finite bucket of dollars, and in terms of whatever project that might be. And I can certainly send out a memo to you and show |

7 of 22 sheets

| | | 29 | | 31 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| | 1 | at in future years. | 1 | which is now open. | |
| | 2 | BOARD MEMBER WOHLERS: Thank you. | 2 | Pretty much all of our construction | |
| | 3 | And I am very much in favor of the optimal | 3 | projects have run into some timing delays. | |
| | 4 | use as well. | 4 | Anybody that wasn't purely on interior ran into | |
| | 5 | Thank you. | 5 | delays over the last 30 days with the weather | |
| | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you for | 6 | since we seem to have rain every day. | |
| | 7 | all of that. | 7 | Next slide. | |
| | 8 | I think you're up, Ms. CEO, with your | 8 | Two-way road conversions. Two-way road | |
| | 9 | monthly update. We have a handout you passed | 9 | conversions, what you see is we're actually | |
| | 10 | out earlier before the start of the meeting. | 10 | working on signals above ground now. So you | |
| | 11 | MS. BOYER: So we also have our normal | 11 | get some evidence that that is actually | |
| | 12 | PowerPoint with the construction updates that | 12 | happening on Adams and Forsyth. | |
| | 13 | has a few pictures in it that you would not | 13 | Next. | |
| | 14 | have seen yet. | 14 | Riverfront Plaza. And what you can begin | |
| | 15 | So it begins with One Riverside. And as | 15 | to see here is work on that park services | |
| | 16 | you can see, they're now working on the steps | 16 | building from the aerial. It's, you know, | |
| | 17 | going down toward the Riverwalk. | 17 | obviously a distorted angle from the drone or | |
| | 18 | Continuing on to the next slide, you'll | 18 | the aerial. Let's see if the next picture | |
| | 19 | see the building totally topped out, ramp's in | 19 | maybe gives you a better view of that. | |
| | 20 | for the parking garage, all of that. So | 20 | Yeah, so you can see that building | |
| | 21 | they're starting on interior work in units as | 21 | which and I understand the first vertical | |
| | 22 | well. | 22 | wall was poured today and more are coming | |
| | 23 | Next slide. | 23 | imminently. | |
| | 24 | Again, another One Riverside picture. | 24 | Next. | |
| | 25 | McCoys Creek Outfall. McCoys Creek | 25 | That's still Riverfront Plaza, as is that. | |
| | 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 20 | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | 3 |
| | | | | | |
| | | (904) 821-0300 | | | |
| ŀ | | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 | |
| | 1 | 30 | 1 | (904) 821-0300 32 | , |
| | 1 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see | 1 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. | |
| _ | 2 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to | 2 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had | |
| | 2 3 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So | 2 3 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral | |
| _ | 2 3 4 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will | 2 3 4 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. | |
| | 2 3 4 5 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new | 2 3 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see | |
| | 2 3 4 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover | 2 3 4 5 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be | 2 3 4 5 6 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. | |
| | 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground utility work is a significant amount of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor when we had a chance to tour it. | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | ³⁰ Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground utility work is a significant amount of progress and takes a lot of time. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor when we had a chance to tour it. Next. | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground utility work is a significant amount of progress and takes a lot of time. Next. Johnson Commons. We have continued progress on Johnson Commons on both the sale of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor when we had a chance to tour it. Next. Four Seasons. Four Seasons, you can see | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground utility work is a significant amount of progress and takes a lot of time. Next. Johnson Commons. We have continued progress on Johnson Commons on both the sale of buildings and on ongoing and new construction. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor when we had a chance to tour it. Next. Four Seasons. Four Seasons, you can see work coming out of the ground. They have | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 30 Outfall is on schedule, and what you can see here are the sidewalks being poured adjacent to the newly dug creek location, not fully. So eventually what will happen is the channel will be diverted from the old location to the new location, and then the existing location cover will be demolished and that side will be filled. But what you have right now is what you're looking at there is the new location. Park Street road diet. I teased the engineers on the next picture. So what we're showing you here is all of the pipes being installed, and then at the top picture they're now underground and it is graded and covered. So you don't it doesn't appear like significant progress, but this underground utility work is a significant amount of progress and takes a lot of time. Next. Johnson Commons. We have continued progress on Johnson Commons on both the sale of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (904) 821-0300 32 There are a lot of them. Lofts at Cathedral. Mr. Parola and I had an opportunity to tour the Lofts at Cathedral about two weeks ago. If you go to the next slide, you'll see they're now on finishing the exteriors, doing landscaping, but have all of the interiors pretty much moving forward, ready to go, have begun leasing on that. So that project is certainly nearing completion. This particular view is you will recall there was a considerable amount of discussion between this board and the DDRB board regarding the requirement for retail space or open space on the first floor, along the street edge. This is now an amenity/tenant space and really turned out lovely on the first floor when we had a chance to tour it. Next. Four Seasons. Four Seasons, you can see work coming out of the ground. They have poured their first floor; raised floors, in | |

24 And you can see the bottom picture shows it in25 relation to Lift Ev'ry Voice and Sing Park,

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

they're moving forward with that.

City of Jacksonville

Downtown Investment Authority

25

31

| | town investment Authority | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 33 | | 35 |
| 1 | Next. | 1 | so we periodically are going to ask to go |
| 2 | Another slide on the Four Seasons where | 2 | review things that the contractor is doing and |
| 3 | you can see more of the work that's underway. | 3 | make decisions about whether this works or not. |
| | | - | |
| 4 | Again. | 4 | And so, actually, the answer on this was |
| 5 | Union Terminal. So this is on the roof | 5 | they're too pastel, that they don't match the |
| 6 | where they've installed, like, an Astroturf on | 6 | colors in the rest of the Riverwalk, so they've |
| 7 | top of a portion of the roof, and it's being | 7 | intensified the color of that. |
| 8 | installed on the rest, as well on the next | 8 | Next. |
| _ | | - | |
| 9 | slide you're going to see the interior finish | 9 | And that's it on the picture side of this. |
| 10 | of some of the retail spaces. Those are | 10 | On the memo piece, I gave you a hard copy |
| 11 | residential units. They're also finishing out | 11 | of it because I know I run through this quickly |
| 12 | their retail spaces and their storefront units. | 12 | and wanted to share special committee on |
| | | 13 | |
| 13 | Artea. This is a swimming pool in the | | downtown, a number of things. |
| 14 | courtyard. So we're now getting to amenities, | 14 | So there was a meeting held on September |
| 15 | as well as completing the interior of | 15 | 9th that was focused on DDRB and, again, on the |
| 16 | individual units. | 16 | Trio and possible DIA process improvements. |
| 17 | You see some of the interior work that's | 17 | The staff was asked to provide a presentation |
| | | | on DDRB. |
| 18 | going on. Meters installed. | 18 | |
| 19 | Southbank bulkhead. | 19 | Thank you to those of you who were able to |
| 20 | Mr. Citrano had asked me earlier about the | 20 | attend that one. |
| 21 | work that's going on at the Related River City | 21 | Another meeting held on September 10th. |
| 22 | site and what was happening on the site. This | 22 | That was focused on scoring of the RFP for |
| 23 | is the Southbank bulkhead project. It is a | 23 | parking operations. Mostly it focused on the |
| | | | |
| 24 | City CIP project that is on track to be | 24 | Procurement process and the disparity in scores |
| 25 | completed in the spring of next year. | 25 | and whether doesn't Procurement flag those, |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | 20 |
| | 34 | | 36 |
| 1 | | 1 | |
| 1 | And this is a replacement or of this | 1 | doesn't something happen when people score |
| 2 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat | 2 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. |
| 2 3 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous | 2 3 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about |
| 2 3 4 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. | 2 3 4 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of |
| 2 3 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. | 2 3 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the |
| 2 3 4 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. | 2 3 4 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of |
| 2 3 4 5 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. | 2 3 4 5 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what that day was about, with the Parks Department | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or some change in the criteria or something so |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what that day was about, with the Parks Department and Public Works, and the various pavement | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or some change in the criteria or something so that everyone tended to see the same question |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what that day was about, with the Parks Department and Public Works, and the various pavement that as you know, this is funded by the CRA, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or some change in the criteria or something so that everyone tended to see the same question in the same way. So we're trying to that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what that day was about, with the Parks Department and Public Works, and the various pavement | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or some change in the criteria or something so that everyone tended to see the same question |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | And this is a replacement or of this entire bulkhead section, both along the boat ramp and along the front where the previous marina was located. Next. RiversEdge. So, as you know, this is the roads are in and construction is now underway on Toll Brothers. We have a picture of the Toll Brothers coming up. There we go. So there's actually two buildings under construction now. This was the first building that was the model. And when I was on site the other day, there were there's a second building that is now under construction. She included a picture of me on site. We walked the boardwalk that is mostly constructed out at the marshfront. It's going to be a really nice amenity for that park and for the system, and it is nearly complete. Next. And the contractor we were there to check Riverwalk pavement colors. That's what that day was about, with the Parks Department and Public Works, and the various pavement that as you know, this is funded by the CRA, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | doesn't something happen when people score differently, et cetera. So it was really a lot of questions about how Procurement works. I think that many of the Council members were not aware just how the City process works. And from a using agency standpoint, we turn in our scores. Those of you who scored know, you turn in your scores to the Procurement office and they give back to me, as agency head, an average of the three scores. But the concept, at that point, is the the average is supposed to normalize highest and lows. Council members were suggesting things, like, oh, maybe we should change the system where we throw out highs and lows, a variety of things. All of those really are changes in the Procurement process, not particularly changes to DIA. But there was a concern over the wide variety of scores and whether there needed to be more education about the scoring process or some change in the criteria or something so that everyone tended to see the same question in the same way. So we're trying to that |

| | 37 | | 39 |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | remains an open issue that they raised. | 1 | we talked about. |
| 2 | Next. | 2 | Other than those two, there is not a |
| 3 | Trio. The latest update on the Trio, I | 3 | pathway to a completion grant that is purely |
| 4 | guess there's two parts of this. One, as you | 4 | just filling an economic gap. And I mentioned |
| | | _ | |
| 5 | may have read in the newspaper, you may have | 5 | in the memo, if you haven't had a chance to |
| 6 | heard that DIA staff had been working with the | 6 | look at it, that the use of the term itself is |
| 7 | administration, with a new investor who had | 7 | problematic because most of our grants are paid |
| 8 | come in to be part of the development team on a | 8 | upon completion. So it becomes confusing. |
| 9 | new proposal for redevelopment of the Trio that | 9 | Our historic grants are paid upon |
| 10 | would have injected additional equity into the | 10 | completion. Our Retail Enhancement grants are |
| 11 | project, et cetera. | 11 | paid upon completion. But what they're really |
| 12 | Then the City dismissed their foreclosure | 12 | referring to and what we're referring to here |
| 13 | of the Code Compliance lien on Monday. And | 13 | is something that isn't in a particular |
| 14 | shortly thereafter, there was a personnel | 14 | program. It's not part of a normal program, |
| 15 | committee of City Council that was noticed, | 15 | but it is an incentive provided to fill an |
| 16 | which was at 3 o'clock yesterday afternoon, in | 16 | economic gap, as we were having the discussion |
| 17 | which they elected to hire outside counsel from | 17 | today on the Related project on the Southbank. |
| 18 | Philadelphia in order to draft the contracts | 18 | So that memo is now out, and we are |
| 19 | and the legislation. So they were going to be | 19 | continuing to work on our project priority list |
| 20 | point as it was presented at that meeting. | 20 | for Hogan and Laura, and our broader focus area |
| 21 | Now, I believe that still has to go | 21 | in City Center with emphasis on residential. |
| 22 | through the full Council. I don't know if the | 22 | And we've created a draft program which we |
| 22 | - | 22 | |
| | personnel committee can do that without Council | 23 24 | are now in the process of discussing with stakeholders. So I look forward to the |
| 24 | action. But, in any event, that was the action | | |
| 25 | that was taken at that meeting. So that's | 25 | opportunity to discusses various elements of it |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 38 | | 40 |
| 4 | really contrary to the conversations that we | 4 | with each of you and get your feedback and |
| 1 | really contrary to the conversations that we | 1 | with each of you and get your feedback and |
| 2 | had been having and is a different course, | 2 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as |
| 2 3 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. | 2 3 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, |
| 2 3 4 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a | 2 3 4 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get |
| 2 3 4 5 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested | 2 3 4 5 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of | 2 3 4 5 6 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18 19 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was intended to be a high bar so that not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was intended to be a high bar so that not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving forward with the design because one of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was intended to be a high bar so that not everything qualified for a completion grant. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving forward with the design because one of the issues that Councilman Arias in particular was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was intended to be a high bar so that not everything qualified for a completion grant. In addition, you can get there from the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving forward with the design because one of the issues that Councilman Arias in particular was referencing is the fact that we have no idea |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | had been having and is a different course, another way to get there. In addition, we sent out today a completion grant memo that had been requested by the special committee. I would ask all of you to look at it. It's an opportunity most of you have now been here for a while, but it's still and we have two new board members as well. It's an opportunity for you to kind of understand the nuances of when we can and cannot approve and recommend those under the documents that exist today. So what you're going to see in our discussion of the focus area is a new criteria for a new type of completion grant in the focus area. Right now, completion grants are authorized by what we call the "tiers analysis" in our plan, which is highly complicated. You can get there, but it's a high bar, and it was intended to be a high bar so that not everything qualified for a completion grant. In addition, you can get there from the perspective of our high-rise methodology that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24 | ideas so that we can really you, as well as the development community and others, you know, give us some ideas here so that we can get something to the special committee that is responsive to their request. But we do have a good draft going. Budget. The final budget vote on the City budget and the CIP is next Tuesday evening. Actually, it starts in the afternoon. That Council meeting starts at 3:00. The CRA budget for the Northbank and Southbank were amended to put below the line meaning it requires further Council action the construction funding for the restaurant at St. Johns River Park and for the restaurant at Riverfront Plaza. The design is still progressing on the riverfront the St. John's River Park restaurant that was previously funded, previously contracted. We are still moving forward with the design because one of the issues that Councilman Arias in particular was referencing is the fact that we have no idea what the construction cost is. |

City of Jacksonville Downtown Investment Authority

10 of 22 sheets

September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

| | 41 | | 43 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Well, you need to design it and get a | 1 | (Mr. Sherwill approaches the podium.) |
| 2 | budget before we can do that, so it doesn't | 2 | MR. SHERWILL: Thanks, Lori. |
| 3 | make any sense to go back and ask for the | 3 | I'm glad to be here for the City of |
| 4 | construction money in that case until we do | 4 | Jacksonville. I come with over 20 years of |
| | • | | |
| 5 | have a real budget and we know what we're | 5 | parking experience in both the public and |
| 6 | talking about. | 6 | private sectors. Very big shoes to fill with |
| 7 | We also have some further conversations to | 7 | Bob, though. |
| 8 | have as to whether they want something else | 8 | MS. BOYER: Welcome. We're really glad to |
| 9 | done altogether. But since we were already | 9 | have you. |
| 10 | under contract for that, it makes sense to | 10 | MR. SHERWILL: Thanks again. |
| 11 | continue to progress that design. | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks for being here. |
| 12 | We are not doing anything on the | 12 | MS. BOYER: Professional Services |
| 13 | Riverfront Plaza restaurant at this time, | 13 | contracts. The projection tower is now in |
| 14 | pending further conversations with the board | 14 | design with |
| 15 | and with the Council. | 15 | The community engagement that was |
| | | | scheduled for Riverfront Plaza restaurant was |
| 16 | The Special Finance Committee held a | 16 | |
| 17 | meeting on September 4th to address the CIP | 17 | delayed pending further discussion about the |
| 18 | budgets for riverfront parks in general. A lot | 18 | budget issue on that. |
| 19 | of talk about, they're too expensive, and we've | 19 | The new RFQ selecting multiple qualified |
| 20 | devoted a lot of land to parks. | 20 | firms is still pending with Procurement. Our |
| 21 | In that particular group, there was no | 21 | Procurement director has been deployed, and I |
| 22 | appetite to add more parkland. There was some | 22 | think he has just returned, or is returning |
| 23 | criticism about the amount of parkland we had | 23 | soon. So we're hoping when he gets back, we'll |
| 24 | provided and how it now wasn't providing | 24 | be able to shake that loose because we have |
| 25 | economic value. | 25 | about eight or nine projects that have been |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | | | |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 42 | | 44 |
| 1 | 42 The end result was that at that | 1 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is |
| 2 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the | 2 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that |
| 2 3 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and | 2 3 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. |
| 2 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 | 2 3 4 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We |
| 2 3 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks | 2 3 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and |
| 2 3 4 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 | 2 3 4 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We |
| 2 3 4 5 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks | 2 3 4 5 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate | 2 3 4 5 6 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I mentioned, Bob Carle is retiring Friday, and we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey information in and you discover various |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I mentioned, Bob Carle is retiring Friday, and we asked our new Public Parking officer, Peter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey information in and you discover various underground utilities, whether it's a Comcast line or something else that has to be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I mentioned, Bob Carle is retiring Friday, and we asked our new Public Parking officer, Peter Sherwill, to come to this meeting so that we could introduce him. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey information in and you discover various underground utilities, whether it's a Comcast line or something else that has to be relocated, that delays construction for months, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 42 The end result was that at that meeting, the committee voted to reduce the budget for Shipyards West by \$10 million and the budget for Metropolitan Park by \$10 million. Both of those were cuts that Parks had suggested that they could accommodate within the scope of still providing the projects. That left the budget intact for Riverfront Plaza. I will tell you that at this week's Finance Committee, former Council President Freeman made the suggestion that he would like to offer an amendment to go back and reduce the riverfront parks more, to provide some additional funding to JSO for homeless prevention or services. So not out of the woods. Still a topic of conversation. The Office of Public Parking. As I mentioned, Bob Carle is retiring Friday, and we asked our new Public Parking officer, Peter Sherwill, to come to this meeting so that we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 44 waiting on that RFP in Procurement. So that is a challenge for us. We need to get that happening. Okay. Capital projects update. We already talked about the two-way on Forsyth and Adams. That's still on schedule for Phase 1 completion, first quarter '25. However, the Phase 2 part of the project with Baker Design Build is still in its survey phase and behind schedule. I would say, at this month at this point, they are several months behind schedule from where we anticipated. They are saying that they believe they can have 100 percent construction drawings by March or April of '25. I think that's highly unlikely, and our concern is, since this effort involves moving curbs Phase 2 involves moving curbs. So once you start getting that survey information in and you discover various underground utilities, whether it's a Comcast line or something else that has to be |

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203

September 18, 2024

City of Jacksonville

Downtown Investment Authority

| | | 1 | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 45 | | 47 |
| 1 | So I talked to the director of Public | 1 | were ready to bid it. So, hopefully, we can |
| 2 | Works this morning, and we are going to | 2 | get this resolved in the next, you know, couple |
| 3 | schedule a meeting with them to try to sit down | 3 | of weeks and be ready to bid. I don't think it |
| 4 | and decide, you know, what's the approach here, | 4 | should be a big change, but we're waiting to |
| | | | |
| 5 | what are we going to do, because we are | 5 | see. |
| 6 | concerned about the delivery time frame on that | 6 | Riverfront Plaza. We showed you what was |
| 7 | one. | 7 | happening. |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: What's the source of the | 8 | By the way, I'm going to keep emphasizing |
| 9 | delays given how significant it is? Do we | 9 | that no portion of Riverfront Plaza |
| 10 | know? | 10 | construction is delayed due to the private |
| - | | - | , , , |
| 11 | MS. BOYER: I'll defer to Mr. Parola. | 11 | development pad. |
| 12 | MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, the | 12 | RiversEdge. UCC is on site, as you saw. |
| 13 | discussion has been their survey sub has not | 13 | They're starting the pavement for the |
| 14 | been delivering what they need. But at the end | 14 | Riverwalk, et cetera. They're working on |
| 15 | of the day, if you're the project manager | 15 | Marshfront Park right now, but I am hearing |
| | | | |
| 16 | for our contract is with you, you sort of | 16 | rumors that there are, quote, significant |
| 17 | have to own up to it. | 17 | delays on some elements. |
| 18 | MS. BOYER: The Hogan Street design, we're | 18 | The entire park system was supposed to |
| 19 | having ongoing meetings with the design team. | 19 | deliver, December of this year. I believe |
| 20 | Public Works. Again, we have a that's | 20 | there are going to be some parts of it that |
| 21 | an example where we have a utility relocate | 21 | will deliver by December, or maybe by January, |
| | | | |
| 22 | that has delayed the first block some. | 22 | but not long thereafter. But we do have a |
| 23 | We have also learned that there are a | 23 | meeting scheduled with Pete Sheridan of VIA, |
| 24 | couple of private landowner easements that we | 24 | who is our project manager hired by the CRA and |
| 25 | need in the first block. There was some talk | 25 | the City to oversee that construction. So |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | | | |
| | 46 | | 18 |
| | 46 | | 48 Manday marning wall have more details on how |
| 1 | about maybe starting on the second block rather | 1 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how |
| 1 2 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those | 2 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the |
| | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully | | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told |
| 2 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those | 2 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the |
| 2 3 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully | 2 3 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told |
| 2 3 4 5 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am | 2 3 4 5 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even | 2 3 4 5 6 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together on-site with Public Works and Parks to nail | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I just walked by and they're already out there |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I just walked by and they're already out there working. That was quick. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together on-site with Public Works and Parks to nail | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I just walked by and they're already out there |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together on-site with Public Works and Parks to nail down the concerns that Public Works has raised over the design that was already completed. We | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I just walked by and they're already out there working. That was quick. MS. BOYER: Some things we can get moving, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | about maybe starting on the second block rather than the first block while we got those easements, but apparently they haven't fully finished the design on the second block. So another circumstance in which I am concerned about the state of progress, even though we are being told that we're making progress. McCoys. McCoys is on schedule and is happening. We showed pictures of that. So is Park Street, by the way. I'm going to skip one. Acosta Bridge parking. This is the one where we have funded the reconstruction of the under-bridge parking under Acosta to accommodate boats and trailers because when Related starts construction of the we lose the boat trailer parking at the boat ramp, so we want to make sure that the trailer parking is replaced and available prior to the time Related starts construction. So this one, we have to get together on-site with Public Works and Parks to nail down the concerns that Public Works has raised | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Monday morning we'll have more details on how significant these other delays are and what the next steps are going to be on that. I'm told some of it has to do with Water Management District permitting. Southbank bulkhead. You saw that. We're on track for April '25 completion. Snyder Memorial Church. I don't know that we've had a chance to talk to the board about this, but Mr. Parola has been working with the administration on some I think we did have a budget item. So temporary activation, just putting it in a condition that makes it safe for people to be able to access it for Art Walk and other events. And their contractor is under construction right now doing that work, and we're hoping and planning that it's going to be ready for the 1st of October and ready for Art Walk. So thanks to Mr. Parola for keeping his eye on that one. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, that's impressive. I just walked by and they're already out there working. That was quick. |

City of Jacksonville Downtown Investment Authority September 18, 2024 Uncertified Condensed Copy

| Down | town Investment Authority | | Uncertified Condensed Copy |
|--|--|--|---|
| | 49 | | 51 |
| 1 | right? | 1 | Thank you. |
| 2 | Development updates. Gateway, all | 2 | Mr. Parola has a meeting scheduled on |
| 3 | contracts have been executed. So this was one | 3 | Friday with the Parks Department and WJCT. |
| 4 | that we were kind of trying to fast-track. | 4 | This is one where the Met Park designs |
| 5 | Thank you, Mr. Sawyer, for your help on | 5 | interface with WJCT parking. And we have the |
| 6 | that. | 6 | new road coming in that serves the fire station |
| 7 | And the groundbreaking is now scheduled | 7 | and the AR Polar property, and so we're trying |
| 8 | for October. And more details to come on the | 8 | to make WJCT whole on their parking. |
| 9 | groundbreaking, but they already had their DDRB | 9 | So it all has to be kind of multi-party |
| 10 | approvals and already had permits in before | 10 | coordinated, but that's scheduled now for this |
| 11 | they went to Council, so we are really, you | 11 | Friday. |
| 12 | know, moving the clock forward on this one, so | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori. |
| | that's great. | 12 | • |
| 13 | 5 | | Lots going on. |
| 14 | Related. We have legislation pending and | 14 | Any questions of Lori on her report, |
| 15 | in Council committees. The first week of | 15 | whether it's the words or the pretty pictures? |
| 16 | October that will be in Council committees. | 16 | BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) |
| 17 | RISE Doro has been approved and is | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. |
| 18 | awaiting document execution. I just saw an | 18 | The only thing I have is to remind |
| 19 | email today that the execution copies were | 19 | everyone that next Friday the Governance |
| 20 | forwarded to us, so it's now ready for | 20 | Committee is meeting to discuss the leadership |
| 21 | circulation. | 21 | transition. Even if you're not on the |
| 22 | MOSH. You approved it. We're waiting for | 22 | committee, I would encourage you to attend even |
| 23 | the amended RDA and exhibits. | 23 | if that's remotely this is something we're |
| 24 | Jones Brothers historic. You passed that | 24 | going to be working on for the better part of a |
| 25 | a long time ago. It's been approved, signed. | 25 | year, so it would be great to have everybody's |
| | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 | | Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 |
| | (904) 821-0300 | | (904) 821-0300 |
| | 50 | | 52 |
| | | | 52 |
| 1 | The commencement of construction, by the | 1 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead |
| 1 2 | The commencement of construction, by the agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd | 1 2 | |
| | | | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead |
| 2 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd | 2 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. |
| 2 3 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. | 2 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. |
| 2 3 4 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a | 2 3 4 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the |
| 2 3 4 5 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to | 2 3 4 5 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have | 2 3 4 5 6 | <pre>input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)</pre> |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | <pre>input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned.</pre> |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing conversations with the University of Florida | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing conversations with the University of Florida regarding their downtown campus. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing conversations with the University of Florida regarding their downtown campus. THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know if you meant | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing conversations with the University of Florida regarding their downtown campus. THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know if you meant to or not, but you skipped WJCT. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | agreement, is October 3rd no, November 3rd for that one. Per the RDA, we are expecting to have a conversation with the developer next week to find out where we are on that because we have not had a lot of communication from them in the recent weeks about that commencement. Greenleaf building. Commencement of construction date in their contract is October 4th. So that one is really coming up on us. So we're also pursuing that one. We received word today that it commenced. Did I hear that? Because I told Steve to follow up on it, so there you go. Sometimes when we rattle those cages, we get answers. Disposition schedule and criteria, depending on board direction and response on the due diligence; we talked about that. And we are fairly involved in ongoing conversations with the University of Florida regarding their downtown campus. THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know if you meant | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | input. I appreciate Ms. Fetner taking the lead on that. I don't have anything else. Does anybody else have anything for the good of the order? BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thanks for being here. (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned) |

(904) 821-0300

10/06/2024 11:36:58 AM

(904) 821-0300

| 53 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | |
|---|--|
| | |
| STATE OF FLORIDA) | |
| COUNTY OF DUVAL) | |
| I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. | |
| DATED this 3rd day of October 2024. | |
| | |
| Diane M. Tropia | |
| Florida Professional Reporter | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, El. 3220 | R |
| • • • • | 0 |
| | STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Diane M. Tropia, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 3rd day of October 2024. Diane M. Tropia |

| ^ | 10:12 | afternoon [2] - 37:16, | area [12] - 11:16, | below [1] - 40:13 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| \$ | 10.12 | 40:10 | 11:24, 15:4, 22:25, | benefits [2] - 15:10, |
| \$10 [2] - 42:3, 42:4 | 8 | agency [2] - 36:6, | 23:1, 24:25, 25:4, | 15:11 |
| \$100,000 [1] - 11:20 | 0 | 36:10 | 25:7, 26:11, 38:15, | best [10] - 14:9, 14:20, |
| \$250,000 [2] - 8:10, | 800 [1] - 8:11 | ago [2] - 32:4, 49:25 | 38:17, 39:20 | 16:19, 18:4, 21:7, |
| 11:24 | | agree [2] - 16:9, 24:15 | areas [1] - 32:23 | 21:13, 21:16, 24:16, |
| \$700,000 [1] - 8:3 | 9 | agreement [1] - 50:2 | Arias [1] - 40:23 | 26:4, 26:6 |
| \$950,000 [1] - 8:12 | 0 (h | ahead [2] - 19:18, | Art [2] - 48:14, 48:19 | better [2] - 31:19, |
| | 9th [1] - 35:15 | 25:18 | Artea [1] - 33:13 | 51:24 |
| | А | ALLAN [1] - 1:19 | as-builts [1] - 8:25 | between [2] - 8:11, |
| 105 m 44.7 44.45 | A | allocation [1] - 28:6 | ascertain [1] - 14:8 | 32:13 |
| '25 [3] - 44:7, 44:15, 48:7 | ability [1] - 28:17 | allocations [1] - 28:9 | Assistant [1] - 1:20 | bid [3] - 12:3, 47:1, 47:3 |
| 40.7 | able [4] - 27:1, 35:19, | allow [1] - 2:23 ALSO [1] - 1:16 | associated [1] - 10:3 Astroturf [1] - 33:6 | bifurcate [1] - 26:23 |
| 1 | 43:24, 48:14 | altogether [1] - 41:9 | AT&T [1] - 10:14 | big [2] - 43:6, 47:4 |
| • | absolutely [1] - 6:11 | amended [2] - 40:13, | attached [1] - 11:5 | bigger [1] - 27:19 |
| 1 [1] - 44:6 | absorb [1] - 18:25 | 49:23 | Attachment [4] - 7:18, | bit [3] - 5:11, 16:5, |
| 100 [3] - 8:10, 9:22, | accelerating [1] - | amendment [1] - | 10:7, 11:4, 11:8 | 25:18 |
| 44:14 | 24:14 | 42:13 | attend [2] - 35:20, | blessing [1] - 12:11 |
| 10th [1] - 35:21 | access [1] - 48:14 | amenities [1] - 33:14 | 51:22 | block [5] - 45:22, |
| 11-by-17 [1] - 11:5 | accommodate [4] - | amenity [1] - 34:18 | attest [1] - 13:13 | 45:25, 46:1, 46:2, |
| 120 [1] - 9:22 | 18:8, 18:12, 42:6, 46:16 | amenity/tenant [1] - | August [2] - 2:5, 7:21 | 46:4 |
| 17-year-old [1] - 5:9 | accomplished [1] - | 32:16 | AUTHORITY [1] - 1:2 | blocks [1] - 25:6 |
| 18 [2] - 1:6, 2:1 1989 [1] - 3:23 | 13:2 | amount [3] - 30:18, | Authority [6] - 2:4, | BOARD [34] - 1:3, |
| 1969 [1] - 3.23 1st [1] - 48:19 | accounts [1] - 10:25 | 32:12, 41:23 | 3:16, 3:20, 4:12, | 1:12, 2:7, 2:8, 2:11, |
| ISC [1] - 40.18 | accumulating [1] - | analysis [6] - 7:24, | 4:15, 4:16 | 2:13, 2:15, 5:1, 5:2, 5:6, 5:15, 5:17, 5:19, |
| 2 | 28:20 | 10:24, 11:1, 11:9, 13:4, 38:19 | authorized [2] - 38:19, 53:8 | 5:22, 5:23, 6:13, |
| <u> </u> | achieve [1] - 15:5 | analyzing [1] - 22:14 | 55.6 AVA [1] - 1:20 | 6:21, 7:6, 16:17, |
| 2 [2] - 44:8, 44:18 | achieving [2] - 14:12, | angle [1] - 31:17 | available [1] - 46:20 | 17:19, 19:3, 19:14, |
| 20 [1] - 43:4 | 15:12 | Annex [1] - 11:13 | average [2] - 36:10, | 20:8, 20:16, 22:1, |
| 2015 [1] - 4:7 | Acosta [2] - 46:13, | answer [3] - 12:13, | 36:13 | 22:10, 24:4, 24:17, |
| 2020 [1] - 9:15 | 46:15 | 20:3, 35:4 | avoid [1] - 17:16 | 24:19, 27:14, 27:23, |
| 2024 [4] - 1:7, 2:1, 2:5, | action [4] - 26:22, 37:24, 40:14 | answered [1] - 27:24 | awaiting [1] - 49:18 | 29:2, 51:16, 52:6 |
| 53:15 | activation [1] - 48:12 | answers [3] - 16:8, | aware [1] - 36:5 | board [19] - 2:6, 2:25, |
| 2024-09-04 [1] - 2:17 2024-09-06 [1] - 7:8 | Adams [2] - 31:12, | 25:2, 50:16 | aye [4] - 2:12, 2:13, | 3:2, 4:22, 5:5, 11:14, 12:8, 12:11, 14:2, |
| 2024-09-08 [1] - 7.8 21st [1] - 2:5 | 44:6 | anticipate [1] - 11:24 | 6:12, 6:13 | 12.8, 12.11, 14.2, 16:16, 23:16, 27:4, |
| 26th [1] - 7:20 | add [4] - 6:2, 8:9, | anticipated [1] - 44:13 | | 28:17, 32:13, 38:9, |
| | 10:22, 41:22 | anyway [1] - 17:7 | В | 41:14, 48:9, 50:18 |
| 3 | adding [2] - 8:6, 17:17 | appear [1] - 30:16 appetite [1] - 41:22 | backfill [1] - 8:21 | Board [6] - 1:13, 1:14, |
| | addition [2] - 38:4, | appraisal [3] - 15:20, | Baker [1] - 44:8 | 1:14, 1:15, 1:15, |
| 3 [1] - 37:16 | 38:24 | 15:21, 21:7 | bank [3] - 9:19, 10:14, | 25:15 |
| 3.2 [1] - 9:17 | additional [3] - 17:16, | appraiser [1] - 14:12 | 10:19 | board's [2] - 12:16, |
| 30 [1] - 31:5 | 37:10, 42:15 address [1] - 41:17 | appreciate [3] - 6:10, | bar [2] - 38:21, 38:22 | 15:23 |
| 303 [1] - 1:8 35 [1] - 4:9 | adjacency [1] - 23:25 | 6:15, 52:1 | base [1] - 20:25 | boardwalk [1] - 34:16 |
| 35 [1] - 4:9 3:00 [1] - 40:11 | adjacent [2] - 15:11, | appreciation [3] - | based [2] - 9:24, 21:11 | boat [3] - 34:2, 46:18 boats [1] - 46:16 |
| 3:10 [1] - 40.11 3:10 [2] - 1:7, 2:1 | 30:2 | 2:18, 3:16, 4:17 | Bay [9] - 11:11, 19:17, | Bob [4] - 2:18, 3:17, |
| 3rd [3] - 50:2, 53:15 | adjourned [2] - 52:7, | approach [3] - 13:18, | 19:25, 21:17, 22:7, | 42:20, 43:7 |
| | 52:9 | 27:16, 45:4 | 23:16, 23:17, 27:10, 27:19 | body [1] - 17:1 |
| 4 | administration [2] - | approaches [1] - 43:1 | became [1] - 4:8 | bottom [1] - 30:24 |
| | 37:7, 48:11 | approval [1] - 2:6 approvals [1] - 49:10 | become [1] - 4:20 | BOYER [21] - 1:17, |
| 4:12 [1] - 52:10 | Administrative [1] - | approvals [1] - 49.10 approve [3] - 2:7, 5:1, | becomes [1] - 39:8 | 2:21, 3:8, 3:13, 6:1, |
| 4th [2] - 41:17, 50:11 | 1:20 | 38:12 | began [1] - 3:22 | 12:15, 17:9, 18:10, |
| | administrative [1] - | approved [4] - 2:16, | begin [1] - 31:14 | 19:8, 20:18, 22:9, |
| 5 | 28:7 | 49:17, 49:22, 49:25 | begins [1] - 29:15 | 22:11, 25:15, 28:4, |
| 50 [1] - 11:20 | aerial [2] - 31:16, 31:18 | April [2] - 44:15, 48:7 | begun [1] - 32:9 | 29:11, 43:8, 43:12, |
| 54-inch [3] - 9:6, 9:20, | afield [1] - 22:14 | AR [1] - 51:7 | behind [2] - 44:9, | 45:11, 45:18, 48:25, 50:25 |
| | ancia [i] - 22.14 | | 44:12 | 50:25 |
| - | - 1 | • | ■ | |

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203• (904) 821-0300

| Bover (a) 2:20 4:24 | Contor (4) 20:21 | 20:19, 25:16, 33:20 | completing (4) 22:15 | 50:21 |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Boyer [3] - 2:20, 4:24, 16:1 | Center [1] - 39:21 | City [17] - 3:18, 3:23, | completing [1] - 33:15 | |
| Bridge [5] - 9:13, | central [1] - 16:6 | 4:10, 6:6, 11:13, | completion [11] - | conversions [2] - 31:8, 31:9 |
| • • • • | CEO [4] - 5:7, 6:4, | 22:16, 25:8, 33:21, | 32:10, 38:5, 38:16, 38:18, 38:23, 39:3, | coordinated [1] - |
| 10:18, 18:8, 19:4, 46:13 | 25:18, 29:8 certain [4] - 14:3, | 33:24, 36:6, 37:12, | 39:8, 39:10, 39:11, | 51:10 |
| | ., | 37:15, 39:21, 40:8, | 44:7, 48:7 | |
| bridge [1] - 46:15 | 25:4, 26:11, 28:6 | 43:3, 47:25 | | copies [2] - 20:17, 49:19 |
| brilliant [1] - 20:15 bring [1] - 15:22 | certainly [6] - 2:24, 23:2, 25:16, 26:24, | CITY [1] - 1:1 | Compliance [1] - 37:13 | copy [1] - 35:10 |
| bring [1] - 15.22 broader [1] - 39:20 | 28:24, 32:10 | City's [1] - 4:8 | complicated [1] - | core [5] - 24:6, 24:24, |
| Brothers [3] - 34:8, | CERTIFICATE [1] - | City-owned [3] - | 38:20 | 25:1, 25:21, 27:1 |
| 34:9, 49:24 | 53:1 | 22:16, 25:8 | Complies [1] - 3:7 | correct [2] - 17:8, 19:8 |
| brought [1] - 12:10 | certify [1] - 53:8 | clarification [2] - 14:1, | concept [3] - 14:15, | corridor [1] - 27:20 |
| bucket [1] - 28:22 | cetera [5] - 8:17, | 15:16 | 26:1, 36:12 | cost [8] - 8:3, 13:24, |
| budget [13] - 13:5, | 12:12, 36:2, 37:11, | clear [1] - 14:23 | concern [2] - 36:20, | 15:25, 17:2, 17:14, |
| 28:8, 40:8, 40:9, | 47:14 | clock [1] - 49:12 | 44:16 | 18:6, 18:25, 40:25 |
| 40:12, 41:2, 41:5, | Chair [17] - 1:13, 3:10, | close [1] - 23:22 | concerned [2] - 45:6, | costs [8] - 7:10, 8:2, |
| 42:3, 42:4, 42:9, | 6:21, 6:22, 7:14, | closer [1] - 22:6 | 46:6 | 8:7, 9:15, 10:3, 12:4, |
| 43:18, 48:12 | 16:17, 17:9, 18:10, | Code [1] - 37:13 | concerns [1] - 46:24 | 17:16, 23:7 |
| budgeted [1] - 18:20 | 20:2, 20:11, 20:18, | collectively [1] - 21:21 | conclusion [1] - 16:6 | Council [14] - 25:20, |
| budgeting [1] - 18:24 | 22:9, 24:17, 25:15, | color [1] - 35:7 | condition [1] - 48:13 | 25:23, 26:9, 36:5, |
| budgets [1] - 41:18 | 27:3, 28:4, 45:12 | colors [2] - 34:22, | condo [1] - 17:23 | 37:15, 37:22, 37:23, |
| Build [1] - 44:9 | chair [1] - 4:21 | 35:6 | confusing [1] - 39:8 | 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, |
| building [12] - 17:12, | chairman [1] - 12:17 | Comcast [1] - 44:21 | congratulations [1] - | 42:11, 49:11, 49:15, |
| 17:23, 18:9, 18:12, | CHAIRMAN [27] - 2:3, | comfortable [1] - | 5:8 | 49:16 |
| 20:3, 22:20, 29:19, | 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:16, | 19:22 | consensus [1] - 24:23 | council [1] - 36:14 |
| 31:16, 31:20, 34:11, | 4:24, 5:3, 5:7, 6:11, | coming [5] - 31:22, | consider [2] - 23:16, | Councilman [1] - |
| 34:14, 50:9 | 6:14, 6:17, 7:4, 7:7, | 32:21, 34:9, 50:11, | 24:11 | 40:23 |
| buildings [2] - 30:23, | 16:1, 19:1, 23:9, | 51:6 | considerable [1] - | Counsel [1] - 1:19 |
| 34:10 | 24:18, 27:7, 27:22, | commenced [1] - | 32:12 | counsel [1] - 37:17 |
| built [1] - 20:3 | 29:6, 43:11, 45:8, | 50:13 | consideration [1] - | COUNTY [1] - 53:4 |
| builts [1] - 8:25 | 48:22, 50:23, 51:12, | commencement [3] - | 26:25 | couple [3] - 42:25, |
| bulkhead [9] - 9:8, | 51:17, 52:7 | 50:1, 50:8, 50:9 | constructed [1] - | 45:24, 47:2 |
| 9:9, 9:22, 10:13, | Chairman [2] - 2:21, | commencing [1] - 1:7 | 34:17 | course [2] - 8:14, 38:2 |
| 10:15, 33:19, 33:23, | 6:1 | comments [3] - 2:10, | construction [19] - | courthouse [4] - |
| 34:2, 48:6 | challenge [1] - 44:2 | 5:5, 16:16 | 28:19, 29:12, 30:23, | 11:12, 14:10, 21:17, |
| Business [1] - 7:9 | chance [3] - 32:18, | Committee [4] - 27:3, | 31:2, 34:7, 34:11, | 25:10 |
| buys [1] - 13:22 | 39:5, 48:9 | 41:16, 42:11, 51:20 | 34:14, 40:15, 40:25, | courtyard [1] - 33:14 |
| | change [3] - 36:15, | committee [15] - 16:4, | 41:4, 44:15, 44:23, | cover [2] - 28:10, 30:6 |
| С | 36:23, 47:4 | 16:15, 23:4, 23:11, | 46:17, 46:21, 47:10, | covered [1] - 30:15 |
| | changes [2] - 36:18, | 24:21, 24:22, 26:18, | 47:25, 48:16, 50:1, | Cowford [1] - 22:20 |
| cages [1] - 50:16 | 36:19 | 26:22, 35:12, 37:15, | 50:10 | CRA [5] - 15:2, 28:7, |
| campus [1] - 50:22 | channel [1] - 30:4 | 37:23, 38:6, 40:5, | consultant [1] - 15:17 | 34:25, 40:12, 47:24 |
| cannot [2] - 19:25, | check [1] - 34:22 | 42:2, 51:22 | context [1] - 15:14 | create [2] - 23:2, 23:7 |
| 38:12 | chief [1] - 4:5 | committees [2] - | contiguous [1] - 22:25 | created [1] - 39:22 |
| capital [1] - 44:4 | Chief [1] - 1:17 | 49:15, 49:16 | continue [1] - 41:11 | Creek [2] - 29:25 |
| career [1] - 3:22 | choose [1] - 8:8 | Commons [2] - 30:21, | continued [1] - 30:21 | creek [1] - 30:3 |
| Carle [12] - 2:18, 3:1, | Chophouse [1] - | 30:22 | continuing [2] - 29:18, | criteria [3] - 36:23, |
| 3:17, 3:22, 4:1, 4:5, | 22:20 | communicating [1] - | 39:19 | 38:15, 50:17 |
| 4:8, 4:9, 4:17, 5:12, | chosen [1] - 8:8 | 15:8 | contract [3] - 41:10, | criticism [1] - 41:23 |
| 6:14, 42:20 | Church [1] - 48:8 | communication [1] - | 45:16, 50:10 | Cross [1] - 13:11 |
| CARLE [4] - 3:7, 5:21, | CIP [3] - 33:24, 40:9, | 50:7 | contracted [1] - 40:21 | curbs [2] - 44:17, |
| 5:25, 6:16 | 41:17 | community [2] - 40:3, | contractor [3] - 34:21, | 44:18 |
| Carle's [1] - 4:23 | circulation [1] - 49:21 | 43:15 | 35:2, 48:16 | cursory [1] - 10:8 |
| Carter [2] - 20:16, 20:20 | circumstance [1] - | compatible [1] - 23:24 | contracts [3] - 37:18, | cuts [1] - 42:5 |
| | 46:5 | complaints [1] - 6:7 | 43:13, 49:3 | |
| case [2] - 15:2, 41:4 Cathedral [2] - 32:2, | CITRANO [8] - 1:14, 5:1 5:15 16:17 | complete [3] - 11:21, 34:10, 53:10 | contrary [1] - 38:1 | D |
| 32:3 | 5:1, 5:15, 16:17, 17:19, 20:16, 24:17, | 34:19, 53:10 | conversation [2] - | data [1] - 26:25 |
| caution [1] - 23:12 | 24:19 | completed [3] - 32:24, 33:25, 46:25 | 42:18, 50:5 conversations [4] - | data [1] - 20.25 date [3] - 3:21, 4:21, |
| caveat [1] - 17:10 | Citrano [4] - 18:11, | completely [1] - 24:15 | | 50:10 |
| ourout[1] - 17.10 | Under C [4] = 10.11, | completely [1] - 24.15 | 38:1, 41:7, 41:14, | 30.10 |

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

| DATED [1] - 53:15 | developer [2] - 13:12, | done [8] - 6:10, 16:24, | emphasizing [1] - | F |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| days [1] - 31:5 | 50:5 | 20:4, 20:21, 20:23, | 47:8 | |
| DDRB [4] - 32:13, | Development [1] - | 20:24, 26:8, 41:9 | encourage [2] - 22:12, | facilitate [2] - 18:14, |
| 35:15, 35:18, 49:9 | 1:18 | Doro [1] - 49:17 | 51:22 | 18:23 |
| deal [2] - 12:9, 17:3 | development [8] - | DOT [1] - 18:18 | end [3] - 24:9, 42:1, | fact [1] - 40:24 |
| decades [1] - 4:18 | 11:10, 11:23, 18:4, | down [4] - 20:4, 29:17, | 45:14 | failure [1] - 11:6 |
| December [2] - 47:19, | 18:23, 37:8, 40:3, | 45:3, 46:24 | engagement [1] - | failure) [1] - 25:13 |
| 47:21 | 47:11, 49:2 | Downtown [8] - 2:3, | 43:15 | fairly [1] - 50:20 |
| decide [1] - 45:4 | devoted [1] - 41:20 | 3:15, 3:19, 3:20, | engineering [3] - 8:22, | faithful [1] - 4:18 |
| deciding [1] - 21:2 | DIA [9] - 1:17, 1:18, | 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 | 9:14, 10:3 | faithfully [1] - 4:10 |
| decision [3] - 12:18, | 1:18, 1:19, 4:22, | DOWNTOWN [1] - 1:2 | engineers [1] - 30:11 | fan [1] - 27:19 |
| 12:22, 17:2 | 7:20, 35:16, 36:19, | downtown [5] - 21:12, | Enhancement [1] - | far [1] - 22:13 |
| decisions [1] - 35:3 | 37:6 | 24:21, 25:13, 35:13, | 39:10 | Fargo [1] - 22:20 |
| dedication [1] - 3:18 | Diane [3] - 1:9, 53:7, 53:18 | 50:22 | enjoy [1] - 5:23 | fast [1] - 49:4 |
| defer [4] - 6:22, 7:7, | diet [1] - 30:10 | draft [3] - 37:18, 39:22, 40:7 | enjoying [1] - 6:24 | fast-track [1] - 49:4 |
| 12:17, 45:11 | different [5] - 15:17, | drawings [1] - 44:15 | entertain [1] - 4:25 entire [4] - 25:7, | faster [1] - 13:3 |
| define [1] - 11:16 | 15:18, 21:23, 25:1, | drawings [1] - 44.15 drone [1] - 31:17 | , | favor [5] - 2:12, 6:12, |
| definitely [1] - 20:20 | 38:2 | duct [2] - 9:18, 10:14 | 26:21, 34:2, 47:18 environmental [1] - | 17:6, 27:15, 29:3 |
| definition [3] - 14:14, 16:20 | differently [1] - 36:2 | due [8] - 7:22, 8:2, | 8:17 | feasibility [1] - 10:2 |
| definition-wise [1] - | dig [1] - 9:2 | 8:13, 12:2, 12:25, | equity [1] - 37:10 | feasible [2] - 14:18, |
| 14:14 | digest [1] - 10:9 | 19:24, 47:10, 50:19 | equity [1] - 37:10 especially [1] - 24:20 | 17:4 feedback [1] - 40:1 |
| definitions [2] - 14:6, | digging [1] - 9:25 | dug [1] - 30:3 | essentially [1] - 8:20 | feet [1] - 9:22 |
| 25:21 | diligence [7] - 7:22, | during [1] - 9:7 | estate [1] - 14:15 | Fetner [3] - 6:20, |
| delay [1] - 13:24 | 8:2, 8:13, 12:2, | DUVAL [1] - 53:4 | estimate [1] - 11:19 | 13:13, 52:1 |
| delayed [4] - 28:19, | 12:25, 19:24, 50:19 | | estimated [1] - 8:3 | FETNER [9] - 1:13, |
| 43:17, 45:22, 47:10 | direction [4] - 14:2, | Е | estimates [2] - 9:16, | 2:7, 5:19, 6:21, 19:3, |
| delays [6] - 31:3, 31:5, | 23:5, 27:18, 50:18 | | 15:24 | 19:14, 20:8, 22:1, |
| 44:23, 45:9, 47:17, | directly [1] - 22:19 | easement [2] - 10:16, | et [5] - 8:17, 12:12, | 22:10 |
| 48:2 | director [2] - 43:21, | 44:25 | 36:2, 37:11, 47:14 | few [1] - 29:13 |
| deleted [1] - 18:17 | 45:1 | easements [3] - 8:16, | Ev'ry [1] - 30:25 | figured [2] - 19:7, |
| deliver [2] - 47:19, | Director [1] - 1:18 | 45:24, 46:3 | evening [1] - 40:9 | 19:20 |
| 47:21 | discourage [1] - 13:19 | East [3] - 7:16, 14:9, | event [1] - 37:24 | fill [2] - 39:15, 43:6 |
| deliverable [1] - 20:20 | discover [3] - 8:16, | 16:25 | events [1] - 48:15 | filled [1] - 30:8 |
| delivering [1] - 45:14 | 8:25, 44:20 | east [1] - 19:5 echo [1] - 5:7 | eventually [1] - 30:4 | filling [1] - 39:4 |
| delivery [1] - 45:6 | discovered [3] - 9:5, 9:7, 9:8 | economic [4] - 3.7 economic [4] - 24:8, | evidence [1] - 31:11 | final [1] - 40:8 |
| demolished [1] - 30:7 | 9.7, 9.8 discuss [1] - 51:20 | 39:4, 39:16, 41:25 | example [4] - 9:4, | Finance [2] - 41:16, |
| demolition [2] - 9:15, | discussed [1] - 16:14 | economically [1] - | 17:21, 22:19, 45:21 | 42:11 |
| 9:16 densities [1] - 26:11 | discusses [1] - 39:25 | 17:5 | executed [1] - 49:3 | financially [1] - 14:18 |
| density [2] - 17:23, | discussing [2] - 26:2, | edge [1] - 32:15 | execution [2] - 49:18, 49:19 | finer [1] - 12:14 |
| 21:12 | 39:23 | edits [1] - 2:9 | 49.19 Executive [1] - 1:17 | finish [2] - 26:21, 33:9 |
| Department [2] - | discussion [6] - | educate [1] - 23:23 | exhibits [1] - 49:23 | finished [1] - 46:4 finishing [2] - 32:6, |
| 34:23, 51:3 | 27:10, 32:12, 38:15, | education [1] - 36:22 | exist [1] - 38:13 | 33:11 32:0, |
| deployed [1] - 43:21 | 39:16, 43:17, 45:13 | effective [2] - 3:21, | existing [2] - 22:3, | finite [1] - 28:22 |
| design [13] - 9:16, | discussions [2] - | 4:20 | 30:6 | fire [1] - 51:6 |
| 19:13, 20:15, 28:13, | 25:17, 26:24 | effort [3] - 13:21, | exists [1] - 17:3 | firms [1] - 43:20 |
| 40:18, 40:22, 41:1, | dismissed [1] - 37:12 | 24:13, 44:17 | expect [1] - 10:8 | first [16] - 2:5, 10:25, |
| 41:11, 43:14, 45:18, | disparity [1] - 35:24 | efforts [1] - 13:10 | expecting [1] - 50:4 | 16:19, 17:11, 20:3, |
| 45:19, 46:4, 46:25 | disposition [2] - | eight [3] - 4:11, 13:3, | expensive [2] - 14:21, | 23:19, 31:21, 32:15, |
| Design [1] - 44:8 | 13:11, 50:17 | 43:25 | 41:19 | 32:17, 32:22, 34:11, |
| designs [1] - 51:4 | distorted [1] - 31:17 | either [1] - 12:20 | experience [1] - 43:5 | 44:7, 45:22, 45:25, |
| details [2] - 48:1, 49:8 | District [1] - 48:5 | elected [1] - 37:17 | expert [1] - 26:3 | 46:2, 49:15 |
| determine [3] - 14:18, | diverted [1] - 30:5 | electric [1] - 10:18 | expresses [1] - 4:17 | fit [1] - 26:10 |
| 15:3, 18:5 | Division [3] - 3:24, | electrical [2] - 9:18, | extension [1] - 17:24 | fits [1] - 23:24 |
| DEVAULT [1] - 1:19 | 4:1, 4:6 | 32:24 | exteriors [1] - 32:6 | five [1] - 25:6 |
| develop [2] - 12:6, | document [2] - 2:19, | elements [2] - 39:25, 47:17 | external [1] - 15:6 | flag [1] - 35:25 |
| 22:12 | 49:18 documents [1] - 38:13 | email [1] - 49:19 | eye [1] - 48:21 | floor [3] - 32:15, |
| developed [2] - 17:22, | documents [1] - 38:13 dollars [1] - 28:22 | emphasis [1] - 39:21 | | 32:17, 32:22 |
| 26:12 | uonars [1] - 20.22 | 511phu313 [1] - 09.21 | | |
| <u></u> | | 0.000 | - T / / T | |

Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

| floors [1] - 32:22 | 29:20 | hello [1] - 3:5 | inaudible) [1] - 11:18 | 40:23 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Florida [5] - 1:9, 1:10, | gateway [1] - 49:2 | help [3] - 13:10, 21:24, | incentive [1] - 39:15 | item [3] - 6:23, 7:9, |
| 50:21, 53:7, 53:18 | general [1] - 41:18 | 49:5 | incentivizing [1] - | 48:12 |
| FLORIDA [1] - 53:3 | General [1] - 1:19 | high [7] - 5:9, 17:23, | 25:24 | items [1] - 8:14 |
| flushed [1] - 23:11 | generated [1] - 27:9 | 21:9, 24:9, 38:21, | include [3] - 7:23, | itself [1] - 39:6 |
| focus [10] - 11:16, | geotechnical [2] - | 38:22, 38:25 | 11:21, 25:7 | |
| 11:24, 23:12, 23:13, | 8:18 | high-density [1] - | included [1] - 34:15 | J |
| 24:6, 24:23, 27:20, | given [3] - 24:11, | 17:23 | includes [2] - 8:5, | 5 |
| 38:15, 38:16, 39:20 | 24:20, 45:9 | high-end [1] - 24:9 | 11:9 | JACKSONVILLE [1] - |
| focused [5] - 15:4, | glad [2] - 43:3, 43:8 | high-rise [2] - 21:9, | including [1] - 11:25 | 1:1 |
| 23:1, 35:15, 35:22, | global [1] - 22:4 | 38:25 | incumbrances [1] - | Jacksonville [7] - 1:7, |
| 35:23 | goal [1] - 21:11 | highest [10] - 14:9, | 8:16 | 1:9, 3:19, 3:20, 4:10, |
| focusing [2] - 24:13, | goals [3] - 15:3, 15:12, | 14:13, 14:19, 14:20, | indiscriminately [1] - | 4:13, 43:4 |
| 25:13 | 21:22 | 16:18, 21:7, 21:13, | 14:5 | January [1] - 47:21 |
| follow [1] - 50:15 | Governance [1] - | 21:16, 24:16, 36:13 | individual [1] - 33:16 | JEA [3] - 9:18, 10:13, |
| following [1] - 10:19 | 51:19 | highly [2] - 38:20, | individually [2] - | 10:18 |
| for-sale [1] - 17:23 | government [1] - 6:6 | 44:16 | 21:11, 26:13 | JIM [1] - 1:14 |
| Ford [8] - 11:11, | graded [1] - 30:15 | highs [1] - 36:16 | information [6] - 9:3, | JOHN [1] - 1:19 |
| 19:17, 19:25, 21:17, | grant [4] - 38:5, 38:16, | HILL [1] - 1:20 | 13:23, 20:21, 20:23, | John's [1] - 40:19 |
| 22:7, 23:16, 23:17, | 38:23, 39:3 | hire [2] - 15:18, 37:17 | 21:1, 44:20 | Johns [1] - 40:16 |
| 27:10 | grants [4] - 38:18, | hired [1] - 47:24 | infrastructure [2] - | Johnson [2] - 30:21, |
| foreclosure [1] - 37:12 | 39:7, 39:9, 39:10 | historic [2] - 39:9, | 17:3, 18:6 | 30:22 |
| foregoing [2] - 52:9, | gravity [1] - 10:13 | 49:24 | initiative [1] - 21:24 | Jones [1] - 49:24 |
| 53:9 | great [2] - 49:13, | Hogan [3] - 25:5, | injected [1] - 37:10 | JSO [1] - 42:15 |
| former [3] - 11:12, | 51:25 | 39:20, 45:18 | input [2] - 15:23, 52:1 | JTA [2] - 18:16, 18:17 |
| 11:13, 42:11 | greenleaf [1] - 50:9 | homeless [1] - 42:15 | Inspection [2] - 3:24, | jumping [1] - 25:17 |
| Forsyth [2] - 31:12, | ground [4] - 10:10, | hope [2] - 12:3, 26:17 | 4:1 | |
| 44:5 | 17:4, 31:10, 32:21 | hopefully [2] - 2:25, | installed [4] - 30:13, | K |
| forward [6] - 27:11, | groundbreaking [2] - | 47:1 | 33:6, 33:8, 33:18 | |
| 32:8, 32:25, 39:24, | 49:7, 49:9 | hoping [2] - 43:23, | instance [1] - 6:8 | keep [2] - 22:24, 47:8 |
| 40:22, 49:12 | group [1] - 41:21 | 48:17 | instead [1] - 21:19 | keeping [1] - 48:20 |
| forwarded [1] - 49:20 | guess [3] - 19:14, | hotel [1] - 26:4 | | koopo (4) 10:15 |
| | | noter [1] - 20.4 | intact [1] - 42:9 | keeps [1] - 19:15 |
| foundation [1] - 20:6 | | | intact [1] - 42:9 intended [1] - 38:22 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 |
| foundation [1] - 20:6 four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 | 20:12, 37:4 | housing [1] - 20:4 housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 | intended [1] - 38:22 | - |
| | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 | | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 | housing [1] - 24:7 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 H | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 H Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - 1:13 |
| $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{four} \ [2] - 21:20, \ 25:6 \\ \hline \textbf{Four} \ [5] - 22:6, \ 22:13, \\ 32:20, \ 33:2 \\ \hline \textbf{FPR} \ [1] - 1:9 \\ \hline \textbf{frame} \ [2] - 15:18, \ 45:6 \\ \hline \textbf{frankly} \ [1] - 21:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Freeman} \ [1] - 21:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Freeman} \ [1] - 42:12 \\ \hline \textbf{Friday} \ [5] - 4:23, \\ 42:20, \ 51:3, \ 51:11, \\ 51:19 \\ \hline \textbf{front} \ [1] - 34:3 \end{array}$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, $33:9$, $33:15$, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:111, 51:19$ front $[1] - 34:3$ full $[4] - 8:21, 13:4, 3$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, $33:9$, $33:15$, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - 1:13 |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:111, 51:19$ front $[1] - 34:3$ full $[4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 investigated [1] - | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - 1:13 L land [9] - 7:23, 8:6, |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19$ front $[1] - 34:3$ full $[4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22$ fully $[2] - 30:3, 46:3$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 | KELLEY [1] - 1:18 kind [7] - 13:14, 19:20, 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, 49:4, 51:9 kinds [1] - 23:4 kinks [1] - 26:16 knowing [1] - 19:22 knows [1] - 7:17 KRECHOWSKI [1] - 1:13 L land [9] - 7:23, 8:6, 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, |
| $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{four} \ [2] - 21:20, \ 25:6 \\ \hline \textbf{Four} \ [5] - 22:6, \ 22:13, \\ 32:20, \ 33:2 \\ \hline \textbf{FPR} \ [1] - 1:9 \\ \hline \textbf{frame} \ [2] - 15:18, \ 45:6 \\ \hline \textbf{frankly} \ [1] - 21:16 \\ \hline \textbf{Freeman} \ [1] - 42:12 \\ \hline \textbf{Friday} \ [5] - 4:23, \\ 42:20, \ 51:3, \ 51:11, \\ 51:19 \\ \hline \textbf{front} \ [1] - 34:3 \\ \hline \textbf{full} \ [4] - 8:21, \ 13:4, \\ 20:19, \ 37:22 \\ \hline \textbf{fully} \ [2] - 30:3, \ 46:3 \\ \hline \textbf{fumble} \ [1] - 3:11 \\ \end{array}$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - $1:2$ | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{four} \ [2] - 21:20, \ 25:6 \\ \mbox{Four} \ [5] - 22:6, \ 22:13, \\ 32:20, \ 33:2 \\ \mbox{FPR} \ [1] - 1:9 \\ \mbox{frame} \ [2] - 15:18, \ 45:6 \\ \mbox{frankly} \ [1] - 21:16 \\ \mbox{Freeman} \ [1] - 42:12 \\ \mbox{Friday} \ [5] - 4:23, \\ 42:20, \ 51:3, \ 51:11, \\ 51:19 \\ \mbox{front} \ [1] - 34:3 \\ \mbox{full} \ [4] - 8:21, \ 13:4, \\ 20:19, \ 37:22 \\ \mbox{full} \ [4] - 3:11 \\ \mbox{fumble} \ [1] - 3:11 \\ \mbox{funded} \ [3] - 34:25, \\ \end{array}$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, $33:9$, $33:15$, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, $18:21$, $20:17INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2Investment [6] - 2:4,$ | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19$ front $[1] - 34:3$ full $[4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22$ fully $[2] - 30:3, 46:3$ fumble $[1] - 3:11$ funded $[3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14$ | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 hard [1] - 35:10 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 | intended [1] - $38:22$ intensified [1] - $35:7$ interface [1] - $51:5$ interior [5] - $29:21$, 31:4, $33:9$, $33:15$, 33:17 interiors [1] - $32:7$ internally [2] - $25:19$, 28:18 introduce [2] - $6:3$, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, $18:21$, $20:17INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2Investment [6] - 2:4,3:15$, $3:19$, $4:12$, | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four $[2] - 21:20, 25:6$ Four $[5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2$ FPR $[1] - 1:9$ frame $[2] - 15:18, 45:6$ frankly $[1] - 21:16$ Freeman $[1] - 42:12$ Friday $[5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19$ front $[1] - 34:3$ full $[4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22$ fully $[2] - 30:3, 46:3$ fumble $[1] - 3:11$ funded $[3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14$ funding $[3] - 28:20, 32$ | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12, \ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide} \ [1] - 21:24\\ \textbf{guy} \ [1] - 28:2\\ \textbf{GUY} \ [1] - 1:18\\ \textbf{Guy} \ [2] - 7:13, \ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys} \ [1] - 23:3\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12, \ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide} \ [1] - 21:24\\ \textbf{guy} \ [1] - 28:2\\ \textbf{GUY} \ [1] - 1:18\\ \textbf{Guy} \ [2] - 7:13, \ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys} \ [1] - 23:3\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{KELLEY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{kind} [7] - 13:14, 19:20, \\ 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, \\ 49:4, 51:9 \\ \textbf{kinds} [1] - 23:4 \\ \textbf{kinks} [1] - 26:16 \\ \textbf{knowing} [1] - 19:22 \\ \textbf{knows} [1] - 7:17 \\ \textbf{KRECHOWSKI} [1] - \\ 1:13 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{L} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{land} [9] - 7:23, 8:6, \\ 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, \\ 13:4, 21:6, 26:3, \\ 41:20 \\ \textbf{Landing} [5] - 7:16, \\ 14:9, 16:25, 20:8, \\ 20:13 \\ \end{array}$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12,\ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide}\ [1]-21:24\\ \textbf{guy}\ [1]-28:2\\ \textbf{GUY}\ [1]-1:18\\ \textbf{Guy}\ [2]-7:13,\ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys}\ [1]-23:3\\ \textbf{Hall}\ [1]-13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]-13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]-16:3\\ \textbf{handout}\ [1]-29:9\\ \textbf{hanging}\ [1]-19:21\\ \textbf{happy}\ [6]-2:23,\\ 12:13,\ 16:10,\ 23:10,\\ 25:16,\ 27:2\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]-35:10\\ \textbf{head}\ [2]-16:22,\ 36:10\\ \textbf{heat}\ [3]-16:10,\\ 23:10,\ 50:14\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 H Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 hard [1] - 35:10 head [2] - 16:22, 36:10 hear [3] - 16:10, 23:10, 50:14 heard [4] - 14:2, | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 50:20 | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{KELLEY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{kind} [7] - 13:14, 19:20, \\ 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, \\ 49:4, 51:9 \\ \textbf{kinds} [1] - 23:4 \\ \textbf{kinks} [1] - 26:16 \\ \textbf{knowing} [1] - 19:22 \\ \textbf{knows} [1] - 7:17 \\ \textbf{KRECHOWSKI} [1] - \\ 1:13 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{L} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{land} [9] - 7:23, 8:6, \\ 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, \\ 13:4, 21:6, 26:3, \\ 41:20 \\ \textbf{Landing} [5] - 7:16, \\ 14:9, 16:25, 20:8, \\ 20:13 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{landowner} [1] - 45:24 \\ \textbf{landscape} [1] - 22:8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 H Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 hard [1] - 35:10 head [2] - 16:22, 36:10 hear [3] - 16:10, 23:10, 50:14 heard [4] - 14:2, 14:22, 15:15, 37:6 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 50:20 involves [2] - 44:17, | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 future [2] - 13:10, 29:1 | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12,\ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide}\ [1]-21:24\\ \textbf{guy}\ [1]-28:2\\ \textbf{GUY}\ [1]-1:18\\ \textbf{Guy}\ [2]-7:13,\ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys}\ [1]-23:3\\ \textbf{Hall}\ [1]-13:23\\ \textbf{hand}\ [1]-13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]-16:3\\ \textbf{handout}\ [1]-29:9\\ \textbf{hanging}\ [1]-19:21\\ \textbf{happy}\ [6]-2:23,\\ 12:13,\ 16:10,\ 23:10,\\ 25:16,\ 27:2\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]-35:10\\ \textbf{head}\ [2]-16:22,\ 36:10\\ \textbf{head}\ [2]-16:22,\ 36:10\\ \textbf{head}\ [3]-16:10,\\ 23:10,\ 50:14\\ \textbf{heard}\ [4]-14:2,\\ 14:22,\ 15:15,\ 37:6\\ \textbf{hearing}\ [1]-47:15\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - 18:13 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 50:20 involves [2] - 44:17, 44:18 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12,\ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide}\ [1]\ -\ 21:24\\ \textbf{guy}\ [1]\ -\ 28:2\\ \textbf{GUY}\ [1]\ -\ 1:18\\ \textbf{Guy}\ [2]\ -\ 7:13,\ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys}\ [1]\ -\ 23:3\\ \textbf{Hall}\ [1]\ -\ 11:13\\ \textbf{hand}\ [1]\ -\ 23:3\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]\ -\ 13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]\ -\ 16:3\\ \textbf{handout}\ [1]\ -\ 29:9\\ \textbf{hanging}\ [1]\ -\ 19:21\\ \textbf{happy}\ [6]\ -\ 2:23,\\ 12:13,\ 16:10,\ 23:10,\\ 25:16,\ 27:2\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]\ -\ 35:10\\ \textbf{head}\ [2]\ -\ 16:22,\ 36:10\\ \textbf{hear}\ [3]\ -\ 16:10,\\ 23:10,\ 50:14\\ \textbf{heard}\ [4]\ -\ 14:2,\\ 14:22,\ 15:15,\ 37:6\\ \textbf{hearing}\ [1]\ -\ 47:15\\ \textbf{HEAVENER}\ [4]\ -\ 1:15,\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - 18:13 improvements [1] - | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involves [2] - 44:17, 44:18 isolation [1] - 22:16 | $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 future [2] - 13:10, 29:1 G | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 hard [1] - 35:10 head [2] - 16:22, 36:10 hear [3] - 16:10, 23:10, 50:14 heard [4] - 14:2, 14:22, 15:15, 37:6 hearing [1] - 47:15 HEAVENER [4] - 1:15, 5:2, 5:22, 24:4 | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - 18:13 improvements [1] - 35:16 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involves [2] - 44:17, 44:18 isolation [1] - 22:16 issue [4] - 7:5, 17:10, | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{KELLEY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{kind} [7] - 13:14, 19:20, \\ 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, \\ 49:4, 51:9 \\ \textbf{kinds} [1] - 23:4 \\ \textbf{kinks} [1] - 26:16 \\ \textbf{knowing} [1] - 19:22 \\ \textbf{knows} [1] - 7:17 \\ \textbf{KRECHOWSKI} [1] - \\ 1:13 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{L} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{land} [9] - 7:23, 8:6, \\ 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, \\ 13:4, 21:6, 26:3, \\ 41:20 \\ \textbf{Landing} [5] - 7:16, \\ 14:9, 16:25, 20:8, \\ 20:13 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{landowner} [1] - 45:24 \\ \textbf{landscape} [1] - 22:8 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{landscaping} [1] - 32:7 \\ \textbf{Large} [1] - 1:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{last} [2] - 11:4, 31:5 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{latest} [1] - 37:3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 future [2] - 13:10, 29:1 G gap [2] - 39:4, 39:16 | 20:12, 37:4 guide [1] - 21:24 guy [1] - 28:2 GUY [1] - 1:18 Guy [2] - 7:13, 23:18 guys [1] - 23:3 Hall [1] - 11:13 hand [1] - 13:23 handled [1] - 16:3 handout [1] - 29:9 hanging [1] - 19:21 happy [6] - 2:23, 12:13, 16:10, 23:10, 25:16, 27:2 hard [1] - 35:10 head [2] - 16:22, 36:10 hear [3] - 16:10, 23:10, 50:14 heard [4] - 14:2, 14:22, 15:15, 37:6 hearing [1] - 47:15 HEAVENER [4] - 1:15, 5:2, 5:22, 24:4 held [5] - 1:6, 7:20, | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - 18:13 improvements [1] - 35:16 inaccurately [1] - 14:5 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 50:20 involves [2] - 44:17, 44:18 isolation [1] - 22:16 issue [4] - 7:5, 17:10, 37:1, 43:18 | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{KELLEY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{kind} [7] - 13:14, 19:20, \\ 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, \\ 49:4, 51:9 \\ \textbf{kinds} [1] - 23:4 \\ \textbf{kinks} [1] - 26:16 \\ \textbf{knowing} [1] - 19:22 \\ \textbf{knows} [1] - 7:17 \\ \textbf{KRECHOWSKI} [1] - \\ 1:13 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{L} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{land} [9] - 7:23, 8:6, \\ 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, \\ 13:4, 21:6, 26:3, \\ 41:20 \\ \textbf{Landing} [5] - 7:16, \\ 14:9, 16:25, 20:8, \\ 20:13 \\ \textbf{landowner} [1] - 45:24 \\ \textbf{landscape} [1] - 22:8 \\ \textbf{landscape} [1] - 32:7 \\ \textbf{Large} [1] - 1:10 \\ \textbf{last} [2] - 11:4, 31:5 \\ \textbf{latest} [1] - 37:3 \\ \textbf{Laura} [3] - 1:8, 25:5, \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| four [2] - 21:20, 25:6 Four [5] - 22:6, 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 FPR [1] - 1:9 frame [2] - 15:18, 45:6 frankly [1] - 21:16 Freeman [1] - 42:12 Friday [5] - 4:23, 42:20, 51:3, 51:11, 51:19 front [1] - 34:3 full [4] - 8:21, 13:4, 20:19, 37:22 fully [2] - 30:3, 46:3 fumble [1] - 3:11 funded [3] - 34:25, 40:20, 46:14 funding [3] - 28:20, 40:15, 42:15 funds [3] - 13:7, 16:13, 28:18 future [2] - 13:10, 29:1 G | $\begin{array}{c} 20:12,\ 37:4\\ \textbf{guide}\ [1]\ -\ 21:24\\ \textbf{guy}\ [1]\ -\ 28:2\\ \textbf{GUY}\ [1]\ -\ 1:18\\ \textbf{Guy}\ [2]\ -\ 7:13,\ 23:18\\ \textbf{guys}\ [1]\ -\ 23:3\\ \textbf{Hall}\ [1]\ -\ 11:13\\ \textbf{hand}\ [1]\ -\ 23:3\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]\ -\ 13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]\ -\ 13:23\\ \textbf{handled}\ [1]\ -\ 16:3\\ \textbf{handout}\ [1]\ -\ 29:9\\ \textbf{hanging}\ [1]\ -\ 19:21\\ \textbf{happy}\ [6]\ -\ 2:23,\\ 12:13,\ 16:10,\ 23:10,\\ 25:16,\ 27:2\\ \textbf{hard}\ [1]\ -\ 35:10\\ \textbf{hear}\ [2]\ -\ 16:22,\ 36:10\\ \textbf{hear}\ [3]\ -\ 16:10,\\ 23:10,\ 50:14\\ \textbf{heard}\ [4]\ -\ 14:2,\\ 14:22,\ 15:15,\ 37:6\\ \textbf{hearing}\ [1]\ -\ 47:15\\ \textbf{HEAVENER}\ [4]\ -\ 1:15,\\ 5:2,\ 5:22,\ 24:4\\ \end{array}$ | housing [1] - 24:7 Hyatt [1] - 23:19 hyper [1] - 23:13 hyper-focus [1] - 23:13 I idea [1] - 40:24 ideas [2] - 40:2, 40:4 identify [1] - 25:4 illustrate [1] - 10:9 imagine [1] - 12:7 imminently [1] - 31:23 impact [2] - 15:6, 15:11 Implementation [1] - 27:2 important [2] - 8:19, 9:3 importantly [1] - 28:16 impressive [1] - 48:22 improvement [1] - 18:13 improvements [1] - 35:16 | intended [1] - 38:22 intensified [1] - 35:7 interface [1] - 51:5 interior [5] - 29:21, 31:4, 33:9, 33:15, 33:17 interiors [1] - 32:7 internally [2] - 25:19, 28:18 introduce [2] - 6:3, 42:23 investigated [1] - 13:15 investigation [3] - 12:24, 18:21, 20:17 INVESTMENT [1] - 1:2 Investment [6] - 2:4, 3:15, 3:19, 4:12, 4:15, 4:16 investor [1] - 37:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involve [1] - 12:7 involves [2] - 44:17, 44:18 isolation [1] - 22:16 issue [4] - 7:5, 17:10, | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{KELLEY} [1] - 1:18 \\ \textbf{kind} [7] - 13:14, 19:20, \\ 26:14, 26:23, 38:10, \\ 49:4, 51:9 \\ \textbf{kinds} [1] - 23:4 \\ \textbf{kinks} [1] - 26:16 \\ \textbf{knowing} [1] - 19:22 \\ \textbf{knows} [1] - 7:17 \\ \textbf{KRECHOWSKI} [1] - \\ 1:13 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{L} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{land} [9] - 7:23, 8:6, \\ 8:20, 10:24, 12:5, \\ 13:4, 21:6, 26:3, \\ 41:20 \\ \textbf{Landing} [5] - 7:16, \\ 14:9, 16:25, 20:8, \\ 20:13 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{landowner} [1] - 45:24 \\ \textbf{landscape} [1] - 22:8 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{landscaping} [1] - 32:7 \\ \textbf{Large} [1] - 1:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{last} [2] - 11:4, 31:5 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{latest} [1] - 37:3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

| lead [1] - 52:1 leadership [3] - 3:18, | Manager [2] - 1:18, 1:19 | 3:11 Memorial [1] - 48:8 | MS [21] - 2:21, 3:8, 3:13, 5:25, 6:1, | November [2] - 3:23, 50:2 |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 4:19, 51:20 | manager [3] - 4:2, | mention [1] - 6:19 | 12:15, 17:9, 18:10, | nuances [1] - 38:11 |
| learned [1] - 45:23 | 45:15, 47:24 | mentioned [2] - 39:4, | 19:8, 20:18, 22:9, | nub [1] - 19:11 |
| leasing [1] - 32:9 | map [2] - 10:8, 23:2 | 42:20 | 22:11, 25:15, 28:4, | number [2] - 28:11, |
| least [3] - 3:5, 3:13, | March [1] - 44:15 | Met [1] - 51:4 | 29:11, 43:8, 43:12, | 35:13 |
| 6:8 | marina [1] - 34:4 | meters [1] - 33:18 | 45:11, 45:18, 48:25, | numbers [1] - 28:2 |
| leave [1] - 23:14 | market [5] - 7:23, 8:6, | methodology [1] - | 50:25 | |
| left [2] - 19:12, 42:9 | 10:23, 12:5, 14:19 | 38:25 | multi [1] - 51:9 | 0 |
| legal [1] - 14:17 | Marshfront [1] - 47:15 | Metropolitan [1] - | multi-party [1] - 51:9 multiple [4] - 11:23, | o'clock [1] - 37:16 |
| legislation [2] - 37:19, 49:14 | marshfront [1] - 34:17 match [1] - 35:5 | 42:4 MICAH [1] - 1:15 | 15:14, 21:8, 43:19 | obtain [2] - 14:21 |
| less [1] - 14:21 | Mayor [1] - 4:4 | Micah [1] - 24:2 | Multipurpose [1] - 1:8 | obviously [1] - 31:17 |
| letting [1] - 26:2 | McCoys [4] - 29:25, | Micah's [1] - 24:19 | | October [7] - 4:7, |
| level [6] - 16:4, 16:15, | 46:9 | Microphone [1] - 11:6 | Ν | 48:19, 49:8, 49:16, |
| 20:25, 23:4, 23:12, | mean [6] - 20:25, | microphone [1] - | | 50:2, 50:10, 53:15 |
| 24:8 | 22:13, 23:15, 23:20, | 25:13 | nail [1] - 46:23 | OF [4] - 1:1, 53:1, |
| Library [1] - 1:8 | 28:16, 50:25 | might [3] - 17:14, | nearing [1] - 32:10 | 53:3, 53:4 |
| lien [1] - 37:13 | meaning [1] - 40:14 | 28:11, 28:23 | nearly [1] - 34:19 | offer [1] - 42:13 |
| Lift [1] - 30:25 | meant [1] - 50:23 | million [3] - 9:17, | need [17] - 9:19, | Office [3] - 1:19, 4:2, |
| light [1] - 21:22 | mechanical [1] - | 42:3, 42:5 | 10:21, 11:14, 12:6, | 42:19 |
| likelihood [1] - 12:2 | 32:23 | minimum [1] - 12:11 | 12:10, 14:1, 16:7, | office [1] - 36:9 |
| line [2] - 40:13, 44:22 | meet [2] - 3:3, 26:13 | minutes [2] - 2:6, 2:16 | 16:8, 17:2, 18:23, 23:21, 24:23, 28:11, | Officer [1] - 1:17 |
| list [1] - 39:19 | MEETING [1] - 1:3 | missed [2] - 20:14, | 41:1, 44:2, 45:14, | officer [3] - 3:4, 4:8, |
| locate [1] - 9:2 | meeting [17] - 2:4, 2:6, | 20:15 | 45:25 | 42:21 |
| located [1] - 34:4 | 12:21, 14:3, 29:10, | model [2] - 26:12, | needed [1] - 36:21 | old [2] - 5:11, 30:5 |
| location [5] - 30:3, | 35:14, 35:21, 37:20, | 34:12 | needs [2] - 9:12, 16:23 | Old [1] - 7:9 on-site [2] - 15:6, |
| 30:5, 30:6, 30:9 | 37:25, 40:11, 41:17, | modeled [1] - 25:21 | never [1] - 27:24 | 46:23 |
| Lofts [2] - 32:2, 32:3 | 42:2, 42:22, 45:3, 47:23, 51:2, 51:20 | modest [1] - 22:25 | new [11] - 30:5, 30:9, | once [1] - 44:19 |
| logistics [1] - 18:5 | meetings [1] - 45:19 | Monday [2] - 37:13, 48:1 | 30:23, 37:7, 37:9, | One [2] - 29:15, 29:24 |
| long-range [1] - 18:24 | Melinda [2] - 24:2, | money [3] - 13:5, | 38:9, 38:15, 38:16, | one [34] - 6:5, 6:8, |
| long-term [2] - 15:3, 15:12 | 27:12 | 27:25, 41:4 | 42:21, 43:19, 51:6 | 6:18, 7:3, 7:21, 8:8, |
| look [14] - 8:15, 10:7, | MELINDA [1] - 1:15 | month [2] - 26:19, | newly [1] - 30:3 | 15:4, 16:18, 18:7, |
| 11:3, 11:8, 21:10, | MEMBER [24] - 2:7, | 44:11 | newspaper [1] - 37:5 | 18:16, 18:18, 18:22, |
| 21:20, 22:2, 22:4, | 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 5:15, | monthly [1] - 29:9 | next [31] - 2:17, 6:4, | 19:14, 20:24, 21:10, |
| 22:7, 22:15, 22:17, | 5:17, 5:19, 5:22, | months [9] - 8:4, | 6:18, 7:3, 7:9, 12:1, | 23:13, 23:14, 23:15, |
| 38:7, 39:6, 39:24 | 5:23, 6:21, 16:17, | 11:21, 11:25, 13:2, | 13:8, 26:19, 28:8, | 24:25, 28:11, 35:20, |
| looked [1] - 26:9 | 17:19, 19:3, 19:14, | 13:3, 15:20, 26:20, | 29:18, 29:23, 30:11, | 37:4, 40:22, 45:7, |
| looking [8] - 15:9, | 20:8, 20:16, 22:1, | 44:12, 44:23 | 30:20, 31:7, 31:13, 31:18, 31:24, 32:5, | 46:12, 46:13, 46:22, |
| 15:13, 21:8, 23:1, | 22:10, 24:4, 24:17, | morning [2] - 45:2, | 32:19, 33:1, 33:8, | 48:21, 49:3, 49:12, |
| 25:12, 28:25, 30:9 | 24:19, 27:14, 27:23, | 48:1 | 33:25, 34:5, 34:20, | 50:3, 50:11, 50:12, 51:4 |
| looks [1] - 15:2 | 29:2 Member [6] - 1:13, | MOSH [1] - 49:22 | 35:8, 37:2, 40:9, | ongoing [3] - 30:23, |
| loose [1] - 43:24 | 1:14, 1:14, 1:15, | most [4] - 14:16, | 47:2, 48:3, 50:5, | 45:19, 50:20 |
| Lori [5] - 12:8, 12:14, | 1:15, 25:16 | 27:16, 38:7, 39:7 mostly [2] - 34:16, | 51:19 | open [3] - 31:1, 32:14, |
| 43:2, 51:12, 51:14 | member [2] - 12:8, | 35:23 | nice [1] - 34:18 | 37:1 |
| LORI [1] - 1:17 lose [1] - 46:17 | 25:20 | motion [2] - 4:25, 5:3 | nine [3] - 8:4, 11:25, | operations [1] - 35:23 |
| lovely [1] - 32:17 | members [5] - 3:2, | Motor [2] - 3:23, 3:25 | 43:25 | Operations [1] - 1:18 |
| lows [2] - 36:14, 36:16 | 25:23, 36:5, 36:14, | move [3] - 2:3, 2:7, 5:1 | nobody [1] - 18:20 | opinion [1] - 17:1 |
| lucky [1] - 20:13 | 38:9 | moved [1] - 19:23 | normal [2] - 29:11, | opportunity [6] - 3:3, |
| ······ / [·] | MEMBERS [9] - 1:12, | moving [11] - 18:6, | 39:14 | 6:9, 32:3, 38:7, |
| М | 2:11, 2:13, 2:15, 5:6, | 23:17, 23:21, 27:11, | normalize [1] - 36:13 | 38:10, 39:25 |
| | 6:13, 7:6, 51:16, | 32:8, 32:25, 40:21, | North [1] - 1:8 | opposed [1] - 2:14 |
| ma'am [1] - 5:25 | 52:6 | 44:17, 44:18, 48:25, | Northbank [3] - 10:17, 28:7, 40:12 | opposite [1] - 19:10 |
| magnitude [1] - 10:6 | members' [1] - 26:9 | 49:12 | Notary [1] - 1:10 | optimal [13] - 14:25, |
| Main [5] - 9:13, 10:17, | memo [8] - 7:12, 11:5, 27:8-28:24-35:10 | MR [11] - 3:7, 3:10, | notes [1] - 53:11 | 15:5, 16:9, 16:21, 17:11, 17:12, 17:20, |
| 18:8, 19:3, 19:10 | 27:8, 28:24, 35:10, 38:5, 39:5, 39:18 | 5:21, 6:16, 7:14, | noticed [1] - 37:15 | 17:21, 21:4, 24:15, |
| Management [1] - | memorandum [1] - | 11:7, 20:2, 20:11, 43:2, 43:10, 45:12 | noting [1] - 9:15 | 25:3, 27:15, 29:3 |
| 48:4 | | TU.2, TU. IU, TU. IZ | | , , , = |

Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg', FL 32203-(904) 821-0300

| order (0) 10-5 10-14 | nort (44) 6-2 11-14 | | privataly (c) - 00.47 | 46.22 46.24 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| order [6] - 10:5, 18:11, | part [11] - 6:3, 11:14, | pipe [2] - 9:6, 9:20 | privately [1] - 22:17 | 46:23, 46:24 |
| 18:22, 26:8, 37:18, | 12:25, 13:4, 15:1, | pipes [1] - 30:13 | problematic [1] - 39:7 | Public/Main [1] - 1:7 |
| 52:5 | 15:12, 25:14, 37:8, | plan [11] - 15:1, 15:2, | proceedings [2] - | purely [2] - 31:4, 39:3 |
| organizing [1] - 15:5 | 39:14, 44:8, 51:24 | 15:3, 15:13, 18:19, | 52:9, 53:9 | purpose [2] - 16:21, |
| ourselves [1] - 19:19 | particular [6] - 4:11, | 18:24, 19:16, 21:22, | Proceedings [1] - 1:6 | 25:3 |
| Outfall [2] - 29:25, | 15:9, 32:11, 39:13, | 22:1, 38:20 | process [7] - 35:16, | purposes [2] - 12:16, |
| 30:1 | 40:23, 41:21 | planned [4] - 18:9, | 35:24, 36:6, 36:18, | 18:13 |
| outside [1] - 37:17 | particularly [1] - 36:19 | 18:11, 18:13, 18:14 | 36:22, 39:23, 44:25 | pursing [1] - 26:20 |
| overall [1] - 15:12 | parts [3] - 12:23, 37:4, | planner [1] - 26:3 | procurement [3] - 8:5, | pursuing [1] - 50:12 |
| oversee [1] - 47:25 | 47:20 | planning [2] - 21:6, | 11:22, 11:25 | push [1] - 23:15 |
| own [2] - 13:15, 45:17 | party [1] - 51:9 | 48:18 | Procurement [8] - | put [2] - 12:14, 40:13 |
| owned [4] - 22:16, | passed [2] - 29:9, | play [1] - 24:13 | 35:24, 35:25, 36:4, | putting [1] - 48:13 |
| 22:17, 25:8 | 49:24 | Plaza [11] - 9:5, 11:10, | 36:9, 36:18, 43:20, | P |
| | past [1] - 4:11 | 19:17, 31:14, 31:25, | 43:21, 44:1 | Q |
| Р | pastel [1] - 35:5 | 40:17, 41:13, 42:10, | Professional [5] - | Q Q |
| F | path [1] - 10:19 | 43:16, 47:6, 47:9 | 13:6, 28:8, 28:12, | qualifications [1] - |
| p.m [3] - 1:7, 2:1, | pathway [1] - 39:3 | pleasure [1] - 5:19 | 53:7, 53:18 | 12:12 |
| 52:10 | | podium [1] - 43:1 | professional [2] - | qualified [2] - 38:23, |
| | PATRICK [1] - 1:13 | | - | 43:19 |
| package [1] - 12:3 | pavement [3] - 34:22, | point [13] - 12:14, | 26:2, 43:12 | quarter [1] - 44:7 |
| pad [2] - 18:23, 47:11 | 34:24, 47:13 | 12:18, 12:22, 18:2, | profit [1] - 14:13 | |
| paid [3] - 39:7, 39:9, | pay [2] - 13:8, 16:12 | 18:16, 18:21, 20:25, | profitable [1] - 14:16 | questions [6] - 2:9, |
| 39:11 | pending [4] - 41:14, | 21:2, 21:4, 24:20, | program [5] - 25:21, | 12:13, 19:15, 27:8, |
| paper [1] - 11:5 | 43:17, 43:20, 49:14 | 36:12, 37:20, 44:12 | 25:24, 39:14, 39:22 | 36:3, 51:14 |
| paperwork [1] - 9:1 | people [4] - 22:21, | Polar [1] - 51:7 | progress [6] - 30:17, | quick [2] - 27:24, |
| parallel [3] - 9:21, | 25:12, 36:1, 48:14 | pool [1] - 33:13 | 30:19, 30:22, 41:11, | 48:24 |
| 10:13, 10:15 | per [1] - 50:4 | portion [3] - 2:4, 33:7, | 46:6, 46:8 | quicker [1] - 14:20 |
| parcel [9] - 11:11, | percent [1] - 44:14 | 47:9 | progressing [1] - | quickly [1] - 35:11 |
| 14:10, 15:7, 15:9, | perfect [1] - 27:9 | possible [3] - 14:17, | 40:18 | quote [1] - 47:16 |
| 15:10, 18:15, 21:19, | perform [1] - 8:4 | 26:14, 35:16 | project [12] - 18:17, | |
| 23:13 | periodically [1] - 35:1 | potential [2] - 22:18, | 18:18, 28:23, 32:9, | R |
| parcels [11] - 7:11, | permits [1] - 49:10 | 22:22 | 33:23, 33:24, 37:11, | |
| 11:23, 15:11, 21:3, | permitting [1] - 48:5 | potentially [1] - 44:24 | 39:17, 39:19, 44:8, | rain [1] - 31:6 |
| 21:21, 21:25, 22:3, | | | | |
| | person [1] - 24:25 | poured [3] - 30:2, | 45:15, 47:24 | raised [3] - 32:22, |
| 22:16, 22:17, 23:21 | person [1] - 24:25 personnel [2] - 37:14. | poured [3] - 30:2, 31:22, 32:22 | 45:15, 47:24 Project [1] - 1:19 | raised [3] - 32:22, 37:1, 46:24 |
| 22:16, 22:17, 23:21 Park [9] - 17:25, | personnel [2] - 37:14, | | | |
| | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 | 31:22, 32:22 | Project [1] - 1:19 | 37:1, 46:24 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 range [2] - 8:11, 18:24 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 range [2] - 8:11, 18:24 rather [1] - 46:1 rattle [1] - 50:16 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 range [2] - 8:11, 18:24 rather [1] - 46:1 rattle [1] - 50:16 RDA [2] - 49:23, 50:4 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 range [2] - 8:11, 18:24 rather [1] - 46:1 rattle [1] - 50:16 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, | 37:1, 46:24 ramp [8] - 9:13, 10:19, 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 ramp's [1] - 29:19 ramps [1] - 10:18 ran [1] - 31:4 range [2] - 8:11, 18:24 rather [1] - 46:1 rattle [1] - 50:16 RDA [2] - 49:23, 50:4 reaching [1] - 27:13 |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,\ 46:24\\ \textbf{ramp}\ [8]\ -\ 9:13,\ 10:19,\\ 18:8,\ 19:4,\ 19:5,\\ 19:9,\ 34:3,\ 46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's}\ [1]\ -\ 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps}\ [1]\ -\ 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps}\ [1]\ -\ 10:18\\ \textbf{ran}\ [1]\ -\ 31:4\\ \textbf{range}\ [2]\ -\ 8:11,\ 18:24\\ \textbf{rather}\ [1]\ -\ 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle}\ [1]\ -\ 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle}\ [1]\ -\ 50:16\\ \textbf{RDA}\ [2]\ -\ 49:23,\ 50:4\\ \textbf{reaching}\ [1]\ -\ 27:13\\ \textbf{read}\ [3]\ -\ 3:9,\ 3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18\\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4\\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16\\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13\\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8,47:1,\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18\\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4\\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16\\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13\\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8,47:1,\\ 47:3,48:18,48:19,\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18\\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4\\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16\\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13\\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8,47:1,\\ 47:3,48:18,48:19,\\ 49:20\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19\\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18\\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4\\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1\\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16\\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13\\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8,47:1,\\ 47:3,48:18,48:19,\\ 49:20\\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15,23:5,\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp}[8]-9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's}[1]-29:19\\ \textbf{ramps}[1]-10:18\\ \textbf{ran}[1]-31:4\\ \textbf{range}[2]-8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather}[1]-46:1\\ \textbf{rattle}[1]-50:16\\ \textbf{RDA}[2]-49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching}[1]-27:13\\ \textbf{read}[3]-3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready}[6]-32:8,47:1,\\ 47:3,48:18,48:19,\\ 49:20\\ \textbf{real}[4]-14:15,23:5,\\ 27:24,41:5\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, 40:21 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provide [2] - 39:15, 41:24 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1,46:24\\ \textbf{ramp}[8]-9:13,10:19,\\ 18:8,19:4,19:5,\\ 19:9,34:3,46:18\\ \textbf{ramp's}[1]-29:19\\ \textbf{ramps}[1]-10:18\\ \textbf{ran}[1]-31:4\\ \textbf{range}[2]-8:11,18:24\\ \textbf{rather}[1]-46:1\\ \textbf{rattle}[1]-50:16\\ \textbf{RDA}[2]-49:23,50:4\\ \textbf{reaching}[1]-27:13\\ \textbf{read}[3]-3:9,3:14,\\ 37:5\\ \textbf{ready}[6]-32:8,47:1,\\ 47:3,48:18,48:19,\\ 49:20\\ \textbf{real}[4]-14:15,23:5,\\ 27:24,41:5\\ \textbf{realize}[2]-8:19,12:4\\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physicall [1] - 9:2 physicall [1] - 9:2 physicall [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 pictures [3] - 29:13, | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, 40:21 price [1] - 9:14 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physical [1] - 9:2 physical [1] - 9:2 physical [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 pictures [3] - 29:13, 46:10, 51:15 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, 40:21 price [1] - 9:14 pricing [1] - 9:11 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, 32:2, 45:11, 48:10, | personnel [2] - $37:14$, 37:23 perspective [3] - $16:2$, 21:10, $38:25Pete [1] - 47:23Peter [2] - 42:21,42:24Peyton [1] - 4:4Phase [5] - 8:17,20:24$, $44:6$, $44:8$, 44:18 phase [1] - $44:9$ Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - $9:2$ physically [1] - $14:17$ picture [8] - $29:24$, 30:11, $30:14$, $30:24$, 31:18, $34:8$, $34:15$, 35:9 pictures [3] - $29:13$, 46:10, $51:15piece [2] - 19:12,$ | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, 40:21 price [1] - 9:14 pricing [1] - 9:11 primary [1] - 19:9 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 proximity [1] - 24:11 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ 23:23, 28:18, 32:17, \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, 32:2, 45:11, 48:10, 48:20, 51:2 | personnel [2] - $37:14$, 37:23 perspective [3] - $16:2$, 21:10, $38:25Pete [1] - 47:23Peter [2] - 42:21,42:24Peyton [1] - 4:4Phase [5] - 8:17,20:24$, $44:6$, $44:8$, 44:18 phase [1] - $44:9$ Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - $9:2$ physically [1] - $14:17$ picture [8] - $29:24$, 30:11, $30:14$, $30:24$, 31:18, $34:8$, $34:15$, 35:9 pictures [3] - $29:13$, 46:10, $51:15piece [2] - 19:12,35:10$ | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previously [2] - 40:20, 40:21 price [1] - 9:14 pricing [1] - 9:11 primary [1] - 19:9 priority [1] - 39:19 | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 proximity [1] - 24:11 public [2] - 3:4, 43:5 | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ 23:23, 28:18, 32:17, \\ 34:18, 36:3, 36:17, \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, 32:2, 45:11, 48:10, 48:20, 51:2 PAROLA [7] - 1:18, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 pictures [3] - 29:13, 46:10, 51:15 piece [2] - 19:12, 35:10 pile [1] - 9:20 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:11 primary [1] - 19:9 priority [1] - 39:19 private [5] - 11:23, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 proximity [1] - 24:11 public [2] - 3:4, 43:5 Public [10] - 1:10, 4:3, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ 23:23, 28:18, 32:17, \\ 34:18, 36:3, 36:17, \\ 38:1, 39:11, 40:2, \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, 32:2, 45:11, 48:10, 48:20, 51:2 PAROLA [7] - 1:18, 3:10, 7:14, 11:7, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 pictures [3] - 29:13, 46:10, 51:15 piece [2] - 19:12, 35:10 pile [1] - 9:20 pile-supported [1] - | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:11 primary [1] - 19:9 priority [1] - 39:19 private [5] - 11:23, 18:4, 43:6, 45:24, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 proximity [1] - 24:11 public [2] - 3:4, 43:5 Public [10] - 1:10, 4:3, 4:6, 34:24, 42:19, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ 23:23, 28:18, 32:17, \\ 34:18, 36:3, 36:17, \\ 38:1, 39:11, 40:2, \\ 43:8, 49:11, 50:11 \\ \end{array}$ |
| Park [9] - 17:25, 30:10, 30:25, 40:16, 40:19, 42:4, 46:11, 47:15, 51:4 park [6] - 19:6, 22:5, 31:15, 34:18, 47:18 parking [14] - 3:4, 4:2, 4:8, 7:16, 22:21, 29:20, 35:23, 43:5, 46:13, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 51:5, 51:8 Parking [4] - 4:3, 4:6, 42:19, 42:21 parkland [2] - 41:22, 41:23 Parks [4] - 34:23, 42:5, 46:23, 51:3 parks [3] - 41:18, 41:20, 42:14 Parola [10] - 2:22, 3:8, 7:12, 12:15, 12:25, 32:2, 45:11, 48:10, 48:20, 51:2 PAROLA [7] - 1:18, | personnel [2] - 37:14, 37:23 perspective [3] - 16:2, 21:10, 38:25 Pete [1] - 47:23 Peter [2] - 42:21, 42:24 Peyton [1] - 4:4 Phase [5] - 8:17, 20:24, 44:6, 44:8, 44:18 phase [1] - 44:9 Philadelphia [1] - 37:18 physical [1] - 9:2 physically [1] - 14:17 picture [8] - 29:24, 30:11, 30:14, 30:24, 31:18, 34:8, 34:15, 35:9 pictures [3] - 29:13, 46:10, 51:15 piece [2] - 19:12, 35:10 pile [1] - 9:20 | 31:22, 32:22 PowerPoint [1] - 29:12 POWERS [4] - 1:15, 2:8, 5:23, 27:14 preclude [1] - 28:13 prepare [1] - 13:10 PRESENT [2] - 1:12, 1:16 presentation [1] - 35:17 presented [1] - 37:20 presenting [1] - 26:18 President [1] - 42:11 pretty [4] - 20:7, 31:2, 32:8, 51:15 prevention [1] - 42:16 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 34:3 previous [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:14 price [1] - 9:11 primary [1] - 19:9 priority [1] - 39:19 private [5] - 11:23, | Project [1] - 1:19 projection [1] - 43:13 projects [5] - 28:19, 31:3, 42:8, 43:25, 44:4 promoted [2] - 4:2, 4:5 properties [2] - 19:19, 25:9 property [11] - 7:19, 11:1, 11:10, 11:13, 11:19, 13:10, 13:15, 14:16, 14:19, 20:7, 51:7 proposal [2] - 7:15, 37:9 provide [4] - 6:9, 27:1, 35:17, 42:14 provided [2] - 39:15, 41:24 providing [3] - 3:21, 41:24, 42:7 proximity [1] - 24:11 public [2] - 3:4, 43:5 Public [10] - 1:10, 4:3, | $\begin{array}{c} 37:1, 46:24 \\ \textbf{ramp} [8] - 9:13, 10:19, \\ 18:8, 19:4, 19:5, \\ 19:9, 34:3, 46:18 \\ \textbf{ramp's} [1] - 29:19 \\ \textbf{ramps} [1] - 10:18 \\ \textbf{ran} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{range} [2] - 8:11, 18:24 \\ \textbf{rather} [1] - 46:1 \\ \textbf{rattle} [1] - 50:16 \\ \textbf{RDA} [2] - 49:23, 50:4 \\ \textbf{reaching} [1] - 27:13 \\ \textbf{read} [3] - 3:9, 3:14, \\ 37:5 \\ \textbf{ready} [6] - 32:8, 47:1, \\ 47:3, 48:18, 48:19, \\ 49:20 \\ \textbf{real} [4] - 14:15, 23:5, \\ 27:24, 41:5 \\ \textbf{realize} [2] - 8:19, 12:4 \\ \textbf{really} [16] - 10:9, \\ 11:17, 14:1, 14:24, \\ 23:23, 28:18, 32:17, \\ 34:18, 36:3, 36:17, \\ 38:1, 39:11, 40:2, \\ \end{array}$ |

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

| received [2] - 25:23, | roquest in 6.22 | BivorsEdge (2) 34:6 | 31:20, 32:5, 32:20, | similar (4) 10:24 |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 50:13 | request [3] - 6:22, 22:15, 40:6 | RiversEdge [2] - 34:6, 47:12 | 33:3, 33:9, 33:17, | similar [1] - 19:24 simple [1] - 21:14 |
| recent [1] - 50:8 | | Riverside [2] - 29:15, | 36:24, 38:14, 47:5 | |
| | requested [2] - 7:11, | | | simultaneously [1] - |
| reclaimed [1] - 8:20 | 38:5 | 29:24 | seem [1] - 31:6 | 17:18 |
| recognition [1] - 3:16 | requests [4] - 7:21, | Riverwalk [4] - 29:17, | selecting [1] - 43:19 | sincere [1] - 4:17 |
| recognize [1] - 6:9 | 25:20, 25:22, 26:9 | 34:22, 35:6, 47:14 | send [1] - 28:24 | Sing [1] - 30:25 |
| recommend [2] - | requirement [1] - 32:14 | road [4] - 30:10, 31:8, | sense [6] - 17:19, | single [1] - 12:3 |
| 21:21, 38:12 | | 51:6 | 21:23, 22:4, 27:16, 41:3, 41:10 | sit [1] - 45:3 |
| recommendation [3] - | requires [1] - 40:14 | roads [1] - 34:7 | , | site [25] - 11:11, |
| 21:18, 22:2, 26:4 | residential [9] - 21:12, | roles [1] - 6:6 | sent [1] - 38:4 | 11:12, 12:24, 15:6, |
| reconstruction [1] - | 21:14, 25:24, 25:25, | roof [2] - 33:5, 33:7 | separate [2] - 2:18, | 16:25, 17:4, 17:22, |
| 46:14 | 26:6, 26:7, 26:10, | Room [1] - 1:8 | 16:25 | 18:3, 19:23, 19:25, |
| record [2] - 3:14, | 33:11, 39:21 | rumors [1] - 47:16 | September [5] - 1:6, | 20:21, 20:22, 20:23, |
| 53:10 | residential-only [1] - 25:24 | run [2] - 31:3, 35:11 | 2:1, 35:14, 35:21, | 20:24, 21:1, 21:13, |
| recorded [1] - 8:16 | | runs [1] - 10:14 | 41:17 | 21:17, 21:18, 22:23, |
| redevelopment [5] - | resolution [6] - 2:17, | rush [1] - 6:25 | served [2] - 4:10, 4:11 | 33:22, 34:12, 34:15, |
| 7:16, 18:14, 22:18, | 3:9, 3:12, 3:14, 3:15, 4:20 | • | serves [1] - 51:6 | 46:23, 47:12 |
| 22:22, 37:9 | 4.20 resolve [1] - 13:17 | S | service [3] - 3:17, | sites [3] - 15:4, 15:14, 21:8 |
| reduce [2] - 42:2, | | safe [1] - 48:13 | 4:18, 5:16 | 21:8 sits [1] - 9:22 |
| 42:13 | resolved [2] - 4:14, 47:2 | safe [1] - 48:13 sale [2] - 17:23, 30:22 | Services [4] - 13:6, | sitting [1] - 6:4 |
| referencing [1] - 40:24 | 47:2 resources [1] - 28:12 | sale [2] - 17:23, 30:22 satisfy [1] - 26:8 | 28:8, 28:12, 43:12 services [6] - 11:15, | • • • |
| referring [2] - 39:12 | | | 11:16, 12:6, 12:9, | six [3] - 11:20, 13:2, |
| refers [1] - 14:16 | respectful [1] - 27:5 respond [1] - 28:14 | savings [1] - 12:4 saw [4] - 27:13, 47:12, | 31:15, 42:16 | 13:3 size [1] - 17:12 |
| refusal [1] - 23:19 | response [9] - 2:11, | 48:6, 49:18 | several [2] - 15:20, | skip [2] - 46:11, 50:25 |
| regarding [2] - 32:13, 50:22 | 2:15, 5:6, 7:6, 7:15, | Sawyer [1] - 49:5 | 44:12 | skipped [1] - 50:24 |
| | 25:20, 50:18, 51:16, | SAWYER [1] - 1:19 | sewer [2] - 9:20, 10:13 | slide [6] - 29:18, |
| regardless [1] - 13:11 | 52:6 | scenario [5] - 8:8, | shake [1] - 43:24 | 29:23, 31:7, 32:5, |
| Regions [1] - 13:12 | responsive [1] - 40:6 | 10:25, 11:2, 11:9, | shall [1] - 4:20 | 33:2, 33:9 |
| related [1] - 49:14 | rest [2] - 33:8, 35:6 | 24:16 | share [4] - 5:14, | small [1] - 19:12 |
| Related [4] - 33:21, | restaurant [5] - 40:15, | schedule [7] - 30:1, | 13:25, 27:6, 35:12 | Snyder [1] - 48:8 |
| 39:17, 46:17, 46:21 relation [1] - 30:25 | 40:16, 40:20, 41:13, | 44:6, 44:10, 44:12, | sheet [1] - 11:5 | soft [2] - 9:2, 9:25 |
| relocate [1] - 45:21 | 43:16 | 45:3, 46:9, 50:17 | Sheridan [1] - 47:23 | sold [1] - 13:17 |
| relocated [5] - 9:12, | restaurants [2] - 24:9, | scheduled [5] - 43:16, | Sherwill [2] - 42:22, | solicit [1] - 23:7 |
| 9:19, 10:1, 10:21, | 26:5 | 47:23, 49:7, 51:2, | 43:1 | someone [1] - 26:19 |
| 44:23 | result [1] - 42:1 | 51:10 | SHERWILL [2] - 43:2, | sometimes [1] - 50:15 |
| relocation [3] - 10:3, | Retail [1] - 39:10 | school [1] - 5:10 | 43:10 | somewhat [1] - 22:25 |
| 17:15, 44:25 | retail [3] - 32:14, | scope [7] - 11:15, | Shipyards [2] - 13:14, | SONDRA [1] - 1:13 |
| rely [1] - 8:24 | 33:10, 33:12 | 12:6, 12:9, 12:11, | 42:3 | Sondra [1] - 19:2 |
| remains [1] - 37:1 | retirement [3] - 4:23, | 22:12, 42:7 | shock [1] - 16:5 | soon [2] - 26:14, |
| remind [1] - 51:18 | 5:24, 6:25 | score [1] - 36:1 | shoes [1] - 43:6 | 43:23 |
| remotely [1] - 51:23 | retiring [1] - 42:20 | scored [1] - 36:8 | shortly [1] - 37:14 | sort [4] - 8:24, 10:5, |
| removal [3] - 9:13, | returned [1] - 43:22 | scores [5] - 35:24, | show [1] - 28:24 | 12:10, 45:16 |
| 19:4, 19:13 | returning [1] - 43:22 | 36:7, 36:8, 36:11, | showed [2] - 46:10, | sounds [1] - 24:12 |
| remove [2] - 17:5, | review [1] - 35:2 | 36:21 | 47:6 | source [1] - 45:8 |
| 18:22 | RFP [3] - 12:10, 35:22, | scoring [2] - 35:22, | showing [1] - 30:12 | Southbank [5] - |
| removed [1] - 19:11 | 44:1 | 36:22 | shows [2] - 7:19, | 33:19, 33:23, 39:17, |
| removing [1] - 18:7 | RFQ [1] - 43:19 | Scott [2] - 24:2, 27:22 | 30:24 | 40:13, 48:6 |
| replaced [1] - 46:20 | rise [2] - 21:9, 38:25 | SCOTT [1] - 1:14 | SIC [2] - 27:7, 27:9 | space [3] - 32:14, |
| replacement [2] - 6:3, | RISE [1] - 49:17 | sea [3] - 9:8, 9:9, 9:23 | side [5] - 19:5, 19:10, | 32:16 |
| 34:1 | rising [1] - 19:15 | Seasons [5] - 22:6, | 30:7, 35:9 | spaces [2] - 33:10, |
| report [9] - 9:25, | River [3] - 33:21, | 22:13, 32:20, 33:2 | sidewalks [1] - 30:2 | 33:12 |
| 17:20, 17:21, 18:3, | 40:16, 40:19 | second [9] - 2:8, 5:2, | signals [1] - 31:10 | speaking [1] - 17:5 |
| 25:14, 25:18, 26:21, | Riverfront [12] - 9:5, | 5:4, 11:2, 11:8, 21:5, | signed [2] - 4:21, | Special [1] - 41:16 |
| 51:14, 53:9 | 11:10, 17:25, 19:16, | 34:13, 46:1, 46:4 | 49:25 | special [7] - 12:20, |
| Reporter [2] - 53:8, | 31:14, 31:25, 40:17, | section [1] - 34:2 | significant [6] - 13:24, | 24:21, 26:18, 26:22, |
| 53:18 | 41:13, 42:9, 43:16, | sectors [1] - 43:6 | 30:17, 30:18, 45:9, | 35:12, 38:6, 40:5 |
| REPORTER [1] - 53:1 | 47:6, 47:9 | see [17] - 17:13, 29:16, | 47:16, 48:2 | specific [1] - 25:20 |
| reporting [1] - 7:9 | riverfront [4] - 7:11, | 29:19, 30:1, 30:24, | signify [1] - 6:12 | specifically [1] - 28:6 |
| reports [1] - 8:23 | 40:19, 41:18, 42:14 | 31:9, 31:15, 31:18, | Silveira [1] - 6:23 | spend [1] - 27:25 |
| | | | | |

Diane M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonville', FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

| sponding M 12:00 | 42:6 | 16.02 21.00 20.4 | 20.0 24.10 24.14 | 11.1 17.1 10.00 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| spending [1] - 13:20 | | 16:23, 31:22, 38:4, 38:13, 39:17, 49:19, | 20:9, 34:10, 34:14, 38:12, 41:10, 46:15, | 44:1, 47:4, 49:22 Walk [2] - 48:14, 48:19 |
| spent [1] - 16:14 | suggesting [2] - 21:8, 36:14 | 50:13, 59.17, 49.19, 50:13 | 48:16 | walked [2] - 34:16, |
| spoken [1] - 22:22 | suggestion [1] - 42:12 | together [4] - 11:12, | under-bridge [1] - | 48:23 |
| spring [1] - 33:25 | suggestion [1] - 42.12 suited [1] - 18:4 | 21:21, 23:22, 46:22 | 46:15 | wall [3] - 9:8, 9:23, |
| St [2] - 40:16, 40:19 | summarize [1] - 8:2 | Toll [2] - 34:8, 34:9 | underground [3] - | 31:22 |
| staff [3] - 25:19, | support [1] - 24:9 | top [3] - 19:16, 30:13, | 30:14, 30:17, 44:21 | walls [1] - 9:9 |
| 35:17, 37:6 | support [1] - 24.9 supported [1] - 9:20 | 33:7 | underneath [1] - | wants [1] - 12:18 |
| staff's [1] - 5:8 stakeholders [1] - | supposed [2] - 36:13, | topic [1] - 42:17 | 32:24 | Water [1] - 48:4 |
| 39:24 | 47:18 | topped [1] - 29:19 | underway [2] - 33:3, | weather [1] - 31:5 |
| stand [2] - 3:1, 42:24 | surface [1] - 8:22 | tore [1] - 20:4 | 34:8 | Wednesday [1] - 1:6 |
| standpoint [2] - 13:1, | survey [4] - 9:7, 44:9, | total [1] - 8:3 | Union [1] - 33:5 | week [2] - 49:15, 50:5 |
| 36:7 | 44:19, 45:13 | totally [1] - 29:19 | units [6] - 24:8, 26:10, | week's [1] - 42:10 |
| start [3] - 23:21, | swimming [1] - 33:13 | tour [2] - 32:3, 32:18 | 29:21, 33:11, 33:12, | weeks [3] - 32:4, 47:3, |
| 29:10, 44:19 | system [3] - 34:19, | toward [1] - 29:17 | 33:16 | 50:8 |
| started [1] - 5:10 | 36:16, 47:18 | tower [1] - 43:13 | University [1] - 50:21 | weigh [1] - 23:3 |
| starting [4] - 18:2, | 00.10, 47.10 | town [1] - 6:24 | unlikely [1] - 44:16 | welcome [2] - 16:15, |
| 29:21, 46:1, 47:13 | Т | track [3] - 33:24, 48:7, | up [10] - 3:1, 10:16, | 43:8 |
| starts [4] - 40:10, | • | 49:4 | 15:22, 22:8, 29:8, | Wells [1] - 22:20 |
| 40:11, 46:17, 46:21 | tap [1] - 28:11 | traffic [1] - 18:13 | 34:9, 42:24, 45:17, | West [1] - 42:3 |
| STATE [1] - 53:3 | team [2] - 37:8, 45:19 | trailer [2] - 46:18, | 50:11, 50:15 | west [1] - 9:5 |
| state [1] - 46:6 | teased [1] - 30:10 | 46:19 | upcoming [1] - 13:5 | whereas [5] - 3:22, |
| State [1] - 1:10 | temporary [1] - 48:12 | trailers [1] - 46:16 | update [3] - 29:9, | 3:25, 4:4, 4:7, 4:9 |
| station [1] - 51:6 | ten [1] - 3:25 | transcript [1] - 53:10 | 37:3, 44:4 | whole [2] - 22:8, 51:8 |
| stenographic [1] - | tended [1] - 36:24 | transfer [1] - 28:17 | updates [2] - 29:12, | wide [1] - 36:20 |
| 53:11 | tenure [2] - 2:24, 3:4 | transition [1] - 51:21 | 49:2 | wise [1] - 14:14 |
| stenographically [1] - | term [8] - 7:25, 14:11, | Trio [4] - 35:16, 37:3, | urban [2] - 15:1, 26:2 | WJCT [4] - 50:24, |
| 53:9 | 14:12, 14:25, 15:3, | 37:9 | use/urban [1] - 21:6 | 51:3, 51:5, 51:8 |
| steps [3] - 12:1, 29:16, | 15:12, 16:18, 39:6 | trip [1] - 7:1 | useful [1] - 13:21 | WOHLERS [4] - 1:14, |
| 48:3 | termed [1] - 25:3 | Tropia [3] - 1:9, 53:7, | uses [1] - 15:5 | 5:17, 27:23, 29:2 |
| STEVE [1] - 1:18 | Terminal [1] - 33:5 | 53:18 | utilities [2] - 9:7, | wonderful [1] - 7:1 |
| Steve [2] - 12:7, 50:14 | terms [3] - 14:3, | troublemaker [1] - 5:9 | 44:21 | woods [1] - 42:17 |
| sticker [1] - 16:5 | 22:14, 28:23 | true [1] - 53:10 | utility [6] - 8:22, 9:2, | word [1] - 50:13 |
| still [11] - 16:23, | thankless [1] - 6:5 | try [4] - 12:2, 15:22, | 10:8, 20:23, 30:18, | words [2] - 42:25, |
| 31:25, 37:21, 38:9, | THE [27] - 2:3, 2:9, | 22:24, 45:3 | 45:21 | 51:15 |
| 40:18, 40:21, 42:7, | 2:12, 2:14, 2:16, | trying [3] - 36:25, | | Works [5] - 34:24, |
| 42:17, 43:20, 44:6, | 4:24, 5:3, 5:7, 6:11, | 49:4, 51:7 | V | 45:2, 45:20, 46:23, |
| 44:9 | 6:14, 6:17, 7:4, 7:7, | Tuesday [1] - 40:9 | 1 4 445 | 46:24 |
| storefront [1] - 33:12 | 16:1, 19:1, 23:9, | turn [2] - 36:7, 36:8 | valuation [1] - 14:15 | works [6] - 7:4, 23:24, |
| stormwater [1] - 9:6 | 24:18, 27:7, 27:22, | turned [1] - 32:17 | value [2] - 14:19, | 26:15, 35:3, 36:4, |
| story [1] - 22:21 | 29:6, 43:11, 45:8, | twelve [1] - 8:4 | 41:25 | 36:6 |
| Strategic [1] - 27:2 | 48:22, 50:23, 51:12, | two [16] - 9:9, 12:8, | variety [2] - 36:17, 36:21 | workshop [6] - 7:20, |
| Street [10] - 1:8, 9:13, | 51:17, 52:7 | 12:23, 19:19, 21:25, | various [3] - 34:24, | 7:21, 14:3, 16:5, |
| 10:17, 18:8, 19:3, | thereafter [2] - 37:14, 47:22 | 22:21, 25:8, 26:20, | 39:25, 44:20 | 16:22, 27:4 |
| 19:10, 27:19, 30:10, | therefore [1] - 4:14 | 31:8, 32:4, 34:10, | Vehicle [2] - 3:24, 4:1 | worthwhile [1] - 13:20 |
| 45:18, 46:11 | they've [3] - 26:12, | 37:4, 38:9, 39:2, | vendors [1] - 23:7 | N/ |
| street [1] - 32:15 | 33:6, 35:6 | 44:5 | versus [2] - 23:13, | Y |
| studies [1] - 7:11 | thinks [1] - 27:3 | two-story [1] - 22:21 | 24:16 | year [6] - 13:8, 28:8, |
| study [7] - 17:16, 21:4, | thoughts [1] - 16:22 | two-way [3] - 31:8, | vertical [1] - 31:21 | 28:15, 33:25, 47:19, |
| 21:6, 21:7, 23:23, 25:2, 25:7 | three [4] - 21:20, 22:2, | 44:5 | vetting [1] - 26:15 | 51:25 |
| 25:3, 25:7 | 25:5, 36:10 | type [2] - 21:23, 38:16 | VIA [1] - 47:23 | years [6] - 3:17, 3:25, |
| studying [1] - 24:21 stuff [4] - 8:21, 10:10, | throw [2] - 27:20, | typically [2] - 13:17, 14:11 | view [2] - 31:19, 32:11 | 4:9, 4:11, 29:1, 43:4 |
| 20:14, 24:10 | 36:16 | 19.11 | Voice [1] - 30:25 | yesterday [1] - 37:16 |
| sub [2] - 8:22, 45:13 | tied [1] - 10:16 | U | vote [1] - 40:8 | yous [1] - 6:8 |
| sub-surface [1] - 8:22 | tiers [1] - 38:19 | U | voted [1] - 42:2 | |
| SUE [2] - 8:23, 9:25 | timing [2] - 7:10, 31:3 | UCC [1] - 47:12 | | |
| suggest [2] - 13:9, | title [1] - 20:22 | ultimately [1] - 12:23 | W | |
| 25:2 | titles [1] - 8:15 | Unallocated [1] - 13:6 | | |
| suggested [2] - 17:13, | today [8] - 12:19, | under [10] - 4:4, 17:4, | waiting [4] - 26:21, | |
| | M Tronia Inc. D | | Leeleonnille El | 20002 |

=Diang M. Tropia', Inc., Post Office Box' 2375', Jacksonvillg', FL 32203= (904) 821-0300